



SOCIETY for
INDUSTRIAL and
ORGANIZATIONAL
PSYCHOLOGY

ORGANIZATIONAL FRONTIERS SERIES



SIOP ORGANIZATIONAL FRONTIERS SERIES

Senior Leadership Teams and the Agile Organization



EDITED BY STEPHEN J. ZACCARO, NATHAN J. HILLER
AND RICHARD J. KLIMOSKI

SENIOR LEADERSHIP TEAMS AND THE AGILE ORGANIZATION

Senior Leadership Teams and the Agile Organization builds on existing knowledge in the leadership, teams, and strategic management literatures to examine and explore how senior leadership teams drive the dynamic capabilities of organizations.

Organizational agility is a key dimension of organizational performance. This volume focuses on senior leadership team processes and attributes that facilitate organizational agility and the organization's capacity to perform and rapidly pivot in response to shifting strategic demands. Chapters summarize the current state of knowledge, examine past research and theory, define research and theoretical gaps, and consider how to address these gaps. In so doing, they offer an understanding of how senior leadership teams drive and enable organizational activity.

The book is essential reading for researchers and professionals looking to understand the intersection of leadership, team dynamics, organizational psychology, organizational psychology, and strategic management, particularly in relation to organizational agility and the senior leadership team.

Stephen J. Zaccaro is Professor of Psychology and Director of the Industrial-Organizational Psychology Program at George Mason University, USA.

Nathan J. Hiller is Professor of Business and Executive Director of the Center for Leadership at Florida International University, USA.

Richard J. Klimoski is Professor of Psychology and Management and the Associate Dean for Research in the School of Business at George Mason University, USA.

SIOP Organizational Frontiers Series

Series Editors

Angelo DeNisi

Tulane University, USA

Kevin Murphy

University of Limerick, Ireland

Editorial Board

Derek R. Avery

Wake Forest University, USA

Jill Ellingson

University of Kansas, USA

Franco Fraccaroli

University of Trento, Italy

Susan Jackson

Rutgers University, USA

Paul Sparrow

Lancaster University, UK

Hannes Zacher

Liepzig University, Germany

Jing Zhou

Rice University, USA

The Organizational Frontiers Series is sponsored by the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology (SIOP). Launched in 1983 to make scientific contributions accessible to the field, the series publishes books addressing emerging theoretical developments, fundamental and translational research, and theory-driven practice in the field of Industrial-Organizational Psychology and related organizational science disciplines including organizational behavior, human resource management, and labor and industrial relations.

Books in this series aim to inform readers of significant advances in research; challenge the research and practice community to develop and adapt new ideas; and promote the use of scientific knowledge in the solution of public policy issues and increased organizational effectiveness.

The Series originated in the hope that it would facilitate continuous learning and spur research curiosity about organizational phenomena on the part of both scientists and practitioners.

The Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology is an international professional association with an annual membership of more than 8,000 industrial-organizational (I-O) psychologists who study and apply scientific principles to the workplace. I-O psychologists serve as trusted partners to business, offering strategically focused and scientifically rigorous solutions for a number of workplace issues. SIOP's mission is to enhance human well-being and performance in organizational and work settings by promoting the science, practice, and teaching of I-O psychology. For more information about SIOP, please visit www.siop.org.

The Psychology of Entrepreneurship

New Perspectives

Edited by Michael M. Gielnik, Melissa S. Cardon, and Michael Frese

Understanding Trust in Organizations

A Multilevel Perspective

Edited by Nicole Gillespie, Ashley Fulmer, and Roy J. Lewicki

Age and Work

Advances in Theory, Methods, and Practice

Edited by Hannes Zacher & Cort W. Rudolph

Data, Methods and Theory in the Organizational Sciences

A New Synthesis

Edited by Kevin R. Murphy

Neurodiversity in the Workplace

Interests, Issues, and Opportunities

Edited by Susanne M. Bruyère and Adrienne Colella

Expatriates and Managing Global Mobility

Edited by Soo Min Toh and Angelo DeNisi

Senior Leadership Teams and the Agile Organization

Edited by Stephen J. Zaccaro, Nathan J. Hiller and Richard J. Klimoski

For more information about this series, please visit www.routledge.com/SIOP-Organizational-Frontiers-Series/book-series/SIOP



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

SENIOR LEADERSHIP TEAMS AND THE AGILE ORGANIZATION

*Edited by Stephen J. Zaccaro, Nathan J. Hiller
and Richard J. Klimoski*



ROUTLEDGE

Routledge
Taylor & Francis Group

NEW YORK AND LONDON



SOCIETY for
INDUSTRIAL and
ORGANIZATIONAL
PSYCHOLOGY

Cover image: Image created using the DALL-E 2 artificial intelligence system

First published 2024

by Routledge

605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

and by Routledge

4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2024 selection and editorial matter, Stephen J. Zaccaro, Nathan J. Hiller and Richard J. Klimoski; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Stephen J. Zaccaro, Nathan J. Hiller and Richard J. Klimoski to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

With the exception of Chapter 1, no part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Chapter 1 of this book is freely available as a downloadable Open Access PDF at www.taylorfrancis.com under a Creative Commons [Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives (CC-BY-NC-ND)] 4.0 license.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

ISBN: 978-0-367-37333-7 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-0-367-37334-4 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-0-429-35316-1 (ebk)

DOI: 10.4324/9780429353161

Typeset in Times New Roman
by Apex CoVantage, LLC

CONTENTS

<i>List of Contributors</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>Series Foreword: Senior Leadership Teams and the Agile Organization</i>	<i>xi</i>
1 Senior Leadership Teams and the Agile Organization: Introduction <i>Stephen J. Zaccaro, Nathan J. Hiller, and Richard J. Klimoski</i>	1
2 Organizational Agility and Organizational Effectiveness <i>Nathan J. Hiller and Sibel Ozgen</i>	24
3 Dynamic Capabilities and the Nature of Organizational Agility: Toward Managerial Theory <i>Ciaran Heavey and Zeki Simsek</i>	44
4 Strategic Agility: Linking Strategic Framework to Agile Framework <i>Maheshkumar P. Joshi (Mahesh)</i>	82
5 Senior Leadership Teams: Characteristics and Key Functions Enabling Strategic Agility <i>Mads E. Wedell-Wedellsborg and Peder Greve</i>	103

6	Uncovering Patterns of Strategic Leadership Networks to Support Organizational Agility <i>Dorothy R. Carter, Kristin L. Cullen-Lester, Pol Solanelles, Justin M. Jones, and Stephanie V. Wormington</i>	140
7	Creating the Interpersonal Context for Enabling Organizational Agility: The Underappreciated Role of the Chief of Staff <i>Steven Zhou and Richard J. Klimoski</i>	166
8	CEO Characteristics and Organizational Agility <i>Stephen J. Zaccaro, Steven Zhou, and Christian J. Resick</i>	187
9	Senior Leadership Team Composition, CEO–TMT Interfaces, and the Potential for Dynamic Capabilities <i>Yan Ling and Li-Qun Wei</i>	223
10	Creating Dynamic Capabilities for Agile Executive Selection and Succession <i>Robert E. Ployhart, Donald J. Schepker, Patrick M. Wright, Sam D. Strizver</i>	246
11	Developing the Senior Leadership Team for Dynamic Capabilities <i>David V. Day, Jay A. Conger, and Laura Dannhäuser</i>	291
12	Executive Compensation: How Organizations Use Pay Mix to Maximize Top Management Team Effectiveness and Agility <i>Spenser M. Essman and Anthony J. Nyberg</i>	317
13	Agility, Strategies, Dynamic Capabilities, Stakeholders, and Strategic Leadership in the New Normal Environment <i>Michael A. Hitt, R. Michael Holmes Jr., and Sal Mistry</i>	336
	<i>Index</i>	363

CONTRIBUTORS

Editors

Stephen J. Zaccaro, George Mason University, USA

Nathan J. Hiller, Florida International University, USA

Richard J. Klimoski, George Mason University, USA

Contributors

Dorothy R. Carter, Michigan State University, USA

Jay A. Conger, Claremont McKenna College, USA

Kristin L. Cullen-Lester, The University of Mississippi, USA

Laura Dannhäuser, Claremont Graduate University, USA

David V. Day, Claremont McKenna College, USA

Spenser M. Essman, University of Houston, USA

Peder Greve, University of Reading, UK

Ciaran Heavey, University College Dublin, Ireland

Michael A. Hitt, Texas A&M University, USA

R. Michael Holmes Jr., Florida State University, USA

Justin M. Jones, The University of Georgia, USA

Maheshkumar P. Joshi (Mahesh), George Mason University, USA

Yan Ling, Oakland University, USA

Sal Mistry, University of Delaware, USA

Anthony J. Nyberg, University of South Carolina, USA

x Contributors

Sibel Ozgen, Stevens Institute of Technology, USA

Robert E. Ployhart, University of South Carolina, USA

Christian J. Resick, Drexel University, USA

Donald J. Schepker, University of South Carolina, USA

Zeki Simsek, Clemson University, USA

Pol Solanelles, The University of Mississippi, USA

Sam D. Strizver, University of South Carolina, USA

Mads E. Wedell-Wedellsborg, University of Reading, UK

Li-Qun Wei, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong

Stephanie V. Wormington, The Center for Creative Leadership, USA

Patrick M. Wright, University of South Carolina, USA

Steven Zhou, George Mason University, USA

SERIES FOREWORD: SENIOR LEADERSHIP TEAMS AND THE AGILE ORGANIZATION

Who could have foreseen at the time that this volume of the SIOP Organizational Frontiers Series was commissioned that society, and especially work organizations, would be confronted by a series of events that would challenge us all, not merely to continue to work on meeting our organization's needs and goals, but to try to survive as a going enterprise. As such, this book's focus on organizational agility is not only prescient, it is timely. Not surprisingly, reports can routinely be found in the news where the senior leadership teams of work organizations did or did not rise to the occasion in order to meet the challenges and opportunities created by disruptions to their operation. Thus, this volume's emphasis on shared leadership is also spot on. All this implies clearly and as pointed out at in its introduction chapter, "organizations need to be agile". Accordingly, in this volume the reader will find a set of original and compelling chapters about how this might be done.

As the Editor of the Frontiers Series at the time who championed this volume, I am very pleased to see it come into fruition. As one of the Editors of this particular book and having a role in assembling an extraordinary group of chapter authors and in guiding their writing, I feel I learned a lot. And, in turn, speaking for the Editorial team, Steve, Nathan and myself, I hope that as a reader you will not only come away with a greater understanding of the nature of organizational agility and its antecedents, but also be better prepared to lead or advise others to lead work organizations in the face of what will certainly continue to be a volatile and dynamic business environment.

Richard J. Klimoski



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

1

SENIOR LEADERSHIP TEAMS AND THE AGILE ORGANIZATION

Introduction

*Stephen J. Zaccaro, Nathan J. Hiller,
and Richard J. Klimoski*

Organizations need to be agile—they have needed to be agile for decades, and they will need to be agile in the future. But becoming and remaining agile, nimble, and flexible is difficult, and requires the involvement and alignment of systems, processes, and people. At the epicenter of the never-ending push to maintain agility is the senior leadership team. This team, and its influences on organizational agility, is the focus of this book.

The origins of this edited book predate the year 2020, when the world was suddenly thrust into its first global pandemic in a hundred years—requiring organizations, and those leading those organizations, to make rapid shifts. In some cases, these changes in the environment required organizational responses that were a fundamentally new way of operating, organizing, and orienting to the strategic environment. In other cases, these changes necessitated less of a radical reorganization and instead required using an existing playbook of strategies and capabilities to solve emerging issues, and problems. In all of these cases, some form of agility was necessary. Moreover, these cases presented both threats and opportunities.

One industry where these challenges and opportunities are particularly evident is the airline industry. When the pandemic shutdown began, demand for air travel declined by 90% or more, resulting in airlines reducing capacity and using buyouts and early retirement programs to remove many of their workers and pilots (Baron, 2022). These cost-cutting responses helped the airlines reduce cash burn (though they still had to pay for the fixed costs of the airplanes) and helped to make the loans and bailouts from the government more palatable to the general public, but left them unprepared when demand for air travel came

roaring back in late 2021. Pilots are highly skilled and require continual certification (for each specific type of plane)—so airlines could not just immediately start using furloughed, laid-off, or retired pilots—these pilots needed recertification, for which there was a long line. It turns out that the airline industry was assuming a six-year recovery instead of the 18-month recovery that actually occurred (Thompson, 2022), making the pilot shortage, which was already in existence pre-COVID-19, much more painful for airlines and passengers in the subsequent months and years.

This example demonstrates the challenge with agility. Senior leadership teams made decisions due to a massively shifting environment (the onset of COVID-19), which in turn set them down a path that, while cutting costs to alleviate a short-term problem, made it more challenging to ramp up operations or capture market share from competitors when the situation shifted again. However, some senior leadership teams saw things differently in the airline industry—reconfiguring and slashing costs while simultaneously ensuring that all of the complex pieces of the system (including human capital) were ready to reaccelerate quickly and enter new markets (Tully, 2021).

These challenges and issues played out across almost all industries and sectors in slightly different ways and created an extremely challenging operating environment. Indeed, despite substantial government aid, there was still a roughly 33% increase in small business failures during the first year of the pandemic (Sheffey, 2021).

The Inevitable Need for Continuing Agility

While the global reach, seriousness, and duration of the COVID-19 pandemic are a useful and salient backdrop for thinking about the need for agility, it is important to keep in mind that any single event—no matter how global and far-reaching—is part of the inevitable disruption that has been continually increasing over the past decades. From cutthroat “winner-take-most” competition in many industries to technological shifts (such as artificial intelligence), trade wars, military wars, labor challenges, shareholder activism, and government regulation, strategic environments are often dynamic, complex, and ambiguous. Although the next disruptions for a particular organization may look somewhat different in different industries, it is certain that challenges, changes, disruptions, and opportunities will occur, and that they require agile responsiveness and adaptation for survival and long-term success.

The Senior Leadership Team

Within the need for agility, reconfiguring and realigning systems, processes, people, and strategies represent challenging and ongoing processes. Inertia often

prevails—with organizations erroneously planning for stability, rather than agile planning for innovation and sustained excellence (Doz & Kosonen, 2010; Tushman & O'Reilly, 1996). It is thus essential that organizations see the need and respond with inertia-breaking force. How do organizations do so? Indeed, organizations are complex systems that require the input and interface of individuals and teams at all levels, but the central figures deciding on the big picture of strategy, resources, and structure to set and break this inertia—and lead the organization toward agility—is the senior leadership team.

This book examines organizational agility as a key dimension of organizational performance and specifically focuses on the senior leadership team as a key driver and enabler of such agility. Our focus here is most centrally on the internal “upper echelons” (Hambrick & Mason, 1984) level of the organization—CEOs and typically other C-suite executives who are most responsible for the strategic leadership of the organization. We recognize that an organization’s board of directors (for those organizations having a board) is also a party to some of the substantive decisions of an organization, though it is not the primary focus of this book.

Broadly speaking, agility reflects the capacity of a company to pivot effectively in response to strategic changes and challenges. This capacity is reflected in both short-term or operational pivots and in longer-term strategic adjustments; it may involve lower-order or higher-order configurations of “resources” or “capabilities” of an organization—such as strategies, unique organizational competencies, organizational norms, systems, and structures. While several organizational scholars have pushed processes of agility down to lower levels of management (Denning, 2018; Harraf et al., 2015; Kahl et al., 2022), the senior team undoubtedly sets the conditions for their expression.

Building Bridges Across Domains

Our intent in editing this volume is to build some bridges across different but related scholarly communities around organizational agility. The first bridge is between the research areas of leadership and team dynamics, particularly as they apply in senior leadership teams. These research areas have traditionally run in parallel to one another, as theories of leadership typically focused on qualities and behaviors of individual leaders, while models of team dynamics typically do not place enough weight on the enabling role of team leaders (Zaccaro et al., 2001). While studies that have emerged on the leadership of teams (Morgeson et al., 2010; Kozlowski et al., 2016; Zaccaro et al., 2009) and on collective leadership (Contractor et al., 2012; Hiller et al., 2006; Pearce & Conger, 2003) have helped, there is still a gap in terms of understanding how teams of leaders interact effectively, particularly in the pursuit of agility. For example, Marks et al. (2001; see also Ilgen et al., 2005) proposed a taxonomic

framework of transition, action, and interpersonal processes to explain team effectiveness. Morgeson et al. (2010) used this model to describe how formal and informal leaders influence the team's enactment of these processes. Yet senior leadership teams are unique in that each member, who is typically responsible for leading their own functional or divisional team often with their own agendas, must simultaneously engage with each other as a team in pursuit of a higher-order set of goals focused on the survival and success of the entire organization. These "leadership teams" at the top of organizations are, in many respects, an enigma that we are only beginning to understand (Luciano et al., 2020). For example, what roles do emergent states such as shared cognition, trust, and cohesion play in teams of leaders, and how do they evolve across performance episodes?

The topics of leadership and team dynamics historically reside mainly in the domain of industrial and organizational psychology. The literature on "upper echelons"/top management teams—emanating from the field of strategy—is built on the premise that organizations are run by a coalition of senior executives (Hambrick & Mason, 1984). Therefore, we expect that insights from an integrated perspective of leadership and teams can build bridges to increase our understanding of strategic team leadership, specifically a broader and deeper understanding of how senior leadership teams can foster greater organizational agility. Moreover, the domain of industrial and organizational psychology also includes theories and models of leader and team selection, training, and development. These strategies have been used for enhancing performance in a typical work situation. Thus, team training strategies focus on fostering collective emergent states and processes that apply across multiple performance domains (Bisbey et al., 2019; Salas, 2015). Selection typically focuses on choosing individuals as leaders or team members who possess identified typical performance skills (Guion, 1965; Ployhart et al., 2017). Selection and leadership development for organizational agility, however, require different approaches that focus more on collective skills and processes fostering strategic flexibility and adaptation. Thus, there is a need to articulate how current models of selection, training, and development, bridging the literatures on leader and team performance, can be used to strengthen the effectiveness of senior leadership teams.

The second bridge we hope to build is between the areas of organizational psychology/organizational behavior and strategic management. The topic of senior leadership teams and organizational agility encompasses themes related to team dynamics, leadership, strategic decision-making/strategic leadership, and organization-wide systems and policies. For example, in part due to the difficulty in actually getting inside senior leadership teams to measure attributes and processes, the strategic management literature often uses an umbrella concept termed "behavioral integration" as a general proxy for the quality of

top management team processes (Hambrick, 1994; Simsek et al., 2005). This construct, broadly defined as “the degree to which the group engages in mutual and collective interaction” (Hambrick, 1994, p. 188), includes both social and task processes and suggests the existence of emergent states such as cohesion and shared cognition. While this construct helped research on top management teams move forward, differentiating and understanding nuanced team processes and emergent states is a challenge when working with such a broad construct, and as a result, we have a need to understand the cognitive and relational underpinnings in the upper echelons of organizations (Neely et al., 2020). For example, Stewart and Amason (2017, p. 13) noted that:

Despite three decades of research on TMTs, little is still known about the actual processes by which TMTs influence actions and performance in firms . . . [past research] have offered some insight into relationships, but little understanding of actual TMT decision making or strategic integration processes that explain why and how TMTs influence some firms to perform better than others.

Efforts by scholars from organizational psychology and organizational behavior offer a number of promising avenues to advance research on team processes and behavioral integration. For example, Mathieu et al.’s (2019) summary and conceptual model of recent research on team effectiveness suggest several such directions. They move beyond the traditional “Input-Mediator-Output” model to frameworks that reflect the dynamism, complexity, multilevel, and multiplexity of organizational teams (see also Mathieu et al., 2017). Thus, they summarize a range of mediating mechanisms, compositional features, and structural elements of teams that interact in intricate ways to influence team effectiveness. We expect this kind of more complex framework from team dynamics research can foster a greater understanding of the TMT processes that relate most effectively to organizational agility.

Research on leadership represents an additional bridge between organizational psychology and strategic management. Much of the current work done by researchers who identify as leadership scholars (many of whom are trained in I/O-OB) focuses on the implications of leaders, policies, etc., on individuals (attitudes, behaviors, cognitions) and groups/teams (DeChurch et al., 2010). These scholars are less likely to explicitly consider organizational-level outcomes (ROE, strategic direction, stock price) empirically. When pressed to make conceptual linkages and arguments (i.e., in a discussion section), mainstream leadership scholars are more likely to simply suggest that the aggregate of these individual effects will lead to similar effects at the organizational-level outcomes (committing the ecological fallacy), or that these effects might somehow jump across variable content domains (e.g., a motivated workforce leads to better

sustainable competitive advantage and ROI). In short, typical I/O-OB thinking and research on leadership and organizational-level outcomes have been historically un-nuanced (DeChurch et al., 2010).

For strategy scholars from the upper echelons tradition (Hambrick & Mason, 1984), there has been a relative focus on organizational-level leadership strategies and outcomes and static individual-difference factors as distal causes of these strategies and outcomes. They are only beginning to understand some of the processes through which individual and especially team factors/variables are translated into factors such as agility, but there is indeed a substantial push emerging under the banner of “strategic leadership” (Finkelstein et al., 2009; Neely et al., 2020). Research on agility has burgeoned over the past decade (Denning, 2018; Walter, 2021). However, there is still significant ambiguity in terms of how much individual leadership, team processes, and organizational systems contribute to organizational agility. “Rightsized” teaming and networking among top organizational teams are significant contributors (Pulakos et al., 2019). However, leadership dynamics are, in turn, key drivers of these processes. Along this line, research based upon the upper echelons perspective of strategic management has provided valuable insight into the influence of industry and environmental contexts on leadership within organizational systems.

Thus, we see the scholarly glass on senior leadership and team dynamics as half full and half empty. Scholars in industrial and organizational psychology have offered sophisticated models of leadership and team dynamics, as well as interventions to improve them, that are typically missing in the strategy literature. Alternatively, strategy/TMT scholars have provided perspectives of organizational-level drivers and contextual influences that are missing from how I/O scholars talk about executive leadership. A deeper and more complete understanding of organizational agility requires greater integration of these different but closely related disciplines.

Our purpose with this book is to advance understanding of the drivers, mechanisms, and processes within senior leadership teams that relate to one particular category of organization-level outcome—organizational agility. We seek this purpose through bridging and integrating knowledge of leadership, team processes and dynamics, and organizational effectiveness from both the I/O-OB and TMT/strategy perspectives. As is often the case when attempting to bridge-build and integrate across different scholarly traditions comprising different histories, knowledge bases, and assumptions, these conversations require learning and a check of our own perspectives and assumptions. Thus, we hope that this book simultaneously appeals across disciplines, offering fruitful avenues for future research, but also makes all readers feel a little discomfort as they encounter ideas that stretch them. In the remainder of this overview chapter, we will review

and summarize conceptualizations of organizational agility to help guide the book, present an overarching model of such agility, and offer a preview of the chapters in the book within the context of this model.

Definitions of Organizational Agility

Our intent in this section is not to provide a definition or review of the construct of organizational agility. We direct interested readers to other sources for more comprehensive treatments of this construct (Appelbaum et al., 2017a, 2017b; Doz, 2020; Harraf et al., 2015; Walter, 2021). Instead, we provide a summary of key elements and features of organizational agility to set the stage for the subsequent chapters in this book. In Table 1.1, we offer a sample of definitions provided over a span of almost 40 years. We purposely sampled from multiple domains including strategic management (Doz, 2020; Teece et al., 2016; Walter & Raetz, 2021), information technology (Conboy & Fitzgerald, 2004), and military (Holsapple & Li, 2008) literatures. A cursory review of these definitions indicates two broad themes: a *reactive* responding by the organization to environmental events and a *proactive* positioning of the organization to anticipate or even shape environmental changes.

TABLE 1.1 Organizational Agility: A Sample of Definitions

Brown and Agnew (1982, p. 29)	“The capacity to react quickly to rapidly changing circumstances”
Zhang and Sharifi (2000, p. 496)	“The ability of enterprises to cope with unexpected changes, to survive unprecedented threats from the business environment, and to take advantage of changes as opportunities”
Conboy and Fitzgerald (2004, p. 40)	“The continual readiness of an entity to rapidly or inherently, proactively or reactively, embrace change, through high-quality, simplistic, economical components and relationships with its environment”
Holsapple and Li (2008, p. 6)	“Agility is the result of integrating alertness to changes (recognizing opportunities/challenges)—both internal and environmental—with a capability to use resources in responding (proactive/reactive) to such changes, all in a timely, flexible, affordable, relevant manner”
Worley et al. (2014, p. 18)	“The dynamic capability that allows outperforming firms to sense and respond to their environments and to rapidly reallocate resources, build new capabilities, and, perhaps most important, jettison the assets and activities that longer create value”

(Continued)

TABLE 1.1 (Continued)

Harraf et al. (2015, p. 675)	“Organizational nimbleness and ability to respond swiftly to the external environment”
Teece et al. (2016, p. 17)	“The capacity of an organization to efficiently and effectively redeploy/redirect its resources to value creating and value protecting (and capturing) higher-yield activities as internal and external circumstances warrant”
Žitkienė and Deksnys (2018, p. 118)	“An organizational ability to recognize unexpected changes in the environment and appropriately respond in a swift and efficient manner, by utilizing and reconfiguring internal resources, thus gaining competitive advantage in the process”
Doz (2020, p. 1)	“The ability to exploit, or create to one’s advantages changing patterns of resource deployment in a thoughtful and purposeful but also fast and nimble way rather than remain hostage to stable pre-set plans and existing business models”
Walter and Raetzke (2021, p. 1–2)	“A [dynamic capability] that allows a company to quickly recognize changes in its dynamic business environment and exploit them for its own advantage (i.e., for enhancing business performance and competitiveness)”

Agility as Effective Reaction to Environmental Change

The notion of reactive responses to environmental change is reflected in the earliest definitions of agility (Brown & Agnew, 1982). This perspective suggests that agility refers to how effectively organizations can react to critical changes in their environments in ways that maintain or enhance competitive advantage. Such agility is grounded in the properties of the organization that foster rapid detection and sense-making of environmental changes. These properties are analogous to Endsley’s (1995, 2015) model of situational awareness developed in individual leader and team military contexts. Her model posits three processes of such awareness: (1) detection of changing situational elements, (2) sense-making and comprehension of these changes, and (3) forecasting the implications of these changes. All three processes serve as a foundation for effective responses to situational changes.

These processes have been defined in several ways in the literature on organizational agility. Žitkienė and Deksnys (2018, p. 119) described the “sense-response framework” of such agility, denoting the organization’s ability to identify strategic threats and opportunities emerging in its environment. The sense-making and forecasting aspects of sense-response framework also determine whether the organization has the capabilities and capacities to address changes. Žitkiene and Deksnys note:

Once external threats or opportunities are identified, the organization has to identify whether it can cope with these changes: are external changes

applicable to the organizational existing status and future strategic goals; are these changes potentially benefit an organization; can organizational actually do something about those changes.

(pp. 121–122)

The facilities for such responses most likely reside in the cognitive capacities of the top management team (Heavey & Simsek, 2017; Helfat & Peteraf, 2015), as well as in the information technology capabilities across the organization (Werder et al., 2021).

Doz (2020) described strategic sensitivity as a key aspect of strategic agility. Such sensitivity refers to “sensing and framing opportunities and threats in new insightful ways—as they emerge” (p. 2). According to Doz, strategic sensitivity can entail detecting new patterns in the strategic environment, a process that is hampered by the tendency of organizational leaders to be biased toward using existing mental models guiding strategic interpretations and toward attending mostly to evidence confirming these mental models. Thus, organizational agility rests on the abilities of strategic decision makers to engage in frame switching and to think in flexible ways that minimize cognitive biases (Baškarada & Koronios, 2018; Nelson et al., 2010; Teece et al., 2016).

Strategic responsiveness also includes the ability of the organization to react effectively as a system to environmental changes. Research on organizational agility specifies this systemic responsiveness as a function of the organization’s dynamic capabilities (Baškarada & Koronios, 2018; Teece et al., 2016; Walter & Raetz, 2021). Organizations possess particular resources that become critical in responding to environmental events. Teece et al.’s (2016) definition of organizational agility (see Table 1.1) identified it as the firm’s capacity to redeploy its resources around “higher-yield” activities to maintain competitive advantage. They articulated three sets of dynamic capabilities contributing to agility: (1) “identification, development, co-development, and assessment of technological opportunities (and threats) in relationship to customer needs (the “sensing” of unknown futures);” (2) “mobilization of resources to address needs and opportunities and capture value from doing so (“seizing”);” and (3) “continued renewal (“transforming” or “shifting”)” (p. 18). The first capability cluster reflects the sensing capacities defined earlier. The second refers to the redirection of current resources and systems toward needs suggested by environmental changes. The third cluster reflects the capacity to continually learn, innovate, and transform. All three sets of these capabilities are built into the processes of strategic decision makers and inculcated throughout the organization. Indeed, in agile organizations, dynamic capabilities are entwined with their business model (Teece, 2018), or “the design or architecture of the value creation, delivery, and capture mechanisms employed” by a business (Teece, 2010, p. 191).

A common element in the definitions in Table 1.1 is that agility includes speed and nimbleness in effectively responding to environmental changes.

Youssef (1992) argued that competitive advantage for firms should be based not only on costs and quality but also on timeliness of innovation and response. He noted that agile organizations implement faster product development processes and align human resources accordingly. Harraf et al. (2015) argued that balancing decentralized and centralized power contributed to speed of response. They noted that “when lower-level employees have some authority, responses to the environment are faster and more accurate” (p. 679). However, they also argued that, in times of crisis, speed is enhanced through more centralized decision-making by senior executives. This suggests that to foster agile responding, TMTs need to establish a climate of devolved decision-making autonomy but establish clear boundaries for when particular decisions need to be centered in top managers.

Doz (2020) defined resource fluidity, or “mobilizing and redeploying resources *rapidly* and efficiently” as a key capability of strategic agility (p. 2, emphasis added). He noted the tendency for organizational units to hoard resources as a major obstacle to such fluidity. Organizational agility is often constrained by process decrements from cross-unit conflicts over which resources to redeploy as well as when and how to do so. Doz argues that resource fluidity and speed of responding can be facilitated by encouraging a more systems perspective regarding interdependencies across the organization, fostering a more adaptive learning approach across the organization, and implementing a more flexible network of entrepreneurship that breaks rigid ownership and utilization of resources. Such a network would tailor resources more swiftly to customer requirements and environmental demand. Doz also notes that resource fluidity entails “identifying opportunities and needs for resource allocation before they become obvious” (p. 8). This notion speaks to the proactive aspect noted in definitions of organizational agility.

Agility as Proactive Agency

A second perspective in the definitions of agility in Table 1.1 is the capacity of organizations to establish systems and processes in anticipation of environmental changes to maximize their competitive position when such changes occur. For example, Pulakos et al. (2019, p. 307) argued that “organizational agility entails *proactively* sensing and redirecting in order to chart a competitive path by rapidly reallocating resources, building new capabilities, and jettisoning assets and activities that no longer create value” (emphasis added). Rindova and Courtney (2020) offered a similar approach, defining shaping strategies as a response to environmental uncertainty. Thus, to be agile, these researchers noted that organizations make anticipatory strategic decisions and establish systems that allow them to remain flexible while not sacrificing stability. Accordingly,

Pulakos et al. argued that agility “requires a holistic and coordinated approach across products, technology, operations, structures, systems, and talent” (p. 307).

Such an approach means that organizations need to build a number of different capacities. The first is to establish and use tools to forecast potential emerging threats or opportunities in the business environment. This entails strategic sensitivity (Doz, 2020) within a longer time horizon than is typical in reactive agility. Thus, for example, senior management teams need to be attuned to the possibilities of early-stage technologies. They need to conduct long-range competitor analyses. They also need to establish risk management structures and leadership roles within the top management team (e.g., chief information security officer). Such strategic forecasting systems allow the organization to position current resources and human capital, and to develop new forms of such capital, in order to take advantage of opportunities as they occur, or to mitigate threats as they arrive in the environment.

Proactive agility also entails building slack and redundancies across the organization. Building slack means creating or holding onto excess resources to anticipate the need to respond quickly to environmental events (Teece et al., 2016). Indeed, the failure by most airlines to build slack in equipment and human resources is arguably a major cause of their lack of agile performance during the course of the COVID-19 pandemic, when travel demand surged. Establishing slack in information technology resources can foster greater innovation and creativity that can in turn lead to the development of novel tools to improve the company’s positioning in changing competitive environments (Doz, 2020; Lu & Ramamurthy, 2011). The key challenge, though, is that building slack and redundancies in organizations is costly; thus, organizations need to establish the right balance in possessing sufficient slack to respond agilely to events but not holding too much slack so as to diminish resources needed for innovation (Teece et al., 2016).

Proactive agency in agility is also manifested when organizations develop dynamic capabilities that can shape their external environments (Teece et al., 2016). Baškarada and Koronios (2018, p. 337) define such shaping as “the ability to execute and scale new capabilities to affect the external environment.” Thus, agile organizations act with foresight to shape their environment according to their strategic orientation and goals. Strategies for environmental shaping can include the introduction of new technologies and other innovations that change the competitive landscape. They can also entail investments in strategic alliances as well as mergers and acquisitions that also alter this landscape. Finally, environmental shaping requires an acute awareness of political dynamics within and outside of the organization. Such awareness also contributes to the strategic sensitivity and forecasting capabilities noted earlier. Accordingly, investments in human capital around political acumen can contribute in multiple ways to organizational savvy.

Summary

We have identified an array of organizational parameters that compose a company's capacity to be agile. In line with prior definitions, we have noted both reactive and proactive elements of this capacity. Most factors influencing organizational agility are rooted ultimately in the structure and processes of the senior management teams. The general intent of the chapters in this book is to elucidate the multiple connections between the senior executive team and the organizational capacity for agility. In the next section of this introduction, we provide a conceptual framework of organizational agility and its antecedents. We will conclude with an overview of the chapters and how they fit with this framework.

An Organizing Framework for Understanding Organizational Agility

Figure 1.1 illustrates our proposed framework of organizational agility. It reflects the input-process-output model ubiquitous in the literature on team effectiveness (McGrath, 1984; Hackman & Morris, 1975; Marks et al., 2001). The outcome space in our model includes agile performance, and its most proximal drivers, an agile organization, and agility investments. Agile performance reflects nimble, fast, and effective management of environmental challenges and events. We posit agile performance as an “umbrella term” (see Heavey and Simsek, 2024) to encompass a number of related terms such as adaptability, ambidexterity, and resilience, although there are important conceptual differences among these constructs (Pulakos et al., 2019; see Hiller and Ozgen, 2024). Agile performance is broadly the result of the organization being structured in terms of product management processes, normative practices, decision-making processes, top management configurations, resource slack, research and development, and information technology in ways that systematically position it for nimble, fast, and effective reactions to environmental events. This organizational structuring is in turn a function of strategic investments made by the organization to increase its agility stance.

The basic premise of this book is that agility investments are influenced directly by the interface of teamwork and leadership processes in the senior management team. This team is responsible for making and implementing the strategic decisions that in turn position the organization to be agile. Senior team members are also responsible for creating the climate for agility in their respective units.

While behavioral integration reflects the quality of teamwork in senior teams, there are several other aspects of such teams that are reflected in teamwork processes. For example, each member of top management teams is leading a separate function, unit, or division of the company, and often competing for strategic resources with other members of the team. Thus, the dual roles of leading a separate unit and serving as members of the management team at the apex of these units can produce cooperation dynamics within the team (Bengtsson et al.,




FIGURE 1.1 A framework of organizational agility.

2020; Tsai, 2002). This dynamic of competition can vary across issues, such that alliances, factions, and fault lines may change as other issues come to the fore (Cooper et al., 2014; Ndofor et al., 2015).

The nature of top management teams as composed of leaders of different functions and units, as well as their interactions with other senior teams and boards of directors, suggest a structure of tightly networked teams called multiteam systems (Luciano et al., 2020; Mathieu et al., 2001). Luciano and colleagues described these as strategic leadership systems in which a key emphasis is on balancing and integrating processes of working independently versus interdependently as team members. However, the dual identity as a member of a separate unit versus a member of the senior leadership team can foster countervailing forces in which executive resource allocation decisions, and commitment to the component team versus the strategic leadership system, are in tension (Asencio & DeChurch, 2017; DeChurch & Zaccaro, 2013).

The nature of teamwork in senior organizational teams is entwined with the nature of leadership dynamics within teams. The functions of senior leadership that contribute to agility include an array of strategic formation and implementation processes (Morgeson et al., 2010; Zaccaro, 2001). These include decisions about the selection and staffing of the top management team, as well as senior leadership teams at the next lower level (see Ployhart et al., 2024). Leadership structures and forms also influence teamwork processes in TMTs as well as the

agility of organizational responses to the environment. Leadership can be centralized where one or a few individuals have power to make strategic decisions or power can be decentralized where multiple managers have autonomy to respond to environmental changes (Harraf et al., 2015). Moreover, leadership structures can reflect both formal and informal multilevel network ties that can influence information sharing and cooperation (Tsai, 2002). These structures also have a dynamic quality in that different leadership network ties can be activated across different issues, and decision-making as a whole can reflect a heterarchy (Aime et al., 2014) where power can move between hierarchical and shared leadership arrangements. Harraf et al. (2015) noted, for example, that organizational agility is enhanced when managers have autonomy to make decisions (i.e., decentralized or shared leadership), but also when such power is centralized in times of crisis.

We suggest that this interface of teamwork and leadership dynamics is in turn influenced by the environmental context of the organizational, the ecology of the interpersonal space among senior leadership teams, the individual attributes of the CEO, and the features of the senior team. The idea that environments and the business context influence strategic processes is a long-established one (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). Moreover, the need for agility is inextricably tied to the dynamism and rate of change in the external environment (Teece et al., 2016). These environmental qualities can also influence the nature of team and leadership processes (Eisenhardt, 1989) and the strategic possibilities under consideration by senior leadership teams. For example, environmental munificence increases strategic options open for choice (Hambrick & Abrahamson, 1995; Li & Tang, 2010). Also, environmental complexity creates a greater need for interdependence among senior leader teams and their members (Luciano et al., 2020).

The physical and social arrangements of organizations may also influence the dynamics in senior leadership teams. For example, company size and the amount of diversity among its managers can influence social interactions, including the ease of moving in and out of such interactions (Bahns et al., 2012). The degree of physical and temporal dispersion among managers will also influence information sharing and the practice of leadership (Mesmer-Magnus et al., 2011). Such factors can in turn influence the speed of organizational responsiveness to changing events (Bi et al., 2013; Irfan et al., 2019). In this regard, the evolving practices associated with work arrangements must be reviewed with a particular emphasis on when and where physical co-location can be seen as an asset or liability when it comes to the sharing of information as it can support situational awareness and where it can support the timely processing of this information for appropriate actions (or reactions). Proximity or propinquity may have an important role to play in shaping collective behavior among members of the leadership team, especially under conditions of stress or emotional arousal.

CEOs are typically positioned as the main drivers of strategic decision-making in organizations. They often have the most position power to influence

organizational processes. Accordingly, their individual characteristics carry important weight on the nature of teamwork and leadership in senior teams (Helfat & Martin, 2015; Holmes et al., 2021; Zaccaro, 2001). These characteristics refer to cognitive capacities, social skills, motives, and personality (see Zaccaro et al., 2024). These attributes complement and often interact with the qualities of other executives on the top management team (Holmes et al., 2021). The composition of the top management team in terms of such attributes has long been posited as a key driver of TMT processes (Finkelstein & Hambrick, 1996; Hambrick et al., 1996). Therefore, interventions designed to increase such human capital, such as executive selection, succession planning, and executive development programs in turn can contribute to organizational agility (see Day et al., 2024; Ployhart et al., 2024). We also note, however, the importance of compensation systems as influences of the decision-making of senior leadership teams. Thus, such systems represent another intervention that influences the agility posture of organizations (see Essman and Nyberg, 2024).

The model in Figure 1.1 provides an advance organizer for the chapters in this book. Our intent was to establish a broad framework to integrate the invited chapters; indeed, the chapters speak to one or more linkages in this framework. We do not offer it as a comprehensive model of agility. Indeed, other chapters in the book offer more elaborated models (e.g., Heavey and Simsek, 2024). In the remaining section of this introduction, we will briefly summarize each of these chapters.

Overview of Chapters

The chapters in this volume are organized around the input-mediator-outcome aspects of our conceptual framework. The chapter by Hiller and Ozgen relies heavily on the strategic management literature in providing a framing overview of the organizational agility construct. As in many of the chapters in this book, they rely heavily on the dynamic capabilities literature, and position agility as a key proximal predictor of several organizational outcomes, while also acknowledging that agility is not a panacea—it is often costly in terms of attention and resources and shouldn't be blindly pursued as a generic goal.

The chapter by Heavey and Simsek provides a comprehensive review and conceptual framing of dynamic capabilities as precursors to organizational agility. They define multiple sets of dynamic capabilities, including sensing and seizing opportunities and threats, and reconfiguring organizational resources. They reference several top management group capabilities as fostering dynamic capabilities. They also posit several structural features, TMG interfaces, TMG processes and emergent states, and incentive structures as influencing TMG capabilities. These are influenced in turn by several TMG input factors. Thus, Heavey and Simsek offer a nice companion piece and significant extension to several of the ideas offered in this introduction and by Hiller and Ozgen. They also preview key points in many of the chapters later in the book.

The chapter by Joshi examines the link between business strategy and organizational agility. We noted in Figure 1.1 that agile performance is predicated on the strategic decisions that establish structures and processes throughout the organization to position it to act with speed and efficiency to environmental shifts. Such decisions reflect the investments organizations make to increase their agile posture. Joshi offers a strategic framework composed of strategic vision and long-term objectives leading to corporate, business, and functional strategies. He stresses that agility derives from strategic alignments across the organization and among these types of strategies. This chapter, then, emphasizes that organizational agility needs to be rooted in the strategic nexus of the organization.

The next three chapters in this volume address the intricacies of the leader-team interfaces in senior management teams. Wedell-Wedellsberg and Greve examine the characteristics and key functions in such teams that enable strategic agility. Specifically, they integrate the behavioral integration of a senior team with its structure of distributed cognition to explain a predominant emphasis on exploitation, exploration, or ambidexterity. Their premise is senior executive teams need high levels of both behavioral integration and strong transactive memory systems, an emergent state that reflects shared cognition about each member's specific expertise (Wegner, 1987), to display effective ambidexterity and strategic agility. They use data from Fortune 500 Global firms to provide an initial examination of their framework.

The chapter by Carter, Cullen-Lester, Solanelles, and Jones focuses on the leadership side of the leader-team interface in senior leadership teams. They examine leadership at the top as a network of communication and leadership ties among top managers. Such networks can reflect different leadership forms from centralized and hierarchical to decentralized and collective (or shared (Contractor et al., 2012)). Carter et al. depict how different patterns in the strategic leadership network can either hinder or facilitate the agile functioning of the organization. They illustrate their framework with a case study of a large European commercial cleaning company that needed to demonstrate agility in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Zhou and Klimoski offer an examination of the chief of staff's role in orchestrating the interpersonal and political context of senior management team interactions and decisions. This role has received limited attention in the strategic management and leadership literatures. The authors define three key roles of the chief of staff—administrator, advisor, and connector—and describe how the chief of staff connected to the senior executive team can foster team behavioral integration and distributed cognition. Thus, this chapter not only covers a relatively new topic in strategic management but also builds nicely on the Wedell-Wedellsberg and Greve chapter.

The next two chapters in the book focus on primary input factors for senior executive team processes. Zaccaro, Zhou, and Resick describe sets of CEO

characteristics that contribute to organizational agility. To substantiate these characteristics, they define the performance requirements and imperatives associated with organizational agility. Thus, they are not speaking to general CEO qualities but to those specifically needed to foster the leadership functions and processes that contribute to success in dynamic environments. They articulate several cognitive, social, motive, and personality attributes that enhance the practice of situational sensing, seizing, and recalibration noted as key agility functions (Teece et al., 2016; see Heavey and Simsek, 2024).

Ling and Wei describe how the composition of the top management team contributes to agility through its influence on the CEO–TMT interface. They review the literature on TMT similarity and diversity and their effects on TMT processes. They also articulate the role of the CEO in shaping the TMT through several different mechanisms, including staffing (i.e., establishing the composition of the team). They describe several necessary future research directions based on an ecosystem view of the CEO–TMT interface. Taken together, these two chapters on CEO characteristics and on TMT composition and the CEO–TMT interface provide complementary perspectives on how CEO and TMT attributes set the stage for organizational agility.

The remaining chapters in the book describe intervention strategies that can either improve the human capital contributing to organizational agility or determine how executives choose to apply their personal capital toward such agility. The chapter by Ployhart, Schepker, Wright, and Strizver summarizes basic selection procedures and applies them to senior executives and teams. In doing so, they utilize the external team contexts framework (Ployhart et al., 2022) to explain unique aspects of executive selection versus the selection of managers at lower organizational levels. They conclude their chapter by applying principles of dynamic executive selection and succession planning to the establishment of a capability for organizational agility. They describe how an integration of future-oriented knowledge, skills, abilities, and other attributes with the firm's strategy is needed to build a basis for organizational agility.

The chapter by Day, Conger, and Dannhäuser changes the lens from executive selection to executive development. However, in line with the focus of the book on senior executive teams, they present a conceptual framework and set of practices related to collective leadership development. Rather than developing individual executives (or rather in addition to individual leader development), organizations can enhance their agility by focusing more attention on developing the collective dynamic capabilities of the top executive team. Day and colleagues describe the enabling conditions and needs for such development. They also postulate shared learning opportunities and processes for fostering collective leadership capacity in the service of agility.

These two chapters apply an extensive literature on employee selection and leader(ship) development from the domain of industrial and organizational

psychology to the enhancement of dynamic capabilities in the strategic team. They are emblematic of the bridges we hope this book may build across communities. The chapter by Essman and Nyberg extends this bridge-building by applying principles of employee compensation to efforts to be agile. They begin by providing fundamental frameworks of executive compensation and top management team pay mix. They proceed to show how these frameworks, particularly TMT pay mix, may need to be adjusted to foster organizational agility. They note that there is limited research on pay mix and agility and provide several directions to advance this research.

We are delighted to conclude the book with a commentary by Michael A. Hitt and his colleagues, R. Michael Holmes Jr. and Sal Mistry. Acknowledging the continually changing environment, this chapter frames strategic advantage created by an organization as a necessarily temporary state and lays out the need for both lower and higher-order forms of agility to both adapt to and shape the organization's environment. Drawing partly from the contributing chapters to this book, Hitt and colleagues provide an overview of the importance of recognizing the various stakeholders of a firm and discuss some of the nuances of implementation of strategy and the behavioral and human capital required. Finally, they lay out a path and plan for future research that can effectively lead us to maximize our understanding of organizational agility through the lens of strategic leadership teams.

In summary, these chapters provide multiple perspectives from different disciplinary domains to inform the concept of organizational agility. We invited these authors and their chapters in the hope of providing synergies that can drive future research in this area. While the concept of organizational agility was introduced decades ago, it has only recently become a major theme in organization science. The COVID-19 pandemic has provided a vivid example of the importance of such agility. Given the surge in interest in organizational agility and the current dramatic example of its necessity, we hope this book can help galvanize research in this area.

References

- Aime, F., Humphrey, S., DeRue, D. S., & Paul, J. B. (2014). The riddle of heterarchy: Power transitions in cross-functional teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, *57*(2), 327–352.
- Appelbaum, S. H., Calla, R., Desautels, D., & Hasan, L. N. (2017a). The challenges of organizational agility: Part 1. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, *49*(1), 6–14. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-05-2016-0027>
- Appelbaum, S. H., Calla, R., Desautels, D., & Hasan, L. N. (2017b). The challenges of organizational agility: Part 2. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, *49*(2), 69–74. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-05-2016-0028>
- Asencio, R., & DeChurch, L. A. (2017). Assessing collaboration within and between teams: A multiteam systems perspective. In A. A. von Davier, M. Zhu, & P. C.

- Kyllonen (Eds.), *Innovative assessment of collaboration* (pp. 37–50). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Bahns, A. J., Pickett, K. M., & Crandall, C. S. (2012). Social ecology of similarity: Big schools, small schools and social relationships. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 15(1), 119–131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430211410751>
- Baron, M. (2022, July 25). *How did air travel get so bad?* <https://www.afar.com/magazine/why-there-are-so-many-delayed-and-canceled-flights-in-2022>.
- Başkarada, S., & Koronios, A. (2018). The 5S organizational agility framework: A dynamic capabilities perspective. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 26(2), 331–342. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-05-2017-1163>
- Bengtsson, M., Raza-Ullah, T., & Srivastava, M. K. (2020). Looking different vs thinking differently: Impact of TMT diversity on cooperation capability. *Long Range Planning*, 53(1), 101857.
- Bi, R., Davidson, R., Kam, B., & Smyrniotis, K. (2013). Developing organizational agility through IT and supply chain capability. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 21(4), 38–55. <http://doi.org/10.4018/jgim.2013100103>
- Bisbey, T. M., Reyes, D. L., Traylor, A. M., & Salas, E. (2019). Teams of psychologists helping teams: The evolution of the science of team training. *American Psychologist*, 74(3), 278–289. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000419>
- Brown, J. L., & Agnew, N. M. (1982). Corporate agility. *Business Horizons*, 25(2), 29–33.
- Conboy, K., & Fitzgerald, B. (2004). Toward a conceptual framework of agile methods: A study of agility in different disciplines. *Proceedings of the 2004 ACM Workshop on Interdisciplinary Software Engineering Research*, 37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1029997.1030005>
- Contractor, N. S., DeChurch, L. A., Carson, J., Carter, D. R., & Keegan, B. (2012). The topology of collective leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23, 994–1011.
- Cooper, D., Patel, P. C., & Thatcher, S. M. (2014). It depends: Environmental context and the effects of faultlines on top management team performance. *Organization Science*, 25(2), 633–652.
- Day, D. V., Conger, J. A., & Dannhäuser, L. (2024). Developing the senior leadership team for dynamic capabilities. In S. J. Zaccaro, N. J. Hiller, & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 291–316). New York: Routledge.
- DeChurch, L. A., Hiller, N. J., Murase, T., Doty, D., & Salas, E. (2010). Leadership across levels: Levels of leadership and their levels of impact. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21, 1069–1085.
- DeChurch, L. A., & Zaccaro, S. (2013). Innovation in scientific multiteam systems: Confluence and countervailing forces. Paper commissioned by the National Research Council. Retrieved from http://sites.nationalacademies.org/cs/groups/dbassesite/documents/webpage/dbasse_083773.pdf
- Denning, S. (2018). *The age of agile: How smart companies are transforming the way work gets done*. New York: American Management Association.
- Doz, Y. (2020). Fostering strategic agility: How individual executives and human resource practices contribute. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(1), 100693.
- Doz, Y., & Kosonen, M. (2010). Embedding strategic agility: A leadership agenda for accelerating business model renewal. *Long Range Planning Special Issue on Business Models*, 43, 2–3.

- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Making fast strategic decisions in high-velocity environments. *Academy of Management Journal*, 32(3), 543–576. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256434>
- Endsley, M. R. (1995). Toward a theory of situation awareness in dynamic systems. *Human Factors*, 37, 32–64.
- Endsley, M. R. (2015). Situation awareness misconceptions and misunderstandings. *Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making*, 9(1), 4–32.
- Essman, S. M., & Nyberg, A. (2024). Executive compensation: How organizations use pay mix to maximize top management team effectiveness and agility. In S. J. Zaccaro, N. J. Hiller, & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 317–335). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Finkelstein, S., & Hambrick, D. C. (1996). *Strategic leadership: Top executives and their effects on organizations*. Minneapolis, MN and St. Paul, MN: West Educational Publishing.
- Finkelstein, S., Hambrick, D. C., & Cannella, A. A. (2009). *Strategic leadership: Theory and research on executives, top management teams and boards*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Guion, R. M. (1965). *Personnel testing*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hackman, J. R., & Morris, C. G. (1975). Group tasks, group interaction process, and group performance effectiveness: A review and proposed integration. In *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 8, pp. 45–99). New York: Academic Press.
- Hambrick, D. C. (1994). Top management groups: A conceptual integration and reconsideration of the “team” label. In B. M. Staw & L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior* (pp. 171–214). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Hambrick, D. C., & Abrahamson, E. (1995). Assessing managerial discretion across industries: A multimethod approach. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38, 1427–1441.
- Hambrick, D. C., Cho, T. S., & Chen, M. J. (1996). The influence of top management team heterogeneity on firms’ competitive moves. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41(4), 659–684. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393871>
- Hambrick, D. C., & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 193–206.
- Harraf, A., Wanasika, I., Tate, K., & Talbott, K. (2015). Organizational agility. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 31(2), 675–686.
- Heavey, C., & Simsek, Z. (2017). Distributed cognition in top management teams and organizational ambidexterity: The influence of transactive memory systems. *Journal of Management*, 43(3), 919–945. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314545652>
- Heavey, C., & Simsek, Z. (2024). Dynamic capabilities and the nature of organizational agility: Towards managerial theory. In S. J. Zaccaro, N. J. Hiller, & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 44–81). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Helfat, C. E., & Martin, J. A. (2015). Dynamic managerial capabilities. *Journal of Management*, 41(5), 1281–1312.
- Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2015). Managerial cognitive capabilities and the micro-foundations of dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(6), 831–850. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2247>
- Hiller, N. J., Day, D. V., & Vance, R. J. (2006). Collective enactment of leadership roles and team effectiveness: A field study. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(4), 387–397.
- Hiller, N. J., & Ozgen, S. (2024). Organizational agility and organizational effectiveness. In Zaccaro, S. J., Hiller, N. J., & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 24–43). New York, NY: Routledge.

- Holmes, R. M., Jr., Hitt, M. A., Perrewé, P. L., Palmer, J. C., & Molina-Siero, G. (2021). Building cross-disciplinary bridges in leadership: Integrating top executive personality and leadership theory and research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 32, 1–24.
- Holsapple, C., & Li, X. (2008). *Understanding organizational agility: A work-design perspective*. Washington, DC: Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration. Retrieved from <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA486893.pdf>
- Ilgen, D. R., Hollenbeck, J. R., Johnson, M., & Jundt, D. (2005). Teams in organizations: From I-P-O models to IMO models. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 56, 517–543. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.56.091103.070250>
- Irfan, M., Wang, M., & Akhtar, N. (2019). Impact of IT capabilities on supply chain capabilities and organizational agility: A dynamic capability view. *Operations Management Research*, 12, 113–128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-019-00142-y>
- Kahl, J., de Klerk, S., & Ogulin, R. (2022). Agile strategies for middle managers. *Management Decision*, 60(1), 146–166. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-07-2020-0889>
- Kozlowski, S. W. J., Mak, S., & Chao, G. T. (2016). Team-centric leadership: An integrative review. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 3, 21–54.
- Lawrence, P. R., & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). Differentiation and integration in complex organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 12, 1–47.
- Li, J., & Tang, Y. I. (2010). CEO hubris and firm risk-taking in China: The moderating role of managerial discretion. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53, 45–68.
- Lu, Y., & Ramamurthy, K. R. (2011). Understanding the link between information technology capability and organizational agility: An empirical examination. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(4), 931–954.
- Luciano, M. M., Nahrgang, J. D., & Shropshire, C. (2020). Strategic leadership systems: Viewing top management teams and boards of directors from a multiteam systems perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(3), 675–701.
- Marks, M. A., Mathieu, J., & Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). A temporally based framework and taxonomy of team processes. *Academy of Management Review*, 26, 356–376.
- Mathieu, J. E., Gallagher, P. T., Domingo, M. A., & Klock, E. A. (2019). Embracing complexity: Reviewing the past decade of team effectiveness research. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 6, 17–46.
- Mathieu, J. E., Hollenbeck, J. R., Knippenberg, D. V., & Ilgen, D. R. (2017). A century of work teams in the *Journal of Applied Psychology*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 452–467. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000128>
- Mathieu, M., Marks, M. A., & Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). Multiteam systems theory. In N. Anderson, D. Oniz, & C. Viswesvaran (Eds.), *The international handbook of work and organizational psychology* (pp. 289–312). London: Sage Publications.
- McGrath, J. (1984). *Groups: Interaction and performance*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Mesmer-Magnus, J. R., DeChurch, L. A., Jimenez-Rodriguez, M., Wildman, J., & Shuffler, M. (2011). A meta-analytic investigation of virtuality and information sharing in teams. *Organizational Behavior Human Decision Processes*, 115, 214–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2011.03.002>
- Morgeson, F. P., DeRue, D. S., & Karam, E. P. (2010). Leadership in teams: A functional approach to understanding leadership structures and processes. *Journal of Management*, 36, 5–39.
- Ndofor, H. A., Sirmon, D. G., & He, X. (2015). Utilizing the firm's resources: How TMT heterogeneity and resulting faultlines affect TMT tasks. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(11), 1656–1674.

- Neely, B. H., Lovelace, J. B., Cowen, A. P., & Hiller, N. J. (2020). Metacritiques of upper echelons theory: Verdicts and recommendations for future research. *Journal of Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320908640>
- Nelson, J. K., Zaccaro, S. J., & Herman, J. L. (2010). Strategic information provision and experiential variety as tools for developing adaptive leadership skills. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 62, 131–142.
- Pearce, C. L., & Conger, J. A. (Eds.). (2003). *Shared leadership: Reframing the hows and whys of leadership*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ployhart, R. E., Schepker, D. J., & McFarland, L. A. (2022). A review and theoretical framework for understanding external team contexts. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 107, 1052–1069.
- Ployhart, R. E., Schepker, D. J., Wright, P. M., & Strizver, S. D. (2024). Creating dynamic capabilities for agile executive selection and succession. In S. J. Zaccaro, N. J. Hiller, & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 246–290). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Ployhart, R. E., Schmitt, N., & Tippins, N. T. (2017). Solving the supreme problem: 100 years of selection and recruitment at the *Journal of Applied Psychology*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 291.
- Pulakos, E. D., Kantrowitz, T., & Schneider, B. (2019). What leads to organizational agility: It's not what you think. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 71(4), 305–320. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000150>
- Rindova, V. P., & Courtney, H. (2020). To shape or adapt: Knowledge problems, epistemologies and strategic postures under Knightian uncertainty. *Academy of Management Review*, 45, 787–807.
- Salas, E. (Ed.). (2015). *Team training essentials: A research-based guide*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Sheffey, A. (2021, April 16). *The pandemic may have caused 200,000 business closures — fewer than expected*. <https://www.businessinsider.com/small-business-closures-pandemic-less-expected-past-year-fed-survey-2021-4#:~:text=A%20Fed%20survey%20found%20that,be%20because%20of%20government%20aid>.
- Simsek, Z., Veiga, J. F., Lubatkin, M. H., & Dino, R. N. (2005). Modeling the multilevel determinants of top management team behavioral integration. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48, 69–84.
- Stewart, S., & Amason, A. C. (2017). Assessing the state of top management teams research. In *Oxford research encyclopedia for business management* (pp. 1–24). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Teece, D. J. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2), 172–194.
- Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. *Long Range Planning*, 51(1), 40–49. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2017.06.007>
- Teece, D. J., Peteraf, M., & Leih, S. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and organizational agility: Risk, uncertainty, and strategy in the innovation economy. *California Management Review*, 58(4), 13–35. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2016.58.4.13>
- Thompson, D. (2022, June 26). *Air travel Is a disaster right now. Here's why*. <https://www.theatlantic.com/newsletters/archive/2022/06/summer-air-travel-flights-cancelled/661385/>

- Tsai, W. P. (2002). Social structure of “coopetition” within a multiunit organization: Coordination, competition, and intraorganizational knowledge sharing. *Organization Science*, 13(2), 179–190.
- Tully, S. (2021, June 17). *A strategy session at 40,000 feet: How Southwest Airlines used the pandemic to outmaneuver the majors*. <https://fortune.com/2021/06/17/southwest-airlines-covid-pandemic-air-travel-competition/>
- Tushman, M. L., & O’Reilly III, C. A. (1996). Ambidextrous organizations: Managing evolutionary and revolutionary change. *California Management Review*, 38(4), 8–29.
- Walter, A. T. (2021). Organizational agility: Ill-defined and somewhat confusing? A systematic literature review and conceptualization. *Management Review*, 71, 343–391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-020-00186-6>
- Walter, A. T., & Rietze, S. (2021). Toward a process-oriented model of organizational agility: A dynamic capability perspective. *Journal of Competences, Strategy & Management*, 11, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.25437/jcsm-vol11-22>
- Wegner, D. M. 1987. Transactive memory: A contemporary analysis of the group mind. In *Theories of Group Behavior* (pp. 185–208). New York, NY: Springer.
- Werder, K., Richter, J., Hennel, P., Dreesen, T., Fischer, M., & Weingarh, J. (2021). A three-pronged view on organizational agility. *IT Professional*, 23, 89–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MITP.2020.3016488>
- Worley, C., Williams, T., & Lawler, E. (2014). *The agility factor: Building adaptable organizations for superior performance*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Youssef, M. A. (1992). Agile manufacturing: A necessary condition for competing in global markets. *Industrial Engineering*, 18–20.
- Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). *The nature of executive leadership: A conceptual and empirical analysis of success*. Washington, DC: APA Books.
- Zaccaro, S. J., Heinen, B., & Shuffler, M. (2009). Team leadership and team effectiveness. In E. Salas, J. Goodwin, & C. S. Burke (Eds.), *Team effectiveness in complex organizations: Cross disciplinary perspective and approaches* (pp. 83–111). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Zaccaro, S. J., Rittman, A., & Marks, M. A. (2001). Team leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 12, 451–484.
- Zaccaro, S. J., Zhou, S., & Resick, C. (2024). CEO characteristics and organizational agility. In S. J. Zaccaro, N. J. Hiller, & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 187–222). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Zhang, Z., & Sharifi, H. (2000). A methodology for achieving agility in manufacturing organisations. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 20(4), 496–513. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570010314818>
- Žitkienė, R., & Deksnys, M. (2018). Organizational agility conceptual model. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, 14(2), 115–129.

Senior Leadership Teams and the Agile Organization

- Aime, F. , Humphrey, S. , DeRue, D. S. , & Paul, J. B. (2014). The riddle of heterarchy: Power transitions in cross-functional teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(2), 327–352.
- Appelbaum, S. H. , Calla, R. , Desautels, D. , & Hasan, L. N. (2017a). The challenges of organizational agility: Part 1. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 49(1), 6–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-05-2016-0027>
- Appelbaum, S. H. , Calla, R. , Desautels, D. , & Hasan, L. N. (2017b). The challenges of organizational agility: Part 2. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 49(2), 69–74.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-05-2016-0028>
- Asencio, R. , & DeChurch, L. A. (2017). Assessing collaboration within and between teams: A multiteam systems perspective. In A. A. von Davier , M. Zhu , & P. C. Kyllonen (Eds.), *Innovative assessment of collaboration* (pp. 37–50). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Bahns, A. J. , Pickett, K. M. , & Crandall, C. S. (2012). Social ecology of similarity: Big schools, small schools and social relationships. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 15(1), 119–131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430211410751>
- Baron, M. (2022, July 25). How did air travel get so bad?
<https://www.afar.com/magazine/why-there-are-so-many-delayed-and-canceled-flights-in-2022>.
- Başkarada, S. , & Koronios, A. (2018). The 5S organizational agility framework: A dynamic capabilities perspective. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 26(2), 331–342.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-05-2017-1163>
- Bengtsson, M. , Raza-Ullah, T. , & Srivastava, M. K. (2020). Looking different vs thinking differently: Impact of TMT diversity on coopetition capability. *Long Range Planning*, 53(1), 101857.
- Bi, R. , Davidson, R. , Kam, B. , & Smyrniotis, K. (2013). Developing organizational agility through IT and supply chain capability. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 21(4), 38–55. <http://doi.org/10.4018/jgim.2013100103>
- Bisbey, T. M. , Reyes, D. L. , Traylor, A. M. , & Salas, E. (2019). Teams of psychologists helping teams: The evolution of the science of team training. *American Psychologist*, 74(3), 278–289. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000419>
- Brown, J. L. , & Agnew, N. M. (1982). Corporate agility. *Business Horizons*, 25(2), 29–33.
- Conboy, K. , & Fitzgerald, B. (2004). Toward a conceptual framework of agile methods: A study of agility in different disciplines. *Proceedings of the 2004 ACM Workshop on Interdisciplinary Software Engineering Research*, 37–44.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/1029997.1030005>
- Contractor, N. S. , DeChurch, L. A. , Carson, J. , Carter, D. R. , & Keegan, B. (2012). The topology of collective leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23, 994–1011.
- Cooper, D. , Patel, P. C. , & Thatcher, S. M. (2014). It depends: Environmental context and the effects of faultlines on top management team performance. *Organization Science*, 25(2), 633–652.
- Day, D. V. , Conger, J. A. , & Dannhäuser, L. (2024). Developing the senior leadership team for dynamic capabilities. In S. J. Zaccaro , N. J. Hiller , & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 291–316). New York: Routledge.
- DeChurch, L. A. , Hiller, N. J. , Murase, T. , Doty, D. , & Salas, E. (2010). Leadership across levels: Levels of leadership and their levels of impact. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21, 1069–1085.
- DeChurch, L. A. , & Zaccaro, S. (2013). Innovation in scientific multiteam systems: Confluence and countervailing forces. Paper commissioned by the National Research Council. Retrieved from http://sites.nationalacademies.org/cs/groups/dbassesite/documents/webpage/dbasse_083773.pdf
- Denning, S. (2018). *The age of agile: How smart companies are transforming the way work gets done*. New York: American Management Association.
- Doz, Y. (2020). Fostering strategic agility: How individual executives and human resource practices contribute. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(1), 100693.

- Doz, Y. , & Kosonen, M. (2010). Embedding strategic agility: A leadership agenda for accelerating business model renewal. *Long Range Planning Special Issue on Business Models*, 43, 2–3.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Making fast strategic decisions in high-velocity environments. *Academy of Management Journal*, 32(3), 543–576. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256434>
- Endsley, M. R. (1995). Toward a theory of situation awareness in dynamic systems. *Human Factors*, 37, 32–64.
- Endsley, M. R. (2015). Situation awareness misconceptions and misunderstandings. *Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making*, 9(1), 4–32.
- Essman, S. M. , & Nyberg, A. (2024). Executive compensation: How organizations use pay mix to maximize top management team effectiveness and agility. In S. J. Zaccaro , N. J. Hiller , & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 317–335). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Finkelstein, S. , & Hambrick, D. C. (1996). *Strategic leadership: Top executives and their effects on organizations*. Minneapolis, MN and St. Paul, MN: West Educational Publishing.
- Finkelstein, S. , Hambrick, D. C. , & Cannella, A. A. (2009). *Strategic leadership: Theory and research on executives, top management teams and boards*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Guion, R. M. (1965). *Personnel testing*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hackman, J. R. , & Morris, C. G. (1975). Group tasks, group interaction process, and group performance effectiveness: A review and proposed integration. In *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 8, pp. 45–99). New York: Academic Press.
- Hambrick, D. C. (1994). Top management groups: A conceptual integration and reconsideration of the “team” label. In B. M. Staw & L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior* (pp. 171–214). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Abrahamson, E. (1995). Assessing managerial discretion across industries: A multimethod approach. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38, 1427–1441.
- Hambrick, D. C. , Cho, T. S. , & Chen, M. J. (1996). The influence of top management team heterogeneity on firms’ competitive moves. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41(4), 659–684. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393871>
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 193–206.
- Harraf, A. , Wanasika, I. , Tate, K. , & Talbott, K. (2015). Organizational agility. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 31(2), 675–686.
- Heavey, C. , & Simsek, Z. (2017). Distributed cognition in top management teams and organizational ambidexterity: The influence of transactive memory systems. *Journal of Management*, 43(3), 919–945. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314545652>
- Heavey, C. , & Simsek, Z. (2024). Dynamic capabilities and the nature of organizational agility: Towards managerial theory. In S. J. Zaccaro , N. J. Hiller , & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 44–81). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Helfat, C. E. , & Martin, J. A. (2015). Dynamic managerial capabilities. *Journal of Management*, 41(5), 1281–1312.
- Helfat, C. E. , & Peteraf, M. A. (2015). Managerial cognitive capabilities and the micro-foundations of dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(6), 831–850. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2247>
- Hiller, N. J. , Day, D. V. , & Vance, R. J. (2006). Collective enactment of leadership roles and team effectiveness: A field study. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(4), 387–397.
- Hiller, N. J. , & Ozgen, S. (2024). Organizational agility and organizational effectiveness. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 24–43). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Holmes, R. M., Jr. , Hitt, M. A. , Perrewé, P. L. , Palmer, J. C. , & Molina-Siero, G. (2021). Building cross-disciplinary bridges in leadership: Integrating top executive personality and leadership theory and research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 32, 1–24.
- Holsapple, C. , & Li, X. (2008). *Understanding organizational agility: A work-design perspective*. Washington, DC: Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration. Retrieved from <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA486893.pdf>

- Ilgén, D. R. , Hollenbeck, J. R. , Johnson, M. , & Jundt, D. (2005). Teams in organizations: From I-P-O models to IMO models. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 56, 517–543. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.56.091103.070250>
- Irfan, M. , Wang, M. , & Akhtar, N. (2019). Impact of IT capabilities on supply chain capabilities and organizational agility: A dynamic capability view. *Operations Management Research*, 12, 113–128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-019-00142-y>
- Kahl, J. , de Klerk, S. , & Ogulin, R. (2022). Agile strategies for middle managers. *Management Decision*, 60(1), 146–166. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-07-2020-0889>
- Kozlowski, S. W. J. , Mak, S. , & Chao, G. T. (2016). Team-centric leadership: An integrative review. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 3, 21–54.
- Lawrence, P. R. , & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). Differentiation and integration in complex organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 12, 1–47.
- Li, J. , & Tang, Y. I. (2010). CEO hubris and firm risk-taking in China: The moderating role of managerial discretion. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53, 45–68.
- Lu, Y. , & Ramamurthy, K. R. (2011). Understanding the link between information technology capability and organizational agility: An empirical examination. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(4), 931–954.
- Luciano, M. M. , Nahrgang, J. D. , & Shropshire, C. (2020). Strategic leadership systems: Viewing top management teams and boards of directors from a multiteam systems perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(3), 675–701.
- Marks, M. A. , Mathieu, J. , & Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). A temporally based framework and taxonomy of team processes. *Academy of Management Review*, 26, 356–376.
- Mathieu, J. E. , Gallagher, P. T. , Domingo, M. A. , & Klock, E. A. (2019). Embracing complexity: Reviewing the past decade of team effectiveness research. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 6, 17–46.
- Mathieu, J. E. , Hollenbeck, J. R. , Knippenberg, D. V. , & Ilgen, D. R. (2017). A century of work teams in the *Journal of Applied Psychology*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 452–467. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000128>
- Mathieu, M. , Marks, M. A. , & Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). Multiteam systems theory. In N. Anderson, D. Oniz, & C. Viswesvaran (Eds.), *The international handbook of work and organizational psychology* (pp. 289–312). London: Sage Publications.
- McGrath, J. (1984). *Groups: Interaction and performance*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Mesmer-Magnus, J. R. , DeChurch, L. A. , Jimenez-Rodriguez, M. , Wildman, J. , & Shuffler, M. (2011). A meta-analytic investigation of virtuality and information sharing in teams. *Organizational Behavior Human Decision Processes*, 115, 214–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2011.03.002>
- Morgeson, F. P. , DeRue, D. S. , & Karam, E. P. (2010). Leadership in teams: A functional approach to understanding leadership structures and processes. *Journal of Management*, 36, 5–39.
- Ndofor, H. A. , Sirmon, D. G. , & He, X. (2015). Utilizing the firm's resources: How TMT heterogeneity and resulting faultlines affect TMT tasks. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(11), 1656–1674.
- Neely, B. H. , Lovelace, J. B. , Cowen, A. P. , & Hiller, N. J. (2020). Metacritiques of upper echelons theory: Verdicts and recommendations for future research. *Journal of Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320908640>
- Nelson, J. K. , Zaccaro, S. J. , & Herman, J. L. (2010). Strategic information provision and experiential variety as tools for developing adaptive leadership skills. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 62, 131–142.
- Pearce, C. L. , & Conger, J. A. (Eds.). (2003). *Shared leadership: Reframing the hows and whys of leadership*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ployhart, R. E. , Schepker, D. J. , & McFarland, L. A. (2022). A review and theoretical framework for understanding external team contexts. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 107, 1052–1069.
- Ployhart, R. E. , Schepker, D. J. , Wright, P. M. , & Strizver, S. D. (2024). Creating dynamic capabilities for agile executive selection and succession. In S. J. Zaccaro , N. J. Hiller , & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 246–290). New

York, NY: Routledge.

- Ployhart, R. E. , Schmitt, N. , & Tippins, N. T. (2017). Solving the supreme problem: 100 years of selection and recruitment at the *Journal of Applied Psychology* . *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 291.
- Pulakos, E. D. , Kantrowitz, T. , & Schneider, B. (2019). What leads to organizational agility: It's not what you think. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 71(4), 305–320. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000150>
- Rindova, V. P. , & Courtney, H. (2020). To shape or adapt: Knowledge problems, epistemologies and strategic postures under Knightian uncertainty. *Academy of Management Review*, 45, 787–807.
- Salas, E. (Ed.). (2015). *Team training essentials: A research-based guide*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Sheffey, A. (2021, April 16). The pandemic may have caused 200,000 business closures — fewer than expected. <https://www.businessinsider.com/small-business-closures-pandemic-less-expected-past-year-fed-survey-2021-4#:~:text=A%20Fed%20survey%20found%20that,be%20because%20of%20government%20aid>.
- Simsek, Z. , Veiga, J. F. , Lubatkin, M. H. , & Dino, R. N. (2005). Modeling the multilevel determinants of top management team behavioral integration. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48, 69–84.
- Stewart, S. , & Amason, A. C. (2017). Assessing the state of top management teams research. In *Oxford research encyclopedia for business management* (pp. 1–24). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Teece, D. J. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2), 172–194.
- Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. *Long Range Planning*, 51(1), 40–49. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2017.06.007>
- Teece, D. J. , Peteraf, M. , & Leih, S. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and organizational agility: Risk, uncertainty, and strategy in the innovation economy. *California Management Review*, 58(4), 13–35. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2016.58.4.13>
- Thompson, D. (2022, June 26). *Air travel is a disaster right now. Here's why*. <https://www.theatlantic.com/newsletters/archive/2022/06/summer-air-travel-flights-cancelled/661385/>
- Tsai, W. P. (2002). Social structure of “coopetition” within a multiunit organization: Coordination, competition, and intraorganizational knowledge sharing. *Organization Science*, 13(2), 179–190.
- Tully, S. (2021, June 17). *A strategy session at 40,000 feet: How Southwest Airlines used the pandemic to outmaneuver the majors*. <https://fortune.com/2021/06/17/southwest-airlines-covid-pandemic-air-travel-competition/>
- Tushman, M. L. , & O'Reilly III, C. A. (1996). Ambidextrous organizations: Managing evolutionary and revolutionary change. *California Management Review*, 38(4), 8–29.
- Walter, A. T. (2021). Organizational agility: Ill-defined and somewhat confusing? A systematic literature review and conceptualization. *Management Review*, 71, 343–391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-020-00186-6>
- Walter, A. T. , & Raetzke, S. (2021). Toward a process-oriented model of organizational agility: A dynamic capability perspective. *Journal of Competences, Strategy & Management*, 11, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.25437/jcsm-vol11-22>
- Wegner, D. M. 1987. Transactive memory: A contemporary analysis of the group mind. In *Theories of Group Behavior* (pp. 185–208). New York, NY: Springer.
- Werder, K. , Richter, J. , Hennel, P. , Dreesen, T. , Fischer, M. , & Weingarh, J. (2021). A three-pronged view on organizational agility. *IT Professional*, 23, 89–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MITP.2020.3016488>
- Worley, C. , Williams, T. , & Lawler, E. (2014). *The agility factor: Building adaptable organizations for superior performance*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Youssef, M. A. (1992). Agile manufacturing: A necessary condition for competing in global markets. *Industrial Engineering*, 18–20.
- Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). *The nature of executive leadership: A conceptual and empirical analysis of success*. Washington, DC: APA Books.

- Zaccaro, S. J. , Heinen, B. , & Shuffler, M. (2009). Team leadership and team effectiveness. In E. Salas ., J. Goodwin , & C. S. Burke (Eds.), *Team effectiveness in complex organizations: Cross disciplinary perspective and approaches* (pp. 83–111). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Zaccaro, S. J. , Rittman, A. , & Marks, M. A. (2001). Team leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 12, 451–484.
- Zaccaro, S. J. , Zhou, S. , & Resick, C. (2024). CEO characteristics and organizational agility. In S. J. Zaccaro , N. J. Hiller , & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 187–222). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Zhang, Z. , & Sharifi, H. (2000). A methodology for achieving agility in manufacturing organisations. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 20(4), 496–513. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570010314818>
- Žitkienė, R. , & Deksnys, M. (2018). Organizational agility conceptual model. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, 14(2), 115–129.

Organizational Agility and Organizational Effectiveness

- Adner, R. , & Helfat, C. E. (2003). Corporate effects and dynamic managerial capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 1011–1025.
- Agarwal, R. , & Helfat, C. E. (2009). Strategic renewal of organizations. *Organization Science*, 20(2), 281–293.
- Ambrosini, V. , Bowman, C. , & Collier, N. (2009). Dynamic capabilities: An exploration of how firms renew their resource base. *British Journal of Management*, 20, S9–S24.
- Austin, J. T. , & Villanova, P. (1992). The criterion problem: 1917–1992. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 77(6), 836–874.
- Barney, J. B. (2001). Resource-based theories of competitive advantage: A ten-year retrospective on the resource-based view. *Journal of Management*, 27(6), 643–650.
- Barreto, I. (2010). Dynamic capabilities: A review of past research and an agenda for the future. *Journal of Management*, 36(1), 256–280.
- Beisland, L. A. , D'Espallier, B. , & Mersland, R. (2019). The commercialization of the microfinance industry: Is there a 'personal mission drift among credit officers'? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 158(1), 119–134.
- Berends, H. , van Burg, E. , & Garud, R. (2021). Pivoting or persevering with venture ideas: Recalibrating temporal commitments. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 36(4), 106126.
- Bigelow, L. , Nickerson, J. A. , & Park, W. Y. (2019). When and how to shift gears: Dynamic trade-offs among adjustment, opportunity, and transaction costs in response to an innovation shock. *Strategic Management Journal*, 40(3), 377–407.
- Birkinshaw, J. , & Gibson, C. (2004). Building an ambidextrous organization. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 45(4), 47–55.
- Birkinshaw, J. , Zimmermann, A. , & Raisch, S. (2016). How do firms adapt to discontinuous change? Bridging the dynamic capabilities and ambidexterity perspectives. *California Management Review*, 58(4), 36–58.
- Bititci, U. , Garengo, P. , Dörfler, V. , & Nudurupati, S. (2012). Performance measurement: Challenges for tomorrow. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 14(3), 305–327.
- Brock, D. M. , & Hitt, M. A. (2022, August 5–9). *Higher order capabilities in international firms: Review, analyses and future directions* [Conference presentation]. 2022 Academy of Management Annual Meeting, Seattle, WA. <https://journals.aom.org/doi/abs/10.5465/AMBPP.2022.10506abstract>
- Cameron, K. S. , & Whetten, D. A. (Eds.). (1983). *Organizational effectiveness: A comparison of multiple models*. New York: Academic Press.
- Capon, N. , Farley, J. U. , & Hoenig, S. (1990). Determinants of financial performance: A meta-analysis. *Management Science*, 36(10), 1143–1159.
- Chakravarthy, B. S. (1986). Measuring strategic performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 7(5), 437–458.

- Collis, D. J. (1994). Research note: How valuable are organizational capabilities? *Strategic Management Journal*, 15(S1), 143–152.
- Connolly, T. , Conlon, E. J. , & Deutsch, S. J. (1980). Organizational effectiveness: A multiple-constituency approach. *Academy of Management Review*, 5(2), 211–218.
- Crilly, N. (2018). 'Fixation' and 'the pivot': Balancing persistence with flexibility in design and entrepreneurship. *International Journal of Design Creativity and Innovation*, 6(1–2), 52–65.
- Cyert, R. M. , & March, J. G. (1963). *A behavioral theory of the firm*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Danneels, E. (2002). The dynamics of product innovation and firm competences. *Strategic Management Journal*, 23(12), 1095–1121.
- Danneels, E. (2008). Organizational antecedents of second-order competences. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29(5), 519–543.
- De Toni, A. , & Tonchia, S. (1998). Manufacturing flexibility: A literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 36(6), 1587–1617.
- Denison, D. R. , & Mishra, A. K. (1995). Toward a theory of organizational culture and effectiveness. *Organization Science*, 6(2), 204–223.
- Duclos, L. K. , Vokurka, R. J. , & Lummus, R. R. (2003). A conceptual model of supply chain flexibility. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 103(6), 446–456.
- Duncan, R. B. (1976). The ambidextrous organization: Designing dual structures for innovation. In R. H. Kilmann , L. R. Pondy , & D. Slevin (Eds.), *The management of organization* (Vol. 1, pp. 167–188). North-Holland.
- Easterby-Smith, M. , Lyles, M. A. , & Peteraf, M. A. (2009). Dynamic capabilities: Current debates and future directions. *British Journal of Management*, 20, S1–S8.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. , & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10–11), 1105–1121.
- Evans, J. S. (1991). Strategic flexibility for high technology manoeuvres: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Management Studies*, 28(1), 69–89.
- Fainshmidt, S. , Pezeshkan, A. , Frazier, M. L. , Nair, A. , & Markowski, E. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and organizational performance: A meta-analytic evaluation and extension. *Journal of Management Studies*, 53(8), 1348–1380.
- Fallon-Byrne, L. , & Harney, B. (2017). Microfoundations of dynamic capabilities for innovation: A review and research agenda. *The Irish Journal of Management*, 36(1), 21–31.
- Fang, E. E. , & Zou, S. (2009). Antecedents and consequences of marketing dynamic capabilities in international joint ventures. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(5), 742–761.
- Floyd, S. W. , & Lane, P. J. (2000). Strategizing throughout the organization: Managing role conflict in strategic renewal. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(1), 154–177.
- Fourné, S. P. , Rosenbusch, N. , Heyden, M. L. , & Jansen, J. J. (2019). Structural and contextual approaches to ambidexterity: A meta-analysis of organizational and environmental contingencies. *European Management Journal*, 37(5), 564–576.
- Friedman, A. L. , & Miles, S. (2002). Developing stakeholder theory. *Journal of Management Studies*, 39(1), 1–21.
- Gersick, C. J. (1991). Revolutionary change theories: A multilevel exploration of the punctuated equilibrium paradigm. *Academy of Management Review*, 16(1), 10–36.
- Gibson, C. B. , & Birkinshaw, J. (2004). The antecedents, consequences, and mediating role of organizational ambidexterity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(2), 209–226.
- Golden, W. , & Powell, P. (2000). Towards a definition of flexibility: In search of the Holy Grail? *Omega*, 28(4), 373–384.
- Grimes, M. G. , Williams, T. A. , & Zhao, E. Y. (2020). Beyond hybridity: Accounting for the values complexity of all organizations in the study of mission and mission drift. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(1), 234–238.
- Hampel, C. E. , Tracey, P. , & Weber, K. (2020). The art of the pivot: How new ventures manage identification relationships with stakeholders as they change direction. *Academy of Management Journal*, 63(2), 440–471.
- Harrigan, K. R. (1985). *Strategic flexibility: A management guide for changing times*. Simon and Schuster.

- Heimeriks, K. H. , Schijven, M. , & Gates, S. (2012). Manifestations of higher-order routines: The underlying mechanisms of deliberate learning in the context of postacquisition integration. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(3), 703–726.
- Helfat, C. E. , Finkelstein, S. , Mitchell, W. , Peteraf, M. , Singh, H. , Teece, D. , & Winter, S. G. (2009). Dynamic capabilities: Understanding strategic change in organizations. Malden, MA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Helfat, C. E. , & Peteraf, M. A. (2009). Understanding dynamic capabilities: Progress along a developmental path. *Strategic Organization*, 7(1), 91–102.
- Huff, J. O. , Huff, A. S. , & Thomas, H. (1992). Strategic renewal and the interaction of cumulative stress and inertia. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13(S1), 55–75.
- Hult, G. T. M. , & Ketchen Jr, D. J. (2001). Does market orientation matter?: A test of the relationship between positional advantage and performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(9), 899–906.
- Junni, P. , Saraia, R. M. , Taras, V. A. S. , & Tarba, S. Y. (2013). Organizational ambidexterity and performance: A meta-analysis. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 27(4), 299–312.
- Kanter, R. M. , & Brinkerhoff, D. (1981). Organizational performance: Recent developments in measurement. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 7(1), 321–349.
- Kirtley, J. , & O'Mahony, S. (2020). What is a pivot? Explaining when and how entrepreneurial firms decide to make strategic change and pivot. *Strategic Management Journal*, 1–34.
- Kor, Y. Y. , & Mesko, A. (2013). Dynamic managerial capabilities: Configuration and orchestration of top executives' capabilities and the firm's dominant logic. *Strategic Management Journal*, 34(2), 233–244.
- Lee, S. H. , & Makhija, M. (2009). Flexibility in internationalization: Is it valuable during an economic crisis? *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(5), 537–555.
- Levinthal, D. A. , & March, J. G. (1993). The myopia of learning. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(S2), 95–112.
- March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Organization Science*, 2, 71–87.
- March, J. G. , & Simon, H. A. (1993). *Organizations*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- March, J. G. , & Sutton, R. I. (1997). Crossroads—organizational performance as a dependent variable. *Organization Science*, 8(6), 698–706.
- McDonald, R. , & Gao, C. (2019). Pivoting isn't enough? Managing strategic reorientation in new ventures. *Organization Science*, 30(6), 1289–1318.
- McKinsey . (2017). www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/how-to-create-an-agile-organization
- Miles, R. E. , Snow, C. C. , Meyer, A. D. , & Coleman Jr, H. J. (1978). Organizational strategy, structure, and process. *Academy of Management Review*, 3(3), 546–562.
- Nadkarni, S. , & Narayanan, V. K. (2007). Strategic schemas, strategic flexibility, and firm performance: The moderating role of industry clockspeed. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(3), 243–270.
- O'Reilly, C. A. , & Tushman, M. L. (2004). The ambidextrous organization. *Harvard Business Review*, 82(4), 74–83.
- O'Reilly III, C. A. , & Tushman, M. L. (2008). Ambidexterity as a dynamic capability: Resolving the innovator's dilemma. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 28, 185–206.
- Parmar, B. L. , Freeman, R. E. , Harrison, J. S. , Wicks, A. C. , Purnell, L. , & De Colle, S. (2010). Stakeholder theory: The state of the art. *Academy of Management Annals*, 4(1), 403–445.
- Reeves, M. , & Deimler, M. (2011). Adaptability: The new competitive advantage. *Harvard Business Review*, 89, 135–141.
- Richard, P. J. , Devinney, T. M. , Yip, G. S. , & Johnson, G. (2009). Measuring organizational performance: Towards methodological best practice. *Journal of Management*, 35(3), 718–804.
- Ross, A. M. , Rhodes, D. H. , & Hastings, D. E. (2008). Defining changeability: Reconciling flexibility, adaptability, scalability, modifiability, and robustness for maintaining system lifecycle value. *Systems Engineering*, 11(3), 246–262.

- Sanchez, R. (1993). Strategic flexibility, firm organization, and managerial work in dynamic markets: A strategic options perspective. *Advances in Strategic Management*, 9(1), 251–291.
- Sanchez, R. (1995). Strategic flexibility in product competition. *Strategic Management Journal*, 16(1), 135–159.
- Schilke, O. , Hu, S. , & Helfat, C. E. (2018). Quo vadis, dynamic capabilities? A content-analytic review of the current state of knowledge and recommendations for future research. *Academy of Management Annals*, 12(1), 390–439.
- Schmitt, A. , Raisch, S. , & Volberda, H. W. (2018). Strategic renewal: Past research, theoretical tensions and future challenges. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 20(1), 81–98.
- Shimizu, K. , & Hitt, M. A. (2004). Strategic flexibility: Organizational preparedness to reverse ineffective strategic decisions. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 18(4), 44–59.
- Simsek, Z. (2009). Organizational ambidexterity: Towards a multilevel understanding. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(4), 597–624.
- Slack, N. (2005). The changing nature of operations flexibility. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(2), 1201–1210.
- Stadler, C. , Helfat, C. E. , & Verona, G. (2013). The impact of dynamic capabilities on resource access and development. *Organization Science*, 24(6), 1782–1804.
- Steers, R. M. (1975). Problems in the measurement of organizational effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 546–558.
- Teece, D. J. (2014). The foundations of enterprise performance: Dynamic and ordinary capabilities in an (economic) theory of firms. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 28(4), 328–352.
- Teece, D. , Peteraf, M. , & Leih, S. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and organizational agility: Risk, uncertainty, and strategy in the innovation economy. *California Management Review*, 58(4), 13–35.
- Teece, D. J. , Pisano, G. , & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
- Tushman, M. L. , & O'Reilly III, C. A. (1996). Ambidextrous organizations: Managing evolutionary and revolutionary change. *California Management Review*, 38(4), 8–29.
- Venkatraman, N. , & Ramanujam, V. (1986). Measurement of business performance in strategy research: A comparison of approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 11(4), 801–814.
- Wenke, K. , Zapkau, F. B. , & Schwens, C. (2021). Too small to do it all? A meta-analysis on the relative relationships of exploration, exploitation, and ambidexterity with SME performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 132, 653–665.
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 5(2), 171–180.
- Wilden, R. , Hohberger, J. , Devinney, T. M. , & Lavie, D. (2018). Revisiting James March (1991): Whither exploration and exploitation? *Strategic Organization*, 16(3), 352–369.
- Winter, S. G. (2003). Understanding dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 991–995.
- Wright, P. M. , & Snell, S. A. (1998). Toward a unifying framework for exploring fit and flexibility in strategic human resource management. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(4), 756–772.
- Zahra, S. A. , Sapienza, H. J. , & Davidsson, P. (2006). Entrepreneurship and dynamic capabilities: A review, model and research agenda. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(4), 917–955.

Dynamic Capabilities and the Nature of Organizational Agility

- Adner, R. , & Helfat, C. E. (2003). Corporate effects and dynamic managerial capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 1011–1025. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.331>
- Ashby, W. R. (1956). *An introduction to cybernetics*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Atsmon, Y. (2016, August 30). How nimble resource allocation can double your company's value. *McKinsey Quarterly*. Retrieved June 16, 2021, from www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/how-nimble-resource-allocation-can-double-your-companys-value
- Augier, M. , & Teece, D. J. (2008). Strategy as evolution with design: The foundations of dynamic capabilities and the role of managers in the economic system. *Organization Studies*, 29(8–9), 1187–1208. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840608094776>
- Augier, M. , & Teece, D. J. (2009). Dynamic capabilities and the role of managers in business strategy and economic performance. *Organization Science*, 20(2), 410–421. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1090.0424>
- Bacharach, S. B. (1989). Organizational theories—some criteria for evaluation. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 496–515. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258555>
- Balkundi, P. , & Kilduff, M. (2006). The ties that lead: A social network approach to leadership (Vol. 16, p. 941, 2005). *Leadership Quarterly*, 17(4), 418–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.01.002>
- Bandiera, O. , Hansen, S. , Prat, A. , & Sadun, R. (2020). CEO behavior and firm performance (Vol. 128, p. 1325). *Journal of Political Economy*, 128(5), 2017–2017. <https://doi.org/10.1086/709252>
- Beck, J. B. , & Wiersema, M. F. (2013). Executive Decision Making: Linking Dynamic Managerial Capabilities to the Resource Portfolio and Strategic Outcomes. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 20(4), 408–419. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051812471722>
- Berle, A. , & Means, G. (1932). *The modern corporation and private property*. Commerce Clearing House.
- Boisot, M. , & McKelvey, B. (2011). Complexity and organization-environment relations: Revisiting Ashby's law of requisite variety. In P. Allen , S. Maguire , & B. McKelvey (Eds.), *The safe handbook of complexity and management* (pp. 279–298). Sage Publications.
- Brueller, N. N. , Carmeli, A. , & Drori, I. (2014). How do different types of mergers and acquisitions facilitate strategic agility? *California Management Review*, 56(3), 39–57. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2014.56.3.39>
- Busenbark, J. R. , Krause, R. , Boivie, S. , & Graffin, S. D. (2016). Toward a configurational perspective on the CEO: A review and synthesis of the management literature. *Journal of Management*, 42(1), 234–268. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206315618448>
- Cable, D. M. , & Edwards, J. R. (2004). Complementary and supplementary fit: A theoretical and empirical integration. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 822–834. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.5.822>
- Cao, Q. , Maruping, L. M. , & Takeuchi, R. (2006). Disentangling the effects of CEO turnover and succession on organizational capabilities: A social network perspective. *Organization Science*, 17(5), 563–576. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1060.0201>
- Chen, G. L. , & Hambrick, D. C. (2012). CEO replacement in turnaround situations: Executive (mis)fit and its performance implications. *Organization Science*, 23(1), 225–243. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.0629>
- Chen, M. J. , & Hambrick, D. C. (1995). Speed, stealth, and selective attack—how small firms differ from large firms in competitive behavior. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(2), 453–482. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256688>
- Connelly, B. L. , Tihanyi, L. , Ketchen, D. J. , Carnes, C. M. , & Ferrier, W. J. (2017). Competitive repertoire complexity: Governance antecedents and performance outcomes. *Strategic Management Journal*, 38(5), 1151–1173. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2541>
- Daft, R. L. , & Weick, K. E. (1984). Toward a model of organizations as interpretation systems. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 284–295. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258441>
- Damaraju, N. L. , & Makhija, A. K. (2018). The role of social proximity in professional CEO appointments: Evidence from caste/religion-based hiring of CEOs in India. *Strategic*

Management Journal, 39(7), 2051–2074. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2787>

De Dreu, C. K. W. , & West, M. A. (2001). Minority dissent and team innovation: The importance of participation in decision making. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(6), 1191–1201. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0021-9010.86.6.1191>

Dooley, R. S. , & Fryxell, G. E. (1999). Attaining decision quality and commitment from dissent: The moderating effects of loyalty and competence in strategic decision-making teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(4), 389–402. <https://doi.org/10.2307/257010>

Doz, Y. L. (2020). Fostering strategic agility: How individual executives and human resource practices contribute. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Hrmr.2019.100693>

Doz, Y. L. , & Kosonen, M. (2007). *Fast strategy: How strategic agility will help you stay ahead of the game*. Wharton School Publishing.

Doz, Y. L. , & Kosonen, M. (2008). The dynamics of strategic agility: Nokia's rollercoaster experience. *California Management Review*, 50(3), 95. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41166447>

Doz, Y. L. , & Kosonen, M. (2010). Embedding strategic agility a leadership agenda for accelerating business model renewal. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2–3), 370–382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.006>

Edmondson, A. C. , & Lei, Z. K. (2014). Psychological safety: The history, renaissance, and future of an interpersonal construct. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior* , 1(1), 23–43. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091305>

Eisenhardt, K. M. , & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10–11), 1105–1121. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266\(200010/11\)21:10/11 < 1105::Aid-Smj133 > 3.0.Co;2-E](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266(200010/11)21:10/11 < 1105::Aid-Smj133 > 3.0.Co;2-E)

Fourne, S. P. L. , Jansen, J. J. P. , & Mom, T. J. M. (2014). Strategic agility in MNEs: Managing tensions to capture opportunities across emerging and established markets. *California Management Review*, 56(3), 13–38. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2014.56.3.13>

Fox, B. C. , Simsek, Z. , & Heavey, C. (2023). Venture team membership dynamics and new venture innovation. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1473>

Furr, N. R. , & Eisenhardt, K. M. (2021). Strategy and uncertainty: Resource-based view, strategy-creation view, and the hybrid between them. *Journal of Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F01492063211011760>

Garvin, D. A. , & Levesque, L. C. (2005). *Strategic planning at United Parcel Service (Case #306002)*. Harvard Business School.

Gavetti, G. , & Levinthal, D. (2000). Looking forward and looking backward: Cognitive and experiential search. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45(1), 113–137. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666981>

Gavetti, G. , Levinthal, D. A. , & Rivkin, J. W. (2005). Strategy making in novel and complex worlds: The power of analogy. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(8), 691–712. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.475>

Giambatista, R. C. , Rowe, W. G. , & Riaz, S. (2005). Nothing succeeds like succession: A critical review of leader succession literature since 1994. *Leadership Quarterly*, 16(6), 963–991. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.09.005>

Gilbert, C. G. (2005). Unbundling the structure of inertia: Resource versus routine rigidity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(5), 741–763.

Haleblian, J. , & Finkelstein, S. (1993). Top management team size, CEO dominance, and firm performance—the moderating roles of environmental turbulence and discretion. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36(4), 844–863. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256761>

Hall, S. , Lovallo, D. , & Musters, R. (2012, March). How to put your money where your strategy is. *McKinsey Quarterly*. Retrieved June 16, 2021, from www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/how-to-put-your-money-where-your-strategy-is

Hambrick, D. C. (1989). Putting top managers back in the strategy picture—introduction. *Strategic Management Journal*, 10, 5–15. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250100703>

Hambrick, D. C. (1994). Top management groups: A conceptual integration and reconsideration of the “team” label. In B. M. Staw & L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior* (pp. 171–214). JAI Press.

- Hambrick, D. C. (1995). Fragmentation and the other problems CEOs have with their top management teams. *California Management Review*, 37(3), 110–127. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41165801>
- Hambrick, D. C. , Cho, T. S. , & Chen, M. J. (1996). The influence of top management team heterogeneity on firms' competitive moves. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41(4), 659–684. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393871>
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Fredrickson, J. W. (2005). Are you sure you have a strategy? *Academy of Management Executive*, 19(4), 51–62. <https://doi.org/10.5465/Ame.2005.19417907>
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Fukutomi, G. D. S. (1991). The seasons of a CEO tenure. *Academy of Management Review*, 16(4), 719–742. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258978>
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper echelons—the organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 193–206. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258434>
- Harrigan, K. R. (1985). *Strategic flexibility: A management guide for changing times*. The Free Press.
- Hautz, J. , Seidl, D. , & Whittington, R. (2017). Open strategy: Dimensions, dilemmas, dynamics. *Long Range Planning*, 50(3), 298–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2016.12.001>
- Helfat, C. E. , & Martin, J. A. (2015). Dynamic managerial capabilities: Review and assessment of managerial impact on strategic change. *Journal of Management*, 41(5), 1281–1312. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314561301>
- Helfat, C. E. , & Peteraf, M. A. (2015). Managerial cognitive capabilities and the microfoundations of dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(6), 831–850. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2247>
- Henderson, A. D. , Miller, D. , & Hambrick, D. C. (2006). How quickly do CEOs become obsolete? Industry dynamism, CEO tenure, and company performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27(5), 447–460. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.524>
- Herhausen, D. , Morgan, R. E. , Brozovi, D. , & Volberda, H. W. (2021). Re-examining strategic flexibility: A meta-analysis of its antecedents, consequences and contingencies. *British Journal of Management*, 32(2), 435–455. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12413>
- Hill, C. W. L. , & Rothaermel, F. T. (2003). The performance of incumbent firms in the face of radical technological innovation. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(2), 257–274.
- Holcomb, T. R. , Holmes, R. M. , & Connelly, B. L. (2009). Making the most of what you have: Managerial ability as a source of resource value creation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(5), 457–485. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.747>
- Hutton, S. , Demir, R. , & Eldridge, S. (2021). How does open innovation contribute to the firm's dynamic capabilities? *Technovation*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102288>
- Jemison, D. B. (1984). The importance of boundary spanning roles in strategic decision-making. *Journal of Management Studies*, 21(2), 131–152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.1984.tb00228.x>
- Jensen, M. C. , & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of firm—managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405x\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405x(76)90026-X)
- Ketokivi, M. , & Castaner, X. (2004). Strategic planning as an integrative device. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 49(3), 337–365.
- Klimoski, R. J. , & Koles, K. L. K. (2001). The chief executive officer and top management team interface. In S. J. Zaccaro & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *The Jossey-Bass business & management series. The nature of organizational leadership: Understanding the performance imperatives confronting today's leaders* (pp. 219–269). Jossey-Bass.
- Kor, Y. Y. , & Mesko, A. (2013). Dynamic managerial capabilities: Configuration and orchestration of top executives' capabilities and the firm's dominant logic. *Strategic Management Journal*, 34(2), 233–244. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2000>
- Kozlowski, S. W. J. , & Bell, B. S. (2012). Work groups and teams in organizations. In N. W. Schmitt , S. Highhouse , & I. B. Weiner (Eds.), *Handbook of psychology: Industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 412–469). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Lawrence, B. S. (1997). The black box of organizational demography. *Organization Science*, 8(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1287/Orsc.8.1.1>

- Lewis, M. W. , Andriopoulos, C. , & Smith, W. K. (2014). Paradoxical leadership to enable strategic agility. *California Management Review*, 56(3), 58–77.
<https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2014.56.3.58>
- Luciano, M. M. , Nahrgang, J. D. , & Shropshire, C. (2020). Strategic leadership systems: Viewing top management teams and boards of directors from a multiteam systems perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(3), 675–701.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2017.0485>
- Marks, M. A. , Mathieu, J. E. , & Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). A temporally based framework and taxonomy of team processes. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(3), 356–376.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/Amr.2001.4845785>
- Martin, J. A. (2011). Dynamic managerial capabilities and the multibusiness team: The role of episodic teams in executive leadership groups. *Organization Science*, 22(1), 118–140.
<https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1090.0515>
- Martin, J. A. , & Eisenhardt, K. M. (2010). Rewiring: Cross-business-unit collaborations in multibusiness organizations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(2), 265–301.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/Amj.2010.49388795>
- McPherson, J. M. , & Smith-Lovin, L. (1987). Homophily in voluntary organizations—status distance and the composition of face-to-face groups. *American Sociological Review*, 52(3), 370–379. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095356>
- Miller, D. , & Shamsie, J. (2001). Learning across the life cycle: Experimentation and performance among the Hollywood studio heads. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(8), 725–745. <https://doi.org/10.1002/Smj.171>
- Milliken, F. J. (1987). 3 types of perceived uncertainty about the environment—state, effect, and response uncertainty. *Academy of Management Review*, 12(1), 133–143.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/257999>
- Morton, J. , Stacey, P. , & Mohn, M. (2018). Building and maintaining strategic agility: An agenda and framework for executive IT leaders. *California Management Review*, 61(1), 94–113. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125618790245>
- Muchinsky, P. M. , & Monahan, C. J. (1987). What is person-environment congruence? Supplementary versus complementary models of fit. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 31(3), 268–277. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791\(87\)90043-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791(87)90043-1)
- Nelson, R. R. , & Winter, S. G. (1982). *An evolutionary theory of economic change*. Harvard University Press.
- Ocasio, W. (1997). Towards an attention-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18, 187–206. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(Sici\)1097-0266\(199707\)18:1+<187::Aid-Smj936>3.3.Co;2-B](https://doi.org/10.1002/(Sici)1097-0266(199707)18:1+<187::Aid-Smj936>3.3.Co;2-B)
- Pisano, G. P. (2017). Toward a prescriptive theory of dynamic capabilities: Connecting strategic choice, learning, and competition. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 26(5), 747–762.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/dtx026>
- Porter, M. E. , & Nohria, N. (2018). What do CEOs actually do? *Harvard Business Review*, 96(4), 52–53.
- Raes, A. M. L. , Heijltjes, M. G. , Glunk, U. , & Roe, R. A. (2011). The interface of the top management team and middle managers: A process model. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(1), 102–126. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2009.0088>
- Roberto, M. A. (2003). The stable core and dynamic periphery in top management teams. *Management Decision*, 41(2), 120–131. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740310457560>
- Rosenkopf, L. , & Nerkar, A. (2001). Beyond local search: Boundary-spanning, exploration, and impact in the optical disk industry. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(4), 287–306.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/Smj.160>
- Rumelt, R. (2011). *Good strategy bad strategy*. Profile Books.
- Schneider, B. (1987). The people make the place. *Personnel Psychology*, 40(3), 437–453.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1987.tb00609.x>
- Schoemaker, P. J. H. , Heaton, S. , & Teece, D. (2018). Innovation, dynamic capabilities, and leadership. *California Management Review*, 61(1), 15–42.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125618790246>
- Shimizu, K. , & Hitt, M. A. (2004). Strategic flexibility: Organizational preparedness to reverse ineffective strategic decisions. *Academy of Management Executive*, 18(4), 44–59.

<https://doi.org/10.5465/Ame.2004.15268683>

Simons, R. (1994). How new top managers use control-systems as levers of strategic renewal. *Strategic Management Journal*, 15(3), 169–189.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250150301>

Simons, R. (2010). Stress test your strategy the 7 questions to ask. *Harvard Business Review*, 88(11), 92–100.

Simsek, Z. (2009). Organizational ambidexterity: Towards a multilevel understanding. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(4), 597–624. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2009.00828.x>

Simsek, Z. , Heavey, C. , & Fox, B. C. (2018). Interfaces of strategic leaders: A conceptual framework, review, and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 44(1), 280–324.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206317739108>

Simsek, Z. , Veiga, J. F. , Lubatkin, M. H. , & Dino, R. N. (2005). Modeling the multilevel determinants of top management team behavioral integration. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(1), 69–84.

Sirmon, D. G. , & Hitt, M. A. (2009). Contingencies within dynamic managerial capabilities: Interdependent effects of resource investment and deployment on firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(13), 1375–1394. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.791>

Sirmon, D. G. , Hitt, M. A. , Ireland, R. D. , & Gilbert, B. A. (2011). Resource orchestration to create competitive advantage: Breadth, depth, and life cycle effects. *Journal of Management*, 37(5), 1390–1412. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310385695>

Starbuck, W. H. , & Milliken, F. J. (1988). Executive's perceptual filters: What they notice and how they make sense. In D. Hambrick (Ed.), *The executive effect: Concepts and methods for studying top managers* (pp. 35–66). JAI Press.

Steinbach, A. L. , Holcomb, T. R. , Holmes, R. M. , Devers, C. E. , & Cannella, A. A. (2017). Top management team incentive heterogeneity, strategic investment behavior, and performance: A contingency theory of incentive alignment. *Strategic Management Journal*, 38(8), 1701–1720. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2628>

Suddaby, R. , Coraiola, E. , Harvey, C. , & Foster, W. (2020). History and the micro-foundations of dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 41(3), 530–556.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3058>

Sull, D. , Homkes, R. , & Sull, C. (2015). Why strategy execution unravels-and what to do about it. *Harvard Business Review*, 93(3), 58–66.

Tece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319–1350.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>

Tece, D. J. (2018). Dynamic capabilities as (workable) management systems theory. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 24(3), 359–368. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2017.75>

Tece, D. J. , Peteraf, M. , & Leih, S. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and organizational agility. *California Management Review*, 58(4), 13–35. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2016.58.4.13>

Tece, D. J. , Pisano, G. , & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(Sici\)1097-0266\(199708\)18:7 < 509::Aid-Smj882 > 3.0.Co;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(Sici)1097-0266(199708)18:7 < 509::Aid-Smj882 > 3.0.Co;2-Z)

van Doom, S. , Heyden, M. L. M. , & Volberda, H. W. (2017). Enhancing entrepreneurial orientation in dynamic environments: The interplay between top management team advice-seeking and absorptive capacity. *Long Range Planning*, 50(2), 134–144.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2016.06.003>

Waldman, D. A. , & Yammarino, F. J. (1999). CEO charismatic leadership: Levels-of-management and levels-of-analysis effects. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 266–285. <https://doi.org/10.2307/259082>

Strategic Agility

- Beck, K. , Beedle, M. , Van Bennekum, A. , Cockburn, A. , Cunningham, W. , Fowler, M. , Grenning, J. , Highsmith, J. , Hunt, A. , Jeffries, R. , & Kern, J. (2001). The agile manifesto. Downloaded on July 15, 2021 www.agilealliance.org/agile101/the-agile-manifesto/
- Bogosian, D. (2018). Creating and sustaining an agile organization: The impact of the digital economy. *Rutgers Business Review*, 3(1), 67–78.
- Bouaziz, F. , & Hachicha, Z. S. (2018). Strategic human resource management practices and organizational resilience. *Journal of Management Development*, 37(7), 537–551.
- Bowman, E. H. , Singh, H. , & Thomas, H. (2002). The domain of strategic management: history and evolution. *Handbook of strategy and management*. Pettigrew A , Thomas H , Whittington R (eds). Sage: London, 31–51.
- Bracker, J. (1980). The historical development of the strategic management concept. *Academy of Management Review*, 5(2), 219–224.
- Chen, R. R. , Wang, L. , Li, E. P. H. , & Hu, G. (2021). Microdivisionalization as a way toward dynamic capability. *Management Decision*, 59(3), 506–523.
- Christensen, C. M. , McDonald, R. , Altman, E. J. , & Palmer, J. E. (2018). Disruptive innovation: An intellectual history and directions for future research. *Journal of Management Studies*, 55(7), 1043–1078.
- Denning, S. (2017). The next frontier for Agile: Strategic management. *Strategy & Leadership*, 45(2), 12–18.
- Denning, S. (2018). How major corporations are making sense of Agile. *Strategy & Leadership*, 46(1), 3–9.
- De Smet, A. , Aghina, W. , Murarka, M. , & Collins, L. (2015). The keys to organizational agility. McKinsey. Downloaded on July 14, 2021 www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/the-keys-to-organizational-agility
- Doz, Y. L. (2020). Fostering strategic agility: How individual executives and human resource practices contribute. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(1), 100693. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100693>.
- Doz, Y. L. , & Guadalupe, M. (2019). Escaping the ‘S-Curve’ – Is the ‘Agile’ organization the answer? INSEAD Working Paper No. 2019/15/STR/EPS. Downloaded on <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3370299> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3370299>
- Doz, Y. L. , & Kosonen, M. (2008). The dynamics of strategic agility: Nokia's roller-coaster experience. *California Management Review*, 50(3), 95–118.
- Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research*, 13(1), 215–246.
- Ellonen, H. K. , Jantunen, A. , & Kuivalainen, O. (2011). The role of dynamic capabilities in developing innovation-related capabilities. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 15(3), 459–478.
- Flint, J. (2021). Amazon to buy MGM, bagging a lion to help wage streaming battle. *The Wall Street Journal*. Downloaded on December 15, 2021 www.wsj.com/articles/amazon-to-buy-hollywood-studio-mgm-for-8-45-billion-with-debt-11622033315
- Hamel, G. , & Zanini, M. (2018). The end of bureaucracy. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(6), 50–59.
- Harvey, G. , & Turnbull, P. (2020). Ricardo flies Ryanair: Strategic human resource management and competitive advantage in a Single European Aviation Market. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 30(4), 553–565.
- Hindle, T. (1994). Field guide to strategy: A glossary of essential tools and concepts for today's manager (No. 658.4012003 H662f). Harvard Business School: Cambridge, MA.
- Hrebiniak, L. G. , & Joyce, W. F. (1984). *Implementing strategy*. Macmillan: New York, NY.
- Jarzabkowski, P. , & Kaplan, S. (2015). Strategy tools in use: A framework for understanding “technologies of rationality” in practice. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(4), 537–558.
- Jemison, D. B. (1981). The contributions of administrative behavior to strategic management. *Academy of Management Review*, 6(4), 633–642.
- Jeong, E. Y. (2020). How a fortunate few airlines profit in a pandemic: Lots of Cargo. *The Wall Street Journal*. Downloaded on December 15, 2021 www.wsj.com/articles/how-a-

fortunate-few-airlines-profit-in-a-pandemic-lots-of-cargo-11600779463

- Joshi, M. P. (2016). Adoption of corporate entrepreneurship: Managing domestic and global competitiveness through the lens of entrepreneurial orientation. *International Journal of Business and Emerging Markets*, 8(3), 307–323.
- Joshi, M. P. , Das, S. R. , & Mouri, N. (2015). Antecedents of innovativeness in technology-based services (TBS): Peering into the black box of entrepreneurial orientation. *Decision Sciences*, 46(2), 367–402.
- Joshi, M. P. , Kathuria, R. , & Porth, S. J. (2003). Alignment of strategic priorities and performance: An integration of operations and strategic management perspectives. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(3), 353–369.
- Kathuria, R. , Joshi, M. P. , & Porth, S. J. (2007). Organizational alignment and performance: Past, present and future. *Management Decision*, 45(3), 503–517.
- Kim, W. C. , & Mauborgne, R. (2005). *Blue ocean strategy: How to create uncontested market space and make the competition irrelevant*. Harvard Business School Publishing: Boston, MA.
- Lahart, J. (2021). Time is money for companies facing transport snarl. *The Wall Street Journal*. Downloaded on February 21, 2022 www.wsj.com/articles/time-is-money-for-companies-facing-transport-snarl-11626269933
- Lengnick-Hall, C. A. , Beck, T. E. , & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (2011). Developing a capacity for organizational resilience through strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(3), 243–255.
- Lin, C. , Chiu, Y. W. , Chen, W. C. , & Ting, S. F. (2020). Exploring differences in competitive performance based on Miles and Snow's strategy typology for the semiconductor industry. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 120(6), 1125–1148.
- Lingle, J. H. , & Schiemann, W. A. (1996). From balanced scorecard to IS management. *Management Review*, 56–61.
- Liu, W. , Beltagui, A. , & Ye, S. (2021). Accelerated innovation through repurposing: Exaptation of design and manufacturing in response to COVID-19. *R&D Management*, 51(4), 410–426.
- Margherita, A. , Sharifi, H. , & Caforio, A. (2021). A conceptual framework of strategy, action and performance dimensions of organisational agility development. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 33(7), 829–842.
- Martinez, A. L. , & Ferreira, B. A. (2019). Business strategy and tax aggressiveness in Brazil. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 12(4), 522–535.
- Mazzei, M. J. , Ketchen, D. J. , & Shook, C. L. (2017). Understanding strategic entrepreneurship: A “theoretical toolbox” approach. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 13(2), 631–663.
- Melián-Alzola, L. , Fernández-Monroy, M. , & Hidalgo-Peñate, M. (2020). Hotels in contexts of uncertainty: Measuring organisational resilience. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 36, 100747. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2020.100747>.
- Meyer, M. W. , Lu, L. , Peng, J. , & Tsui, A. S. (2017). Microdivisionalization: Using teams for competitive advantage. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 3(1), 3–20.
- Michailova, S. , & Zhan, W. (2015). Dynamic capabilities and innovation in MNC subsidiaries. *Journal of World Business*, 50(3), 576–583.
- Miles, R. E. , & Snow, C. C. (1978). *Organizational strategy, structure, and process*. McGraw Hill: New York.
- Miles, R. E. , Snow, C. C. , Meyer, A. D. , & Coleman Jr, H. J. (1978). Organizational strategy, structure, and process. *Academy of Management Review*, 3(3), 546–562.
- Miller, D. , & Friesen, P. H. (1978). Archetypes of strategy formulation. *Management Science*, 24(9), 921–933.
- Mintzberg, H. (1978). Patterns in strategy formation. *Management Science*, 24(9), 934–948.
- Nag, R. , Hambrick, D. C. , & Chen, M. J. (2007). What is strategic management, really? Inductive derivation of a consensus definition of the field. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(9), 935–955.
- Nagel, R. N. (1992). *21st century manufacturing enterprise strategy report*. Iacocca Institute: Bethlehem, PA (prepared for the office of Naval Research, Arlington, VA).

- Nagel, R. N. , & Dove, R. (1991). 21st century manufacturing enterprise strategy: An industry-led view. Diane Publishing Company: Darby, PA.
- Pandit, D. , Joshi, M. P. , Sahay, A. , & Gupta, R. K. (2018). Disruptive innovation and dynamic capabilities in emerging economies: Evidence from the Indian automotive sector. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 129, 323–329.
- Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and competitors*. Free Press: New York.
- Porter, M. E. (1996). What is strategy? *Harvard Business Review*, 74, 61–78.
- Protogerou, A. , Caloghirou, Y. , & Lioukas, S. (2012). Dynamic capabilities and their indirect impact on firm performance. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 21(3), 615–647.
- Rigby, D. , Elk, S. , & Berez, S. (2020). The agile C-suite. *Harvard Business Review*, 98(May–June), 64–73.
- Rigby, D. , Sutherland, J. , & Takeuchi, H. (2016). Embracing agile. *Harvard Business Review*, 94(May), 40–50.
- Rumelt, R. P. (1974). *Strategy, structure, and economic performance*. Division of Research, Harvard Business School: Boston, MA.
- Rumelt, R. P. , Schendel, D. , & Teece, D. J. (Eds.). (1994). *Fundamental issues in strategy: A research agenda*. Rutgers University Press: New Brunswick.
- Sarwar, Z. (2020). Strategy and capital budgeting techniques: The moderating role of entrepreneurial structure. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, 12(1), 48–70.
- Schendel, D. E. , & Hofer, C. W. (1979). *A new view of business policy and planning*. Strategic management. Little, Brown: Boston, MA.
- Sollosy, M. , Guidice, R. M. , & Parboteeah, K. P. (2019). Miles and Snow's strategic typology redux through the lens of ambidexterity. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 27(4), 925–946.
- Suddaby, R. , Coraiola, D. , Harvey, C. , & Foster, W. (2020). History and the micro-foundations of dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 41(3), 530–556.
- Taleb, N. N. (2007). *The black swan: The impact of the highly improbable (Vol. 2)*. Random House.
- Teece, D. J. (1990). *Economic analysis: Contributions and impediments*. Perspectives on strategic management. Harper: New York.
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319–1350.
- Teece, D. J. , & Pisano, G. (1994). The dynamic capabilities of firms: An introduction. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 3(3), 537–556.
- The Wall Street Journal (2020). The business report card: How 12 key companies have fared in 2020. Downloaded on December 15, 2021 www.wsj.com/articles/the-business-report-card-how-12-key-companies-have-fared-in-2020-11599278401
- Tita, B. , & Hufford, A. (2021). Consumer demand snaps back. Factories can't keep up. The Wall Street Journal. Downloaded on December 15, 2021 www.wsj.com/articles/consumer-demand-snaps-back-factories-cant-keep-up-11614019305
- Verma, P. , & Sharma, R. R. K. (2019). The linkages between business strategies, culture, and compensation using Miles & Snow's and Hofstede's culture framework in conglomerate firms. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 26(4), 1132–1160.
- Weaven, S. , Quach, S. , Thaichon, P. , Frazer, L. , Billot, K. , & Grace, D. (2021). Surviving an economic downturn: Dynamic capabilities of SMEs. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 109–123.
- Zhang, R. (2021). Business strategy, stock price informativeness, and analyst coverage efficiency. *Review of Financial Economics*, 39(1), 27–50.

Senior Leadership Teams

- Alon, I. , Wang, H. , Shen, J. , & Zhang, W. 2014. Chinese state-owned enterprises go global. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 35(6): 3–18.
- Amazon . 2021. Our leadership principles. www.aboutamazon.co.uk/working-at-amazon/our-culture/our-leadership-principles. Accessed 2 December 2021.
- Argote, L. , & Ren, Y. 2012. Transactive memory systems: A microfoundation of dynamic capabilities. *Journal of Management Studies*, 49(8): 1375–1382.
- Barber, B. M. , Odean, T. , Guercio, D. D. , Hirshleifer, D. , Karolyi, A. , Loughran, T. , et al. 2001. Boys will be boys: Gender, overconfidence, and common stock investment. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 261–292.
- Barkema, H. G. , & Shvyrkov, O. 2007. Does top management team diversity promote or hamper foreign expansion? *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(7): 663–680.
- Barron, J. M. , Chulkov, D. V. , & Waddell, G. R. 2011. Top management team turnover, CEO succession type, and strategic change. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(8): 904–910.
- Bigman, D. 2013. How general motors was really saved: The untold true story of the most important Bankruptcy in U.S. history. *Forbes* , October 30. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/danbigman/2013/10/30/how-general-motors-was-really-saved-the-untold-true-story-of-the-most-important-bankruptcy-in-u-s-history/>.
- Bilgili, T. V. , Calderon, C. J. , Allen, D. G. , & Kedia, B. L. 2017. Gone with the wind: A meta-analytic review of executive turnover, its antecedents, and postacquisition performance. *Journal of Management*, 43(6): 1966–1997.
- Blagoeva, R. , Mom, T. J. M. , Jansen, J. J. P. , & George, G. 2019. Problem-solving or self-enhancement? A power perspective on how CEOs affect R&D search in the face of inconsistent feedback. *Academy of Management Journal*. doi:10.5465/amj.2017.0999.
- Blau, P. M. 1977. A macrosociological theory of social structure. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 83(1): 26–54.
- Brandon, D. P. , & Hollingshead, A. B. 2004. Transactive memory systems in organisations: Matching tasks, expertise, and people. *Organization Science*, 15(6): 633–644.
- Brass, D. J. , Galaskiewicz, J. , Greve, H. R. , & Tsai, W. 2004. Taking stock of networks and organisations: A multilevel perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(6): 795–817.
- Bui, H. , Chau, V. S. , Degl'Innocenti, M. , Leone, L. , & Vicentini, F. 2019. The resilient organisation: A meta-analysis of the effect of communication on team diversity and team performance. *Applied Psychology*, 68(4): 621–657.
- Bunderson, J. S. , & Sutcliffe, K. M. 2002. Comparing alternative conceptualisations of functional diversity in management teams: Process and performance effects. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(5): 875–893.
- Bunderson, J. S. , & Van der Vegt, G. S. 2018. Diversity and inequality in management teams: A review and integration of research on vertical and horizontal member differences. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behaviour*, 5: 47–73.
- Burt, R. S. 1992. *Structural Holes: The Social Structure of Competition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Buyl, T. , Boone, C. , Hendriks, W. , & Matthyssens, P. 2011. Top management team functional diversity and firm performance: The moderating role of CEO characteristics. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48(1): 151–177.
- Cannella, A. A. , Park, J. H. , & Lee, H. U. 2008. Top management team functional background diversity and firm performance: Examining the roles of team member colocation and environmental uncertainty. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(4): 768–784.
- Cao, Q. , Simsek, Z. , & Zhang, H. 2010. Modelling the joint impact of the CEO and the TMT on organisational ambidexterity. *Journal of Management Studies*, 47(7): 1272–1296.
- Carmeli, A. , & Halevi, M. Y. 2009. How top management team behavioral integration and behavioral complexity enable organisational ambidexterity: The moderating role of contextual ambidexterity. *Leadership Quarterly*, 20(2): 207–218.
- Carmeli, A. , & Schaubroeck, J. 2006. Top management team behavioral integration, decision quality, and organisational decline. *Leadership Quarterly*, 17(5): 441–453.
- Carpenter, M. A. , Geletkancz, M. A. , & Sanders, W. G. 2004. Upper echelons research revisited: Antecedents, elements, and consequences of top management team composition.

Journal of Management, 30(6): 749–778.

- Carpenter, M. A. , Sanders, G. , & Gregersen, H. B. 2001. Bundling human capital with organizational context: The impact of international assignment experience on multinational firm performance and CEO pay. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(3): 493–511.
- Carroll, G. R. , & Harrison, J. R. 1998. Organisational demography and culture: Insights from a formal model and simulation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 43(3): 637–667.
- Chatman, J. A. , & Flynn, F. J. 2001. The influence of demographic heterogeneity on the emergence and consequences of cooperative norms in work teams. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 44(5): 956–974.
- Chen, Q. , & Liu, Z. 2018. How does TMT transactive memory system drive innovation ambidexterity? Shared leadership as mediator and team goal orientations as moderators. *Chinese Management Studies*, 12(1): 125–147.
- Cohen, S. G. , & Bailey, D. E. 1997. What makes teams work: Group effectiveness research from the shop floor to the executive suite. *Journal of Management*, 23(3): 239–290.
- Dahlin, K. B. , Weingart, L. R. , & Hinds, P. J. 2005. Team diversity and information use. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(6): 1107–1123.
- Daily, C. M. , Certo, S. T. , & Dalton, D. R. 2000. International experience in the executive suite: The path to prosperity? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(4): 515–523.
- Datta, D. K. , Musteen, M. , & Herrmann, P. 2009. Board characteristics, managerial incentives, and the choice between foreign acquisitions and international joint ventures. *Journal of Management*, 35(4): 928–953.
- DeBord, M. 2019. How general motors rose, fell—and rose again to become the top US automaker. *Business Insider*, August 20.
- Denning, S. 2018. The role of the C-suite in agile transformation: The case of Amazon. *Strategy and Leadership*, 46(6): 14–21.
- Denning, S. 2019. How Amazon became agile. *Forbes* .
<https://www.forbes.com/sites/stevedenning/2019/06/02/how-amazon-became-agile/?sh=6328120931aa>
- Denzau, A. T. , & North, D. C. 2000. Shared mental models: Ideologies and institutions. In A. Lupia , M. C. McCubbins , & S. L. Popkin (Eds.), *Elements of Reason: Cognition, Choice, and the Bounds of Rationality* (pp. 23–46). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Doms, M. , & Zu Knyphausen-Aufseß, D. 2014. Structure and characteristics of top management teams as antecedents of outside executive appointments: A three-country study. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(22): 3060–3085.
- Doz, Y. L. , & Kosonen, M. 2010. Embedding strategic agility: A leadership agenda for accelerating business model renewal. *Embedding Strategic Agility: A Leadership Agenda for Accelerating Business Model Renewal*, 43(2–3): 370–382.
- Ferguson, J. P. , & Hasan, S. 2013. Specialisation and career dynamics: Evidence from the Indian administrative service. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 58(2): 233–256.
- Fernandez, R. M. , & Weinberg, N. 1997. Sifting and sorting: Personal contacts and hiring in a retail bank. *American Sociological Review*, 62(6): 883–902.
- Finkelstein, S. , Hambrick, D. C. , & Cannella, A. A. 2009. *Strategic Leadership: Theory and Research on Executives, Top Management Teams, and Boards*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fortune . 2019. Global 500. <https://fortune.com/global500/2019/>.
- Fox, B. C. , Simsek, Z. , & Heavey, C. 2021. Top management team experiential variety, competitive repertoires, and firm performance: Examining the law of requisite variety in the 3D printing industry (1986–2017). *Academy of Management Journal*.
doi:10.5465/amj.2019.0734.
- Galunic, D. C. , & Rodan, S. 1998. Resource recombinations in the firm: Knowledge structures and the potential for Schumpeterian innovation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 19(12): 1193–1201.
- García-Granero, A. , Fernández-Mesa, A. , Jansen, J. J. P. , & Vega-Jurado, J. 2018. Top management team diversity and ambidexterity: The contingent role of shared responsibility and CEO cognitive trust. *Long Range Planning*, 51(6): 881–893.
- Georgakakis, D. , Greve, P. , & Ruigrok, W. 2017. Top management team faultlines and firm performance: Examining the CEO-TMT interface. *Leadership Quarterly*, 28(6): 741–758.

- George, G. , Lakhani, K. R. , & Puranam, P. 2020. What has changed? The impact of Covid pandemic on the technology and innovation management research agenda. *Journal of Management Studies*, 57(8): 1754–1758.
- Ghemawat, P. , & Altman, S. A. 2019. The state of globalisation in 2019, and what it means for strategists. *Harvard Business Review*. <https://hbr.org/2019/02/the-state-of-globalization-in-2019-and-what-it-means-for-strategists>.
- Gibson, C. B. , & Birkinshaw, J. 2004. The antecedents, consequences, and mediating role of organisational ambidexterity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(2): 209–226.
- Gladwell, M. 2000. *The Tipping Point: How Little Things Can Make a Big Difference*. Boston, MA: Little Brown.
- Glass, C. , & Cook, A. 2016. Leading at the top: Understanding women's challenges above the glass ceiling. *Leadership Quarterly*, 27(1): 51–63.
- Greve, P. , Nielsen, S. , & Ruigrok, W. 2009. Transcending borders with international top management teams: A study of European financial multinational corporations. *European Management Journal*, 27(3): 213–224.
- Grijalva, E. , Maynes, T. D. , Badura, K. L. , & Whiting, S. W. 2020. Examining the “I” in team: A longitudinal investigation of the influence of team narcissism composition on team outcomes in the NBA. *Academy of Management Journal*, 63(1): 7–33.
- Halevi, M. Y. , Carmeli, A. , & Brueller, N. N. 2015. Ambidexterity in SBUs: TMT behavioral integration and environmental dynamism. *Human Resource Management*, 54: S223–S238.
- Hambrick, D. C. 1994. Top management groups—a conceptual integration and reconsideration of the “team” label. *Research in Organisational Behavior*, 16(1): 171–213.
- Hambrick, D. C. , & D'Aveni, R. A. 1992. Top team deterioration as part of the downward spiral of large corporate bankruptcies. *Management Sciences*, 38(10): 1445–1466.
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Mason, P. A. 1984. Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2): 193–206.
- Hambrick, D. C. , Finkelstein, S. , & Mooney, A. C. 2005. Executive job demands: New insights for explaining strategic decisions and leader behaviors. *The Academy of Management Review*, 30(3): 472–491.
- Harrison, D. A. , Price, K. H. , Gavin, J. H. , & Florey, A. T. 2002. Time, teams, and task performance: Changing effects of surface- and deep-level diversity on group functioning. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 45(5): 1029–1045.
- Heavey, C. , & Simsek, Z. 2017. Distributed cognition in top management teams and organizational ambidexterity: The influence of transactive memory systems. *Journal of Management*, 43(3): 919–945.
- Herrmann, P. , & Datta, D. K. 2005. Relationships between top management team characteristics and international diversification: An empirical investigation. *British Journal of Management*, 16(1): 69–78.
- Huffman, M. L. , & Torres, L. 2002. Its not only “who you know” that matters—Gender, personal contacts, and job lead quality. *Gender and Society*, 16(6): 793–813.
- Jackson, S. E. 1992. Consequences of group composition for the interpersonal dynamics of strategic issue processing. *Advances in Strategic Management*, 8: 345–382.
- Janis, I. 1991. Groupthink. In E. Griffin (Ed.), *A First Look at Communication Theory* (1st ed., pp. 235–246). New York: McGrawHill.
- Junni, P. , Sarala, R. M. , Tarba, S. Y. , & Weber, Y. 2015. The role of strategic agility in acquisitions. *British Journal of Management*, 26(4): 596–616.
- Kanter, R. M. 1993. *Men and Women of the Corporation* (R. M. Kanter , Ed., 2nd ed.). New York: Basic Books.
- Karaevli, A. 2007. Performance consequences of new CEO “outsiderness”: Moderating effects of pre- and post-succession contexts. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25: 681–706.
- Kark, R. , & Eagly, A. H. 2010. Gender and leadership: Negotiating the labyrinth. In J. C. Chrisler & D. R. McCreary (Eds.), *Handbook of Gender Research in Psychology: Volume 2: Gender Research in Social and Applied Psychology* (pp. 443–468). New York: Springer.
- Khattab, J. , Van Knippenberg, D. , Pieterse, A. N. , & Hernandez, M. 2020. A network utilisation perspective on the leadership advancement of minorities. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(1): 109–129.

- Klimoski, R. , & Mohammed, S. 1994. Team mental model: Construct or metaphor? *Journal of Management* , 20(2): 403–437.
- Le, S. , & Kroll, M. 2017. CEO international experience: Effects on strategic change and firm performance. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 48(5): 573–595.
- Lewis, K. 2003. Measuring transactive memory systems in the field: Scale development and validation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(4): 587–604.
- Lewis, K. , & Herndon, B. 2011. Transactive memory systems: Current issues and future research directions. *Organization Science*, 22(5): 1254–1265.
- Lewis, K. , Lange, D. , & Gillis, L. 2005. Transactive memory systems, learning, and learning transfer. *Organization Science*, 16(6): 581–598.
- Li, J. , & Hambrick, D. C. 2005. Factional groups: A new vantage on demographic fault-lines, conflict, and disintegration in work teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(5): 794–813.
- Li, M. , & Patel, P. C. 2019. Jack of all, master of all? CEO generalist experience and firm performance. *Leadership Quarterly*, 30(3): 320–334.
- Lieber, E. , & Syverson, C. 2010. Online versus offline competition. In *The Oxford Handbook of the Digital Economy* (pp. 190–223). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lin, K. J. , Lu, X. , Zhang, J. , & Zheng, Y. 2020. State-owned enterprises in China: A review of 40 years of research and practice. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, 13(1): 31–55.
- Lubatkin, M. H. , Simsek, Z. , Ling, Y. , & Veiga, J. F. 2006. Ambidexterity and performance in small-to medium-sized firms: The pivotal role of top management team behavioral integration. *Journal of Management*, 32(5): 646–672.
- Maitland, E. , & Sammartino, A. 2015. Decision making and uncertainty: The role of heuristics and experience in assessing a politically hazardous environment. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(10): 1554–1578.
- March, J. G. 1991. Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Organization Science*, 2(1): 71–87.
- Matanle, P. , & Matsui, K. 2011. Lifetime employment in 21st century Japan. In *Emerging Perspectives in Japanese Human Resource Management* (pp. 15–44). Berlin: Peter Lang.
- Mathieu, J. E. , Heffner, T. S. , Goodwin, G. F. , Salas, E. , & Cannon-Bowers, J. A. 2000. The influence of shared mental models on team process and performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(2): 273–283.
- Mathieu, J. E. , Maynard, T. M. , Rapp, T. , & Gilson, L. 2008. Team effectiveness 1997–2007: A review of recent advancements and a glimpse into the future. *Journal of Management*, 34(3): 410–476.
- Merluzzi, J. , & Phillips, D. J. 2016. The specialist discount: Negative returns for MBAs with focused profiles in investment banking. *Administrative Science Quarterly* , 61(1): 87–124.
- Messersmith, J. G. , Lee, J.-Y. , Guthrie, J. P. , & Ji, Y.-Y. 2014. Turnover at the top: Executive team departures and firm performance. *Organization Science*, 25(3): 776–793.
- Meyer, E. 2017. Being the boss in Brussels, Boston, and Beijing. *Harvard Business Review*, 95(4): 70–77.
- Mihalache, O. R. , Jansen , Justin, J. J. P. , & Van den Bosch, F. A. J. 2012. Offshoring and firm innovation: The moderating role of top management team attributes. *Strategic Management Journal*, 1498: 1480–1498.
- Miller, D. , & Shamsie, J. 2001. Learning across the life cycle: Experimentation and performance among the Hollywood studio heads. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(8): 725–745.
- Miller, K. D. , Choi, S. , & Pentland, B. T. 2014. The role of transactive memory in the formation of organisational routines. *Strategic Organization*, 12(2): 109–133.
- Mom, T. J. M. , Van den Bosch, F. A. J. , & Volberda, H. W. 2007. Investigating managers' exploration and exploitation activities: The influence of top-down, bottom-up, and horizontal knowledge inflows. *Journal of Management Studies*, 44(6): 910–931.
- Mom, T. J. M. , Van den Bosch, F. A. J. , & Volberda, H. W. 2009. Understanding variation in managers' ambidexterity: Investigating direct and interaction effects of formal structural and personal coordination mechanisms. *Organization Science*, 20(4): 812–828.
- Morgan, K. 2021. "Degree inflation": How the four-year degree became required. BBC, January 28. <https://www.bbc.com/worklife/article/20210126-degree-inflation-how-the-four-year-degree-became-required>

- Mueller, P. E. M. , Georgakakis, D. , Greve, P. , Peck, S. , & Ruigrok, W. 2021. The curse of extremes: generalist career experience and CEO initial compensation. *Journal of Management*, 47(8): 1977–2007.
- Murray, A. , & Meyer, D. 2020. The fortune global 500 is now more Chinese than American. *Fortune*, August 10. <https://fortune.com/2020/08/10/fortune-global-500-china-rise-ceo-daily/>
- Ng, E. S. , & Sears, G. J. 2017. The glass ceiling in context: the influence of CEO gender, recruitment practices and firm internationalisation on the representation of women in management. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 27(1): 133–151.
- Nielsen, B. B. , & Nielsen, S. 2013. Top Management Team nationality diversity and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 34: 373–382.
- Nielsen, S. 2009. Why do top management teams look the way they do? A multilevel exploration of the antecedents of TMT heterogeneity. *Strategic Organization*, 7(3): 277–305.
- Nuruzzaman, N. , Gaur, A. S. , & Sambharya, R. B. 2018. A microfoundations approach to studying innovation in multinational subsidiaries. *Global Strategy Journal*: 1–25.
- O'Reilly III, C. A. , & Tushman, M. L. 2011. Organisational ambidexterity in action: How managers explore and exploit. *California Management Review*, 53(4): 5–22.
- Palazzolo, E. T. , Serb, D. A. , She, Y. , Su, C. , & Contractor, N. S. 2006. Coevolution of communication and knowledge networks in transactive memory systems: Using computational models for theoretical development. *Communication Theory*, 16(2): 223–250.
- Pegels, C. C. , Song, Y. I. , & Yang, B. 2000. Management heterogeneity, competitive interaction groups, and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(9): 911–923.
- Rau, D. 2006. Top management team transactive memory, information gathering, and perceptual accuracy. *Journal of Business Research*, 59(4): 416–424.
- Rickley, M. 2019. Cultural generalists and cultural specialists: Examining international experience portfolios of subsidiary executives in multinational firms. *Journal of Management*, 45(2): 384–416.
- Rosing, K. , Frese, M. , & Bausch, A. 2011. Explaining the heterogeneity of the leadership-innovation relationship: Ambidextrous leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 22(5): 956–974.
- Rugman, A. M. , & Verbeke, A. 2004. A perspective on regional and global strategies of multinational enterprises. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 35(1): 3–18.
- Ruigrok, W. , Georgakakis, D. , & Greve, P. 2013. Regionalisation strategy and performance: The moderating role of industry dynamism and top management team diversity. *Multinational Business Review*, 21(1): 6–24.
- Ruigrok, W. , & Greve, P. 2008. The rise of an international market for executive labour. In L. Oxelheim & C. Wihlborg (Eds.), *Markets and Compensation for Executives in Europe* (1st ed., pp. 53–78). Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Sambharya, R. B. 1996. Foreign experience of top management teams and international diversification strategies of U.S. Multinational Corporations. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17(9): 739–746.
- Samimi, M. , Cortes, A. F. , Anderson, M. H. , & Herrmann, P. 2020. What is strategic leadership? Developing a framework for future research. *Leadership Quarterly*, 101353.
- Schubert, T. , & Tavassoli, S. 2020. Product innovation and educational diversity in top and middle management teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 63(1): 272–294.
- Shapiro, E. 2021. 2021 Time 100 most influential companies—general motors. *Time Magazine*. <https://time.com/collection/time100-companies/5953738/general-motors/>
- Shaw, M. E. 1981. *Group Dynamics*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Skilton, P. F. , & Dooley, K. J. 2010. The effects of repeat collaboration on creative Abrasion. *Academy of Management Review*, 35(1): 118–134.
- Stahl, G. K. , Maznevski, M. L. , Voigt, A. , & Jonsen, K. 2010. Unraveling the effects of cultural diversity in teams: A meta-analysis of research on multicultural work groups. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 41(4): 690–709.
- Starbuck, W. H. , & Milliken, F. J. 1988. Executive perceptual filters: What they notice and how they make sense. In D. C. Hambrick (Ed.), *The Executive Effect: Concepts and Methods for Studying Top Managers* (pp. 35–65). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Tasheva, S. , & Nielsen, B. B. 2022. The role of global dynamic managerial capability in the pursuit of international strategy and superior performance. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 53: 689–708.

- Teece, D. J. , Pisano, G. , & Shuen, A. 1997. Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7): 509–533.
- Trainer, D. 2020. General Motors is making a turn for the better. *Forbes*, July 28. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/greatspeculations/2020/07/28/general-motors-is-making-a-turn-for-the-better/?sh=47e3e0c664fa>
- Tsui, A. S. , Egan, T. D. , & O'Reilly, C. A. 1992. Being different: Relational demography and organisational attachment. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 37(4): 549–579.
- Vahlne, J. E. , & Johanson, J. 2020. The Uppsala model: Networks and micro-foundations. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 51(1): 4–10.
- Vallone, T. , Elia, S. , Greve, P. , Longoni, L. , & Marinelli, D. 2019. Top management team influence on firms' internationalisation complexity. In R. Tulder , A. Verbeke , & B. Jankowska (Eds.), *International Business in a VUCA World: The Changing Role of States and Firm* (pp. 199–226). Bingley, UK: Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Verbeke, A. 2017. The critical role of firm-specific advantages. In *International Business Strategy* (2nd ed., pp. 79–102). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wally, S. , & Baum, J. R. 1994. Personal and structural determinants of the pace of strategic decision making. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 37(4): 932–956.
- Watson, W. E. , Kumar, K. , & Michaelson, L. K. 1993. Cultural diversity's impact on interaction process and performance: Comparing homogeneous and diverse task groups. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36(3): 590–602.
- Wearden, G. 2009. General Motors—countdown to collapse. *The Guardian*, June 1. <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2009/may/28/general-motors-collapse>
- Weber, Y. , & Tarba, S. Y. 2014. Strategic agility: A state of the art introduction to the special section on strategic agility. *California Management Review*, 56(3): 5–12.
- Wegner, D. M. 1987. Transactive memory: A contemporary analysis of the group mind. *Theories of Group Behavior*, 185–208.
- Yeoh, P.-L. 2014. Internationalisation and performance outcomes of entrepreneurial family SMEs: The role of outside CEOs, technology sourcing, and innovation. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 56(1): 77–97.
- Zhang, Z. X. , Hempel, P. S. , Han, Y. L. , & Tjosvold, D. 2007. Transactive memory system links work team characteristics and performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(6): 1722–1730.

Uncovering Patterns of Strategic Leadership Networks to Support Organizational Agility

- Acton, B. P. , Foti, R. J. , Lord, R. G. , & Gladfelter, J. A. (2019). Putting emergence back in leadership emergence: A dynamic, multilevel, process-oriented framework. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 30(1), 145–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leafqua.2018.07.002>
- Balkundi, P. , & Harrison, D. A. (2006). Ties, leaders, and time in teams: Strong inference about network structure's effects on team viability and performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(1), 49–68. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2006.20785500>
- Balkundi, P. , & Kilduff, M. (2006). The ties that lead: A social network approach to leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(4), 419–439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leafqua.2006.01.001>
- Bartlett, C. A. , & Ghoshal, S. (1993). Beyond the M-form: Toward a managerial theory of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(S2), 23–46. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250141005>
- Brass, D. J. (2001). Social capital and organizational leadership. In S. J. Zaccaro , & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *The nature of organizational leadership* (pp. 132–152). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Brass, D. J. , & Krackhardt, D. (1999). The social capital of 21st century leaders. In J. G. Hunt & R. L. Phillips (Eds.), *Out-of-the-box leadership* (pp. 179–194). Stamford, CT: JAI Press.
- Busenbark, J. R. , Wiseman, R. M. , Arrfelt, M. , & Woo, H. S. (2017). A review of the internal capital allocation literature: Piecing together the capital allocation puzzle. *Journal of*

Management, 43(8), 2430–2455. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316671584>

Carmeli, A. , & Halevi, M. Y. (2009). How top management team behavioral integration and behavioral complexity enable organizational ambidexterity: The moderating role of contextual ambidexterity. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 20(2), 207–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leafqua.2009.01.011>

Carmeli, A. , & Schaubroeck, J. (2006). Top management team behavioral integration, decision quality, and organizational decline. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(5), 441–453. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leafqua.2006.06.001>

Carrington, D. J. , Combe, I. A. , & Mumford, M. D. (2019). Cognitive shifts within leader and follower teams: Where consensus develops in mental models during an organizational crisis. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 30(3), 335–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leafqua.2018.12.002>

Carson, J. , Tesluk, P. E. , & Marrone, J. (2007). Shared leadership in teams: An investigation of antecedent conditions and performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(5), 1217–1234. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2007.20159921>

Carter, D. R. , DeChurch, L. A. , Braun, M. T. , & Contractor, N. S. (2015). Social network approaches to leadership: An integrative conceptual review. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100(3), 597–622. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038922>

Cohen, K. J. , & Cyert, R. M. (1973). Strategy: Formulation, implementation, and monitoring. *The Journal of Business*, 46(3), 349–367. www.jstor.org/stable/2351385

Combe, I. A. , & Carrington, D. J. (2015). Leaders' sensemaking under crises: Emerging cognitive consensus over time within management teams. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 26(3), 307–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leafqua.2015.02.002>

Cross, R. , & Prusak, L. (2002). The people who make organizations go—or stop. *Networks in the Knowledge Economy*, 80(6), 248–260.

Cullen-Lester, K. L. , Maupin, C. K. , & Carter, D. R. (2017). Incorporating social networks into leadership development: A conceptual model and evaluation of research and practice. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 28(1), 130–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leafqua.2016.10.005>

Day, D. V. (2000). Leadership development: A review in context. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 11(4), 581–613. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843\(00\)00061-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(00)00061-8)

DeRue, D. S. , & Ashford, S. J. (2010). Who will lead and who will follow? A social process of leadership identity construction in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 35(4), 627–647. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.35.4.zok627>

D'Innocenzo, L. , Mathieu, J. E. , & Kukenberger, M. R. (2016). A meta-analysis of different forms of shared leadership—team performance relations. *Journal of Management*, 42(7), 1964–1991. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314525205>

Dutton, J. E. , & Ashford, S. J. (1993). Selling issues to top management. *Academy of Management Review*, 18(3), 397–428. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1993.9309035145>

Falbe, C. M. , & Yukl, G. (1992). Consequences for managers of using single influence tactics and combinations of tactics. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35(3), 638–652. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256490>

Hambrick, D. C. (1994). Top management groups: A conceptual integration and reconsideration of the team label. In B. M. Staw & L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior* (Vol. 16, pp. 171–214). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Hambrick, D. C. , & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 193–206. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1984.4277628>

Heinicke, C. , & Bales, R. F. (1953). Developmental trends in the structure of small groups. *Sociometry*, 16(1), 7–38. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2785953>

Ingvaldsen, J. A. , & Rolfsen, M. (2012). Autonomous work groups and the challenge of inter-group coordination. *Human Relations*, 65(7), 861–881. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726712448203>

Kilduff, M. , Crossland, C. , Tsai, W. , & Krackhardt, D. (2008). Organizational network perceptions versus reality: A small world after all? *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 107(1), 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2007.12.003>

Lanaj, K. , Hollenbeck, J. R. , Ilgen, D. R. , Barnes, C. M. , & Harmon, S. J. (2013). The double-edged sword of decentralized planning in multiteam systems. *Academy of Management Journal*, 56(3), 735–757. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.0350>

- Lubatkin, M. H. , Simsek, Z. , Ling, Y. , & Veiga, J. F. (2006). Ambidexterity and performance in small-to medium-sized firms: The pivotal role of top management team behavioral integration. *Journal of Management*, 32(5), 646–672.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206306290712>
- March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 71–87. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2.1.71>
- Marchiondo, L. A. , Myers, C. G. , & Kopelman, S. (2015). The relational nature of leadership identity construction: How and when it influences perceived leadership and decision-making. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 26(5), 892–908. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2015.06.006>
- Markoczy, L. (2001). Consensus formation during strategic change. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(11), 1013–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.193>
- Matusik, J. G. , Mitchell, R. L. , Hays, N. A. , Fath, S. , & Hollenbeck, J. (2021). The highs and lows of hierarchy in multiteam systems. *Academy of Management Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2020.0369>
- Mukherjee, S. (2016). Leadership network and team performance in interactive contests. *Social Networks*, 47, 85–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2016.05.003>
- Mumford, M. D. , Friedrich, T. L. , Caughron, J. J. , & Byrne, C. L. (2007). Leader cognition in real-world settings: How do leaders think about crises? *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18(6), 515–543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2007.09.002>
- Neubert, M. J. (1999). Too much of a good thing or the more the merrier? Exploring the dispersion and gender composition of informal leadership in manufacturing teams. *Small Group Research*, 30(5), 635–646. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104649649903000507>
- Nicolaides, V. C. , LaPort, K. A. , Chen, T. R. , Tomassetti, A. J. , Weis, E. J. , Zaccaro, S. J. , & Cortina, J. M. (2014). The shared leadership of teams: A meta-analysis of proximal, distal, and moderating relationships. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 25(5), 923–942.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2014.06.006>
- Pearce, C. L. , & Conger, J. A. (2003). All those years ago: The historical underpinnings of shared leadership. In C. L. Pearce & J. A. Conger (Eds.), *Shared leadership: Reframing the hows and whys of leadership* (pp. 1–18). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pearce, C. L. , & Manz, C. C. (2005). The new silver bullets of leadership: The importance of self-and shared leadership in knowledge work. *Organizational Dynamics*, 34(2), 130–140.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2005.03.003>
- Pieterse, A. N. , Hollenbeck, J. R. , van Knippenberg, D. , Spitzmüller, M. , Dimotakis, N. , Karam, E. P. , & Sleesman, D. J. (2019). Hierarchical leadership versus self-management in teams: Goal orientation diversity as moderator of their relative effectiveness. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 30(6), 101343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101343>
- Raes, A. M. , Heijltjes, M. G. , Glunk, U. , & Roe, R. A. (2011). The interface of the top management team and middle managers: A process model. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(1), 102–126. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2009.0088>
- Satterstrom, P. , Kerrissey, M. , & DiBenigno, J. (2021). The voice cultivation process: How team members can help upward voice live on to implementation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 66(2), 380–425. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001839220962795>
- Serban, A. , & Roberts, A. J. B. (2016). Exploring antecedents and outcomes of shared leadership in a creative context: A mixed-methods approach. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 27(2), 181–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2016.01.009>
- Sherf, E. N. , Sinha, R. , Tangirala, S. , & Awasty, N. (2018). Centralization of member voice in teams: Its effects on expertise utilization and team performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 103(8), 813–827. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000305>
- Shi, W. , Markoczy, L. , & Dess, G. G. (2009). The role of middle management in the strategy process: Group affiliation, structural holes, and tertius iungens. *Journal of Management*, 35(6), 1453–1480. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309346338>
- Tajfel, H. , & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin , & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33–47). Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Uhl-Bien, M. , & Arena, M. (2018). Leadership for organizational adaptability: A theoretical synthesis and integrative framework. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 29(1), 89–104.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2017.12.009>

- Wang, D. , Waldman, D. A. , & Zhang, Z. (2014). A meta-analysis of shared leadership and team effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 99(2), 181–198.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034531>
- Wooldridge, B. , Schmid, T. , & Floyd, S. W. (2008). The middle management perspective on strategy process: Contributions, synthesis, and future research. *Journal of Management*, 34(6), 1190–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308324326>
- Yukl, G. (2006). *Leadership in organizations* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.

Creating the Interpersonal Context for Enabling Organizational Agility

- Bauer, T. , & Erdogan, B. (2015). *Organizational behavior 2.0*. Flat World Knowledge.
- Bouaziz, F. , & Hachicha, Z. S. (2018). Strategic human resource management practices and organizational resilience. *Journal of Management Development*, 37(7), 537–551.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-11-2017-0358>
- Carmeli, A. , & Schaubroeck, J. (2006). Top management team behavioral integration, decision quality, and organizational decline. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(5), 441–453.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.06.001>
- Ciampa, D. (2020, May–June). The case for a chief of staff. *Harvard Business Review*.
<https://hbr.org/2020/05/the-case-for-a-chief-of-staff>
- Cohen, D. B. , Hult, K. M. , & Walcott, C. E. (2016). White House evolution and institutionalization: The office of chief of staff since Reagan. *Presidential Studies Quarterly*, 46(1), 4–29. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psq.12249>
- Cohen, D. B. , Vaughn, J. S. , & Villalobos, J. D. (2012). Manager-in-chief: Applying public management theory to examine White House Chief of Staff performance. *Political Research Quarterly*, 65(4), 841–854. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912911421017>
- Dahlin, K. B. , Weingart, L. R. , & Hinds, P. J. (2005). Team diversity and information use. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(6), 1107–1123.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2005.19573112>
- Day, D. V. , Conger, J. A. , & Dannhäuser, L. (2024). Developing the senior leadership team for dynamic capabilities. In S. Zaccaro , N. Hiller , & R. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 291–316). Routledge.
- Deployable Training Division . (2020). Insights and best practices focus paper: Chief of Staff (COS) roles and functions at joint headquarters. Deployable Training Division.
www.jcs.mil/Portals/36/Documents/Doctrine/fp/cos_fp2nd_ed.pdf
- DeWahl, J. (2019, April 29). The chief of staff role in Silicon Valley. *Chief of Staff Network*.
<https://medium.com/chiefstaffnetwork/the-chief-of-staff-role-in-silicon-valley-182eb93e636e>
- Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research*, 13(1), 215–246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-019-0085-7>
- Essman, S. M. , & Nyberg, A. (2024). Executive compensation: How organizations use pay mix to maximize top management team effectiveness and agility. In S. Zaccaro , N. Hiller , & R. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 317–335). Routledge.
- Hambrick, D. C. (1994). What if the academy actually mattered? *Academy of Management Review*, 19(1), 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1994.9410122006>
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 193–206.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1984.4277628>
- Heavey, C. , & Simsek, Z. (2017). Distributed cognition in top management teams and organizational ambidexterity: The influence of transactive memory systems. *Journal of Management*, 43(3), 919–945. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314545652>
- Heavey, C. , & Simsek, Z. (2024). Dynamic capabilities and the nature of organizational agility: Towards managerial theory. In S. Zaccaro , N. Hiller , & R. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 44–81). Routledge.

- Hood, D. C. (2017). *An investigation into the role of chief of staff in a higher education setting* (Publication No. 10615912) [Doctoral dissertation, The University of Nebraska-Lincoln]. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing.
- Johns, G. (2006). The essential impact of context on organizational behavior. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(2), 386–408. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2006.20208687>
- Joshi, M. P. (2024). Strategic agility: Linking strategic framework to agile framework. In S. Zaccaro, N. Hiller, & R. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 82–102). Routledge.
- Klimoski, R. J. (2012a). Context matters. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology: Perspectives on Science and Practice*, 5(1), 28–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2011.01398.x>
- Klimoski, R. J. (2012b). Commentary: When it comes to leadership, context matters. In M. Rumsey (Ed.), *Oxford handbook on leadership* (pp. 267–287). Oxford University Press.
- Klimoski, R. J. (2016). Critical success factors for cyber security leaders. *People and Strategy*, 39(1), 14–19. www.shrm.org/executive/resources/people-strategy-journal/Winter2016/Pages/success-cybersecurity.aspx
- Klimoski, R. J. (2020). Reflections on the importance of context (with the benefit of 2020 hindsight). In L. Koppes Bryan (Ed.), *Historical perspectives in industrial and organizational psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 292–306). Routledge/Taylor and Francis Group.
- Klimoski, R. J., & Koles, K. L. K. (2001). The chief executive officer and top management team interface. In S. J. Zaccaro & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *The nature of organizational leadership: Understanding the performance imperatives confronting today's leaders* (pp. 219–269). Jossey-Bass.
- Klimoski, R. J., & Murray, J. (2016). Cyber security executive leadership. In S. J. Zaccaro, R. S. Dalal, L. E. Tetrick, & J. A. Steinke (Eds.), *Psychological dynamics of cyber security* (pp. 135–166). Routledge.
- Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Beck, T. E., & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (2011). Developing a capacity for organizational resilience through strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(3), 243–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2010.07.001>
- Ling, Y., & Wei, L. Q. (2024). Senior leadership team composition, CEO-TMT interfaces, and the potential for dynamic capabilities. In S. Zaccaro, N. Hiller, & R. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 223–245). Routledge.
- Marcus, B. (2018, January 4). Are you ready to become chief of staff? *Forbes*. www.forbes.com/sites/bonniemarcus/2018/01/04/are-you-ready-to-become-chief-of-staff
- Martin, J. R. (1971). The role and progress of the office, assistant vice chief of staff in the management of army resources. *Army War Coll Carlisle Barracks*. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/citations/AD0771757>
- Melián-Alzola, L., Fernández-Monroy, M., & Hidalgo-Peñate, M. (2020). Hotels in contexts of uncertainty: Measuring organisational resilience. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 36, 100747. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2020.100747>
- Moon, H. (2001). Looking forward and looking back: Integrating completion and sunkcost effects within an escalation-of-commitment progress decision. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(1), 104–113. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.1.104>
- Mumford, T. V., Campion, M. A., & Morgeson, F. P. (2007). The leadership skills strataplex: Leadership skill requirements across organizational levels. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18(2), 154–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2007.01.005>
- Niebauer, M. (2018, September 26). What is a chief of staff and when do you need one? The Bridgespan Group. www.bridgespan.org/insights/library/careers/chief-of-staff-role
- Nielsen, S. (2009). Why do top management teams look the way they do? A multilevel exploration of the antecedents of TMT heterogeneity. *Strategic Organization*, 7(3), 277–305. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127009340496>
- Parris, T. (2015). Chief of staff: The strategic partner who will revolutionize your organization. Tyler Parris Coaching, LLC.
- Ployhart, R. E., Schepker, D. J., Wright, P. M., & Strizver, S. D. (2024). Creating dynamic capabilities for agile executive selection and succession. In S. Zaccaro, N. Hiller, & R. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 246–290). Routledge.

- Rivkin, D. , Gregory, C. M. , Norton, J. J. , Craven, D. E. , & Lewis, P. M. (2017). Advancing O*NET data, application, and uses. In J. L. Farr & N. T. Tippins (Eds.), *Handbook of employee selection* (pp. 874–912). Routledge.
- Siegel, D. (2010). The leadership role of the municipal chief administrative officer. *Canadian Public Administration*, 53(2), 139–161. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-7121.2010.00122.x>
- Simsek, Z. , Veiga, J. F. , Lubatkin, M. H. , & Dino, R. N. (2005). Modeling the multilevel determinants of top management team behavioral integration. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(1), 69–84. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2005.15993139>
- Singh, P. (2008). Job analysis for a changing workplace. *Human Resource Management Review*, 18(2), 87–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2008.03.004>
- University of Minnesota . (2017). *Organizational behavior*. University of Minnesota Libraries Publishing.
- Wedell-Wedellsborg, M. E. , & Greve, P. (2024). Senior leadership teams: Characteristics and key functions enabling strategic agility. In S. Zaccaro , N. Hiller , & R. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 103–139). Routledge.
- Williams, J. (2012). Moving from CFO to COO. *Healthcare Financial Management*, 66(8), 96–101. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22931033/>
- Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (2024). Introduction. In S. Zaccaro , N. Hiller , & R. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 1–23). Routledge.

CEO Characteristics and Organizational Agility

- Acedo, F. J. , & Jones, M. V. (2007). Speed of internationalization and entrepreneurial cognition: Insights and a comparison between international new ventures, exporters and domestic firms. *Journal of World Business*, 42(3), 236–252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2007.04.012>
- Adjerid, I. , & Kelley, K. (2018). Big data in psychology: A framework for research advancement. *American Psychologist*, 73(7), 899–917. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000190>
- Adner, R. , & Helfat, C. E. (2003). Corporate effects and dynamic managerial capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 1011–1025. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.331>
- Ahmad, H. M. (2010). Personality traits among entrepreneurial and professional CEOs in SMEs. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(9), 203–213. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v5n9p203>
- Ahn, J. M. , Minshall, T. , & Mortara, L. (2017). Understanding the human side of openness: The fit between open innovation modes and CEO characteristics. *R&D Management*, 47(5), 727–740. <https://doi.org/10.1111/radm.12264>
- Almahamid, S. , Awwad, A. , & McAdams, A. C. (2010). Effects of organizational agility and knowledge sharing on competitive advantage: An empirical study in Jordan. *International Journal of Management*, 27(3), 387–404. www.proquest.com/docview/763161600
- Antonakis, J. , Day, D. V. , & Schyns, B. (2012). Leadership and individual differences: At the cusp of a renaissance. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23(4), 643–650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2012.05.002>
- Azouzi, M. A. , Ezzi, F. , & Jarboui, A. (2016). Does CEO emotional intelligence affect the performance of diversifiable companies? *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 5(1), 38–51. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2016.1230958>
- Baard, S. K. , Rench, T. A. , & Kozlowski, S. W. J. (2014). Performance adaptation: A theoretical integration and review. *Journal of Management*, 40(1), 48–99. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206313488210>
- Baker, T. , & Nelson, R. E. (2005). Creating something from nothing: Resource construction through entrepreneurial bricolage. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 50(3), 329–366. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.2005.50.3.329>
- Baron, R. A. , & Tang, J. (2009). Entrepreneurs' social skills and new venture performance: Mediating mechanisms and cultural generality. *Journal of Management*, 35(2), 282–306. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307312513>

- Baškarada, S. , & Koronios, A. (2018). A philosophical discussion of qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods research in social science. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 18(1), 2–21. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-D-17-00042>
- Button, S. B. , Mathieu, J. E. , & Zajac, D. M. (1996). Goal orientation in organizational research: A conceptual and empirical foundation. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 67(1), 26–48. <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1996.0063>
- Cao, Q. , Simsek, Z. , & Zhang, H. (2010). Modelling the joint impact of the CEO and the TMT on organizational ambidexterity. *Journal of Management Studies*, 47(7), 1272–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2009.00877.x>
- Carver, C. S. , & Scheier, M. F. (1998). *On the self-regulation of behavior*. Cambridge University Press.
- Chan, T. , Wang, I. , & Ybarra, O. (2021). Leading and managing the workplace: The role of executive functions. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 35(1), 142–164. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2017.0215>
- Chatterjee, A. , & Hambrick, D. C. (2007). It's all about me: Narcissistic Chief Executive Officers and their effects on company strategy and performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52(3), 351–386. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.52.3.351>
- Cheng, S. L. , & Chang, H. C. (2009). Performance implications of cognitive complexity: An empirical study of cognitive strategic groups in semiconductor industry. *Journal of Business Research*, 62, 1311–1320.
- Cragun, O. R. , Olsen, K. J. , & Wright, P. M. (2020). Making CEO narcissism research great: A review and meta-analysis of CEO narcissism. *Journal of Management*, 46(6), 908–936. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206319892678>
- Derue, D. S. , Nahrgang, J. D. , Wellman, N. , & Humphrey, S. E. (2011). Trait and behavioral theories of leadership: An integration and meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(1), 7–52. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2010.01201.x>
- Dess, G. G. , & Beard, D. W. (1984). Dimensions of organizational task environments. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 29(1), 52–73. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393080>
- Devine, R. , Lamont, B. T. , & Harris, R. J. (2016). Managerial control in mergers of equals: The role of political skill. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 28(1/2), 50–66. www.jstor.org/stable/44114732
- de Vries, M. F. R. K. , & Miller, D. (1985). Narcissism and leadership: An object relations perspective. *Human Relations*, 38(6), 583–601. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872678503800606>
- Dinh, J. E. , & Lord, R. G. (2012). Implications of dispositional and process views of traits for individual difference research in leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23(4), 651–669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2012.03.003>
- Doz, Y. (2020). Fostering strategic agility: How individual executives and human resource practices contribute. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(1), 100693. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100693>
- Dulewicz, V. , & Higgs, M. (2003). Leadership at the top: The need for emotional intelligence in organizations. *The International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 11(3), 193–210. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb028971>
- Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999>
- Eggers, J. P. , & Kaplan, S. (2009). Cognition and renewal: Comparing CEO and organizational effects on incumbent adaptation to technical change. *Organization Science*, 20(2), 461–477. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1080.0401>
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (2000). Paradox, spirals, ambivalence: The new language of change and pluralism. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(4), 703–705. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2000.3707694>
- Ely, K. , Zaccaro, S. J. , & Conjar, E. A. (2008). Leadership development: Training design strategies for growing adaptability in leaders. In R. J. Burke & C. L. Cooper (Eds.), *The Peak Performing Organization* (pp. 175–196). Routledge.
- Ezzi, F. , Salhi, B. , & Jarboui, A. (2022). Exploring the relationship between managerial emotional intelligence and environmental performance in energy sector: A mediated moderation analysis. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management, ahead-of-print* .

<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJESM-11-2019-0004>

- Fang, R. , Chi, L. , Chen, M. , & Baron, R. A. (2015). Bringing political skill into social networks: Findings from a field study of entrepreneurs. *Journal of Management Studies*, 52(2), 175–212. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12107>
- Fernández-Pérez, V. , García-Morales, V. J. , & Pullés, D. C. (2016). Entrepreneurial decision-making, external social networks and strategic flexibility: The role of CEOs' cognition. *European Management Journal* , 34, 296–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EMJ.2015.12.002>
- Fernández-Pérez, V. , Jesús, G. V. , & Fernando, B. Ó. (2012). The effects of CEOs' social networks on organizational performance through knowledge and strategic flexibility. *Personnel Review*, 41(6), 777–812. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00483481211263719>
- Ferris, G. R. , Treadway, D. C. , Kolodinsky, R. W. , Hochwarter, W. A. , Kacmar, C. J. , Douglas, C. , & Frink, D. D. (2005). Development and validation of the political skill inventory. *Journal of Management*, 31(1), 126–152. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206304271386>
- Ferris, G. R. , Treadway, D. C. , Perrewé, P. L. , Brouer, R. L. , Douglas, C. , & Lux, S. (2007). Political skill in organizations. *Journal of Management*, 33(3), 290–320. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307300813>
- Fine, C. H. (1998). *Clockspeed: Winning industry control in the age of temporary advantage*. Reading, MA : Perseus.
- Foti, R. J. , & Hauenstein, N. M. A. (2007). Pattern and variable approaches in leadership emergence and effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(2), 347–355. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.2.347>
- Furnham, A. , & Marks, J. (2013). Tolerance of ambiguity: A review of the recent literature. *Psychology*, 4(9), 717–728. <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2013.49102>
- Furr, N. R. (2009). *Cognitive flexibility: The adaptive reality of concrete organizational change* [Doctoral dissertation, Stanford University]. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing No. 3382938. www.proquest.com/docview/304999236
- Gamache, D. L. , Mcnamara, G. , Mannor, M. J. , & Johnson, R. E. (2015). Motivated to acquire? The impact of CEO regulatory focus on firm acquisitions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(4), 1261–1282. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2013.0377>
- Garbe, E. , & Duberley, J. (2021). How careers change: Understanding the role of structure and agency in career change. The case of the humanitarian sector. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 32(11), 2468–2492. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1588345>
- Georgsdottir, A. S. , & Getz, I. (2004). How flexibility facilitates innovation and ways to manage it in organizations. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 13(3), 166–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-1690.2004.00306.x>
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. Basic Books.
- Griffin, B. , & Hesketh, B. (2004). Why openness to experience is not a good predictor of job performance. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 12(3), 243–251. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0965-075X.2004.278_1.x
- Guay, W. R. , Taylor, D. , & Xiao, J. J. (2015, April 3). Adapt or perish: Evidence of CEO adaptability to industry shocks. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2234886> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2234886>
- Guo, L. X. , Liu, C.-F. , & Yain, Y.-S. (2020). Social entrepreneur's psychological capital, political skills, social networks and new venture performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 925. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00925>
- Gutschi, D. , & Klarner, P. (2020). Titan tensions: How do CEO curiosity and CFO conscientiousness drive bold moves? *Academy of Management Proceedings*. <https://journals.aom.org/doi/abs/10.5465/AMBPP.2020.19421abstract>
- Gutschi, D. , & Klarner, P. (2021). CEO curiosity and strategic change. *Academy of Management Annual Meeting Proceedings*. <https://journals.aom.org/doi/abs/10.5465/AMBPP.2021.13601abstract>
- Hambrick, D. C. (2007). Upper echelons theory: An update. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(2), 334–343. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.24345254>
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 193–206.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/258434>

- Harraf, A. , Wanasika, I. , Tate, K. , & Talbott, K. (2015). Organizational agility. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 31(2), 675–686.
www.clutejournals.com/index.php/JABR/article/view/9160
- Hasan, Md. M. , Popp, J. , & Oláh, J. (2020). Current landscape and influence of big data on finance. *Journal of Big Data*, 7(1), 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-020-00291-z>
- Helfat, C. E. , & Martin, J. A. (2015). Dynamic managerial capabilities: Review and assessment of managerial impact on strategic change. *Journal of Management*, 41(5), 1281–1312. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314561301>
- Helfat, C. E. , & Peteraf, M. A. (2015). Managerial cognitive capabilities and the micro-foundations of dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(6), 831–850. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2247>
- Herrmann, P. , & Nadkarni, S. (2014). Managing strategic change: The duality of CEO personality. *Strategic Management Journal*, 35(9), 1318–1342. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2156>
- Heyden, M. L. M. , Fourné, S. P. L. , Koene, B. A. S. , Werkman, R. , & Ansari, S. (Shaz). (2017). Rethinking 'top-down' and 'bottom-up' roles of top and middle managers in organizational change: Implications for employee support. *Journal of Management Studies*, 54(7), 961–985. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12258>
- Holmes Jr., R. M. , Hitt, M. A. , Perrewé, P. L. , Palmer, J. C. , & Molina-Sieiro, G. (2021). Building cross-disciplinary bridges in leadership: Integrating top executive personality and leadership theory and research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 32(1), 101490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2020.101490>
- Hooijberg, R. (1996). A multidirectional approach toward leadership: An extension of the concept of behavioral complexity. *Human Relations*, 49(7), 917–946. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872679604900703>
- Houghton, S. M. , Smith, A. D. , & Hood, J. N. (2009). The influence of social capital on strategic choice: An examination of the effects of external and internal network relationships on strategic complexity. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(12), 1255–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.01.002>
- Huang, S. , Battisti, M. , & Pickernell, D. (2021). CEO regulatory focus as the microfoundation of organizational ambidexterity: A configurational approach. *Journal of Business Research*, 125, 26–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.12.004>
- Jia, Y. , Tsui, A. S. , & Yu, X. (2021). Beyond bounded rationality: CEO reflective capacity and firm sustainability performance. *Management and Organization Review*, 17(4), 777–814. <https://doi.org/10.1017/mor.2021.4>
- Jiang, W. , Wang, L. , Chu, Z. , & Zheng, C. (2020). How does CEO regulatory focus matter? The impacts of CEO promotion and prevention focus on firm strategic change. *Group & Organization Management*, 45(3), 386–416. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601119891268>
- Kaiser, R. , & Overfield, D. (2010). Assessing flexible leadership as a mastery of opposites. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 62, 105–118. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019987>
- Katou, A. A. , Budhwar, P. S. , & Patel, C. (2021). A trilogy of organizational ambidexterity: Leader's social intelligence, employee work engagement and environmental changes. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 688–700. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.01.043>
- Katsaros, K. K. , Tsirikas, A. N. , & Bani, S.-M. N. (2014). CEOs' attitudes to change, strategic flexibility and organizational. *International Journal of Management Sciences*, 4(12), 567–581.
- Katz, D. , & Kahn, R. L. (1978). *The social psychology of organizations*. Wiley.
- Kiss, A. N. , Cortes, A. F. , & Herrmann, P. (2022). CEO proactiveness, innovation, and firm performance. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 33(3), 101545. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2021.101545>
- Kiss, A. N. , Libaers, D. , Barr, P. S. , Wang, T. , & Zachary, M. A. (2020). CEO cognitive flexibility, information search, and organizational ambidexterity. *Strategic Management Journal* , 41(12), 2200–2233.
- Klimoski, R. J. , & Murray, J. (2016). Cyber security executive leadership. In S. J. Zaccaro , R. S. Dalal , L. E. Tetrick , & J. A. Steinke (Eds.), *Psychosocial dynamics of cyber security*

(pp. 135–166). Routledge.

Kong, E. , Chadee, D. , & Sharma, R. R. (2012). Social intelligence and top management team: An exploratory study of external knowledge acquisition for strategic change in global IT service providers in India. *International Journal of Learning and Change*, 6, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJLC.2012.045853>

König, A. , Graf-Vlachy, L. , Bundy, J. , & Little, L. M. (2020). A blessing and a curse: How CEOs' trait empathy affects their management of organizational crises. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(1), 130–153. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2017.0387>

Ling, Y. , & Wei, L. (2024). Senior leadership team composition, CEO–TMT interfaces, and the potential for dynamic capabilities. In S. J. Zaccaro , N. J. Hiller , & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization* (pp. 223–245). New York, NY: Routledge.

Litman, J. A. (2008). Interest and deprivation factors of epistemic curiosity. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 44(7), 1585–1595. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.01.014>

Maitlis, S. , & Christianson, M. (2014). Sensemaking in organizations: Taking stock and moving forward. *Academy of Management Annals*, 8(1), 57–125. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520.2014.873177>

Mammassis, C. S. , & Kostopoulos, K. C. (2019). CEO goal orientations, environmental dynamism and organizational ambidexterity: An investigation in SMEs. *European Management Journal*, 37(5), 577–588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2019.08.012>

Marhraoui, M. A. , & Manouar, A. E. (2017). Towards a new framework linking knowledge management systems and organizational agility: An empirical study. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, 9(1), 21–36. <https://doi.org/10.5121/ijcsit.2017.9103>

Mayer, J. D. , & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? In P. Salovey & D. Sluyter (Eds.), *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications* (pp. 3–31). Basic Books.

McCarthy, J. F. , O'Connell, D. J. , & Hall, D. T. (2005). Leading beyond tragedy: The balance of personal identity and adaptability. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 26(6), 458–475. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437730510617663>

McCrae, R. R. , & Costa, P. T. (1987). Validation of the five-factor model of personality across instruments and observers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(1), 81–90.

McCrae, R. R. , & Costa, P. T. (1997). Personality trait structure as a human universal. *American Psychologist*, 52(5), 509–516. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.52.5.509>

McDonald, M. L. , & Westphal, J. D. (2003). Getting by with the advice of their friends: CEOs' advice networks and firms' strategic responses to poor performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3556617>

McLain, D. L. (2009). Evidence of the properties of an ambiguity tolerance measure: The Multiple Stimulus Types Ambiguity Tolerance Scale—II (MSTAT—II). *Psychological Reports*, 105(3), 975–988. <https://doi.org/10.2466/PRO.105.3.975-988>

Mücelandili, B. , Tatar, B. , & Erdil, O. (2020). Can curious employees be more agile? The role of cognitive style and creative process engagement in agility performance. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 39(6), 39–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.22056>

Nadkarni, S. , & Herrmann, P. (2010). CEO personality, strategic flexibility, and firm performance: The case of the Indian business process outsourcing industry. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(5), 1050–1073. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.54533196>

Nadkarni, S. , & Narayanan, V. K. (2007). Strategic schemas, strategic flexibility, and firm performance: The moderating role of industry clockspeed. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(3), 243–270. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.576>

Nag, R. , Neville, F. , & Dimotakis, N. (2020). CEO scanning behaviors, self-efficacy, and SME innovation and performance: An examination within a declining industry. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 58(1), 164–199. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2019.1659676>

Neffe, C. , Wilderom, C. P. , & Lattuch, F. (2022). Emotionally intelligent top management and high family firm performance: Evidence from Germany. *European Management Journal* , 40(3), 372–383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2021.07.007>.

- Nelson, J. K. , Zaccaro, S. J. , & Herman, J. L. (2010). Strategic information provision and experiential variety as tools for developing adaptive leadership skills. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 62(2), 131–142. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019989>
- Nie, X. , Yu, M. , Zhai, Y. , & Lin, H. (2022). Explorative and exploitative innovation: A perspective on CEO humility, narcissism, and market dynamism. *Journal of Business Research*, 147, 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.03.061>
- Norman, W. , & MacDonald, C. (2004). Getting to the bottom of “triple bottom line”. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 14(2), 243–262. <https://doi.org/10.5840/beq200414211>
- O'Reilly III, C. A. , & Tushman, M. L. (2004). The ambidextrous organization. Harvard Business Review. [www.iot.ntnu.no/innovation/norsi-pims-courses/tushman/O%C3%86Reilly%20&%20Tushman%20\(2004\).pdf](http://www.iot.ntnu.no/innovation/norsi-pims-courses/tushman/O%C3%86Reilly%20&%20Tushman%20(2004).pdf)
- O'Reilly III, C. A. , & Tushman, M. L. (2013). Organizational ambidexterity: Past, present, and future. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 27(4), 324–338. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2013.0025>
- Ou, A. Y. , Tsui, A. S. , Kinicki, A. J. , Waldman, D. A. , Xiao, Z. , & Song, L. J. (2014). Humble Chief Executive Officers' connections to top management team integration and middle managers' responses. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 59(1), 34–72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001839213520131>
- Owens, B. P. , Wallace, A. S. , & Waldman, D. A. (2015). Leader narcissism and follower outcomes: The counterbalancing effect of leader humility. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100(4), 1203–1213. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038698>
- Peterson, S. J. , Walumbwa, F. O. , Byron, K. , & Myrowitz, J. (2009). CEO positive psychological traits, transformational leadership, and firm performance in high-technology start-up and established firms. *Journal of Management*, 35(2), 348–368. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307312512>
- Pryor, C. , Holmes, R. M. , Webb, J. W. , & Liguori, E. W. (2019). Top executive goal orientations' effects on environmental scanning and performance: Differences between founders and nonfounders. *Journal of Management*, 45(5), 1958–1986. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206317737354>
- Rahim, M. A. (2014). A structural equations model of leaders' social intelligence and creative performance. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 23(1), 44–56. <https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12045>
- Rahim, M. A. , Ma, Z. , Quah, C.-S. , Rahman, Md. S. , Jasimuddin, S. M. , Shaw, L. , & Ozyilmaz, A. (2019). Intelligent entrepreneurship and firm performance: A cross-cultural investigation. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 17(4), 475–493. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10843-019-00252-5>
- Salovey, P. , & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality* , 9(3), 185–211. <https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG>
- Scoresby, R. B. , Withers, M. C. , & Ireland, R. D. (2021). The effect of CEO regulatory focus on changes to investments in R&D. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 38(4), 401–420. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12591>
- Shabbir, S. , Danish, R. Q. , Rehman, M. , Hasnain, M. , & Asad, H. (2021). An empirical investigation of environmental turbulence and fear in predicting entrepreneurial improvisation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7, 157–172. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7020157>
- Smith, W. K. , & Lewis, M. W. (2011). Toward a theory of paradox: A dynamic equilibrium model of organizing. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(2), 381–403. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2009.0223>
- Spreitzer, G. M. , McCall, M. W. , & Mahoney, J. D. (1997). Early identification of international executive potential. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82(1), 6–29. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.82.1.6>
- Stark, A. (2022). Top 10 work trends: 2022 Q3 round-ups from trend champions. <https://www.siop.org/Research-Publications/Items-of-Interest/ArtMID/19366/ArticleID/7061/Top-10-Work-Trends-2022-Q3-Round-Ups-from-Trend-Champions>
- Strefford, S. , & Swezey, R. W. (1986). Complexity, managers, and organizations. Academic Press.

- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>
- Teece, D. J. , Peteraf, M. , & Leih, S. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and organizational agility: Risk, uncertainty, and strategy in the innovation economy. *California Management Review*, 58(4), 13–35. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2016.58.4.13>
- Teece, D. J. , Pisano, G. , & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199708\)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z)
- Tocher, N. , Oswald, S. L. , Shook, C. L. , & Adams, G. (2012). Entrepreneur political skill and new venture performance: Extending the social competence perspective. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 24(5–6), 283–305.
- Turturea, R. , Jansen, J. J. P. , & Verheul, I. (2015). Top management team attributes, bricolage and ambidexterity in SMEs. *Academy of Management Proceedings*. <https://journals.aom.org/doi/abs/10.5465/ambpp.2015.281>
- Uotila, J. , Maula, M. , Keil, T. , & Zahra, S. A. (2009). Exploration, exploitation, and financial performance: Analysis of S&P 500 corporations. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(2), 221–231. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.738>
- Vandewalle, D. (1997). Development and validation of a work domain goal orientation instrument. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 57(6), 995–1015. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164497057006009>
- Vandewalle, D. (2003). A goal orientation model of feedback-seeking behaviors. *Human Resource Management Review*, 13, 581–604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2003.11.004>
- Wales, W. J. , Patel, P. C. , & Lumpkin, G. T. (2013). In pursuit of greatness: CEO narcissism, entrepreneurial orientation, and firm performance variance. *Journal of Management Studies*, 50(6), 1041–1069. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12034>
- Wangrow, D. B. , Kolev, K. , & Hughes-Morgan, M. (2019). Not all responses are the same: How CEO cognitions impact strategy when performance falls below aspirations. *Journal of General Management*, 44(2), 73–86. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306307018798143>
- Weber, Y. , & Tarba, S. Y. (2014). Strategic agility: A state of the art introduction to the special section on strategic agility. *California Management Review*, 56(3), 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2014.56.3.5>
- Westerberg, M. (1998). Managing in turbulence: An empirical study of small firms operating in a turbulent environment [Doctoral dissertation, Lulea University of Technology]. www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A991439&dsid=3097
- Wincent, J. , & Westerberg, M. (2005). Personal traits of CEOs, inter-firm networking and entrepreneurship in their firms: Investigating strategic SME network participants. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 10(03), 271–284. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1084946705000215>
- Worley, C. G. , Williams, T. D. , & Lawler III, E. E. (2014). *The agility factor: Building adaptable organizations for superior performance*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). *The nature of executive leadership: A conceptual and empirical analysis of success*. Washington, DC: APA Books.
- Zaccaro, S. J. (2007). Trait-based perspectives of leadership. *American Psychologist*, 62(1), 6–16. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.62.1.6>
- Zaccaro, S. J. , Gilbert, J. A. , Thor, K. K. , & Mumford, M. D. (1991). Leadership and social intelligence: Linking social perspectiveness and behavioral flexibility to leader effectiveness. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 2(4), 317–342. [https://doi.org/10.1016/1048-9843\(91\)90018-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/1048-9843(91)90018-W)
- Zaccaro, S. J. , Green, J. P. , Dubrow, S. , & Kolze, M. (2018). Leader individual differences, situational parameters, and leadership outcomes: A comprehensive review and integration. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 29(1), 2–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2017.10.003>
- Zaccaro, S. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (2001). The nature of organizational leadership: Understanding the performance imperatives confronting today's leaders. Pfeiffer.
- Zaccaro, S. J. , Rittman, A. L. , & Marks, M. A. (2001). Team leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 12, 451–483. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843\(01\)00093-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(01)00093-5)
- Zhang, C. , & Wang, H. (2020). CEO learning goal orientation and firm innovation: The mechanism and boundary conditions. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 58(5),

948–974. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12537>

Zhang, H. , Ou, A. Y. , Tsui, A. S. , & Wang, H. (2017). CEO humility, narcissism and firm innovation: A paradox perspective on CEO traits. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 28(5), 585–604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2017.01.003>

Žitkieniė, R. , & Deksnys, M. (2018). Organizational agility conceptual model. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, 14(2), 115–129. <https://doi.org/10.14254/1800-5845/2018.14-2.7>

Zyung, J. (2017). Essays on CEO cognitive complexity: Effects on corporate strategy, performance, and survival [Doctoral dissertation, Rice University]. Rice Digital Scholarship Archive No. 13403. <https://scholarship.rice.edu/handle/1911/96105>

Senior Leadership Team Composition, CEO–TMT Interfaces, and the Potential for Dynamic Capabilities

Andrevski, G. , Richard, O. C. , Shaw, J. D. , & Ferrier, W. J. 2014. Racial diversity and firm performance: The mediating role of competitive intensity. *Journal of Management*, 40(3): 820–844.

Antonakis, J. , Day, D. , & Schyns, B. 2012. Leadership and individual differences: At the cusp of a renaissance. *Leadership Quarterly*, 23(4): 643–650.

Arendt, L. A. , Priem, R. L. , & Ndofor, H. A. 2005. A CEO-adviser model of strategic decision making. *Journal of Management*, 31(5): 680–699.

Bai, Y. , Li, P. P. , & Xi, Y. 2012. The distinctive effects of dual-level leadership behaviors on employees' trust in leadership: An empirical study from China. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 29(2): 213–237.

Bantel, K. A. 1993. Top team, environment and performance effects on strategic planning formality. *Group and Organization Management*, 18(4): 436–458.

Bantel, K. A. , & Jackson, S. E. 1989. Top management and innovations in banking: Does the composition of the top team make a difference? *Strategic Management Journal*, 10(S1): 107–124.

Beckman, C. M. , & Burton, M. D. 2011. Bringing organizational demography back in: Time, change and structure in top management team research. In Carpenter M. A. (Eds.), *Handbook of Top Management Team Research*: 49–70. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Bendersky, C. , & Hays, N. A. 2012. Status conflict in groups. *Organization Science*, 23(2): 323–340.

Buunk, A. P. , & Gibbons, F. X. 2007. Social comparison: The end of a theory and the emergence of a field. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 102(1): 3–21.

Buyl, T. , Boone, C. , & Hendriks, W. 2014. Top management team members' decision influence and cooperative behaviour: An empirical study in the information technology industry. *British Journal of Management*, 25(2): 285–304.

Campbell, W. K. , & Miller, J. D. 2011. *The Handbook of Narcissism and Narcissistic Personality Disorder: Theoretical Approaches, Empirical Findings, and Treatments*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Cannella, A. A. , & Holcomb, T. R. 2005. A multi-level analysis of the upper-echelons model. In Dansereau, A. , & Yammarino, F. (Eds.), *Multi-level Issues in Strategy and Methods*: 195–237. Oxford: Elsevier.

Cannella Jr, A. A. , Park, J. H. , & Lee, H. U. 2008. Top management team functional background diversity and firm performance: Examining the roles of team member collocation and environmental uncertainty. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(4): 768–784.

Carmeli, A. , & Paulus, P. B. 2015. CEO ideational facilitation leadership and team creativity: The mediating role of knowledge sharing. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 49(1): 53–75.

Carmeli, A. , Schaubroeck, J. , & Tishler, A. 2011. How CEO empowering leadership shapes top management team processes: Implications for firm performance. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 22(2): 399–411.

Carmeli, A. , Tishler, A. , & Edmondson, A. C. 2012. CEO relational leadership and strategic decision quality in top management teams: The role of team trust and learning from failure.

Strategic Organization, 10(1): 31–54.

Chatman, J. A. , & Flynn, F. J. 2001. The influence of demographic heterogeneity on the emergence and consequences of cooperative norms in work teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(5): 956–974.

Chen, M. J. , Lin, H. C. , & Michel, J. G. 2010. Navigating in a hypercompetitive environment: The roles of action aggressiveness and TMT integration. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31(13): 1410–1430.

Colbert, A. E. , Kristof-Brown, A. L. , Bradley, B. H. , & Barrick, M. R. 2008. CEO transformational leadership: The role of goal importance congruence in top management teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(1): 81–96.

Connelly, B. L. , Tihanyi, L. , Crook, T. R. , & Gangloff, K. A. 2014. Tournament theory: Thirty years of contests and competitions. *Journal of Management*, 40(1): 16–47.

Connelly, B. L. , Tihanyi, L. , Ketchen Jr, D. J. , Carnes, C. M. , & Ferrier, W. J. 2016. Competitive repertoire complexity: Governance antecedents and performance outcomes. *Strategic Management Journal*, 38(5): 1151–1173.

Crossland, C. , & Hambrick, D. C. 2007. How national systems differ in their constraints on corporate executives: A study of CEO effects in three countries. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(8): 767–789.

De Hoogh, A. H. B. , & Den Hartog, D. N. 2008. Ethical and despotic leadership, relationships with leader's social responsibility, top management team effectiveness and subordinates' optimism: A multi-method study. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 19(3), 297–311.

de Jong, A. , Song, M. , & Song, L. Z. 2013. How lead founder personality affects new venture performance: The mediating role of team conflict. *Journal of Management*, 39(7): 1825–1854.

Eisenhardt, K. M. , & Bourgeois, III L. J. 1988. Politics of strategic decision making in high-velocity environments: Toward a midrange theory. *Academy of Management Journal* , 31(4): 737–770.

Ferrier, W. J. 2001. Navigating the competitive landscape: The drivers and consequences of competitive aggressiveness. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(4): 858–877.

Finkelstein, S. , & Hambrick, D. C. 1996. *Strategic Leadership: Top Executives and their Effects on Organizations*. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing Company.

Finkelstein, S. , Hambrick, D. C. , & Cannella, A. A. 2009. *Strategic Leadership: Theory and Research on Executives, Top Management Teams, and Boards*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Fox, B. C. , Simsek, Z. , & Heavy, C. 2021. Top management team experiential variety, competitive repertoires, and firm performance: Examining the law of requisite variety in the 3D printing industry (1986–2017). *Academy of Management Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2019.0734>.

Friedman, Y. , Carmeli, A. , & Tishler, A. 2016. How CEOs and TMTs build adaptive capacity in small entrepreneurial firms. *Journal of Management Studies*, 53(6): 996–1018.

Gamache, D. L. , & McNamara, G. 2019. Responding to bad press: How CEO temporal focus influences the sensitivity to negative media coverage of acquisitions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 62(3): 918–943.

Georgakakis, D. , Greve, P. , & Ruigrok, W. 2017. Top management team faultlines and firm performance: Examining the CEO-TMT interface. *Leadership Quarterly*, 28(6): 741–758.

Georgakakis, D. , Heyden, M. L. , Oehmichen, J. D. , & Ekanayake, U. I. 2022. Four decades of CEO-TMT interface research: A review inspired by role theory. *The Leadership Quarterly*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101354>.

Grosse, R. , & Ling, Y. (2015). Competing in China: Introduction to the special section. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(6): 1145–1148.

Hambrick, D. C. 1994. Top management groups: A conceptual integration and reconsideration of the team label. In B. M. Staw & L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in Organizational Behavior* (Vol. 16: 171–213). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Hambrick, D. C. 1995. Fragmentation and the other problems CEOs have with their top management teams. *California Management Review*, 37(3): 110–127.

Hambrick, D. C. , & Cannella Jr, A. A. 2004. CEOs who have COOs: Contingency analysis of an unexplored structural form. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(10): 959–979.

- Hambrick, D. C. , & Finkelstein, S. 1987. Managerial discretion: A bridge between polar views of organizations. In Cummings L. L. , & Staw B. M. (Eds.), *Research in Organizational Behavior* (Vol. 9: 369–406). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Mason, P. A. 1984. Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2):193–206.
- Hambrick, D. C. , Cho, T. S. , & Chen, M. J. 1996. The influence of top management team heterogeneity on firms' competitive moves. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41(4): 659–684.
- Hambrick, D. C. , Geletkanycz, M. A. , & Fredrickson, J. W. 1993. Top executive commitment to the status quo: Some tests of its determinants. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(6): 401–418.
- Hambrick, D. C. , Humphrey, S. E. , & Gupta, A. 2015. Structural interdependence within top management teams: A key moderator of upper echelons predictions. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(3): 449–461.
- Heyden, M. L. M. , van Doorn, S. , Reimer, M. , Van den Bosch, F. A. J. , & Volberda, H. W. 2013. Perceived environmental dynamism, relative competitive performance, and top management team heterogeneity: Examining correlates of upper echelons' advice-seeking. *Organization Studies*, 34(9): 1327–1356.
- Hitt, M. A. , Arregle, J. L. , & Holmes Jr., R. M. 2021. Strategic management theory in a post-pandemic and non-ergodic world. *Journal of Management Studies*.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12646>
- Hmieleski, K. M. , & Baron, R. A. 2009. Entrepreneurs' optimism and new venture performance: A social cognitive perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(3): 473–488.
- Hmieleski, K. M. , & Ensley, M. D. 2007. A contextual examination of new venture performance: Entrepreneur leadership behavior, top management team heterogeneity, and environmental dynamism. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 28: 865–889.
- Holmes Jr., R. M. , Hitt, M. A. , Perrewé, P. L. , Palmer, J. C. , & Molina-Sieiro, G. 2021. Building cross-disciplinary bridges in leadership: Integrating top executive personality and leadership theory and research. *Leadership Quarterly*, 32(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2020.101490>.
- Jansen, J. J. P. , George, G. , Van den Bosch, F. A. J. , & Volberda, H. W. 2008. Senior team attributes and organizational ambidexterity: The moderating role of transformational leadership. *Journal of Management Studies*, 45(5): 982–1007.
- Jeong, S. H. , & Harrison, D. A. 2017. Glass breaking, strategy making, and value creating: Meta-analytic outcomes of women as CEOs and TMT members. *Academy of Management Journal*, 60(4): 1219–1252.
- Kellermanns, F. W. , & Floyd, S. 2005. Strategic consensus and constructive confrontation: Unifying forces in the resource accumulation process. In Floyd S. , Ross J. , Jacobs C. , & Kellermanns F. W. (Eds.), *Innovating Strategy Process*: 149–162. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Kim, B. , Burns, M. L. , & Prescott, J. E. 2009. The strategic role of the board: The impact of board structure on top management team strategic action capability. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 17(6): 728–743.
- Kisfalvi, V. , & Pitcher, P. 2003. Doing what feels right: The influence of CEO character and emotions on top management team dynamics. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 12(1): 42–66.
- Klimoski, R. J. , & Koles, K. L. K. 2001. The chief executive officer and top management team interface. In Zaccaro S. J. , & Klimoski R. J. (Eds.), *The Nature of Organizational Leadership*: 219–269. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Krotov, V. 2015. Bridging the CIO-CEO gap: It takes two to tango. *Business Horizons* , 58(3): 275–283.
- Lawrence, B. S. 1997. Perspective—the black box of organizational demography. *Organization Science*, 8(1): 1–22.
- Leonardi, P. M. 2021. COVID-19 and the new technologies of organizing: Digital exhaust, digital footprints, and artificial intelligence in the wake of remote work. *Journal of Management Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12648>.
- Li, D. , Wei, L. Q. , Cao, Q. , & Chen, D. Q. 2021. Informal institutions, entrepreneurs' political participation and venture internationalization. *Journal of International Business Studies* .

<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-021-00402-9>.

- Li, J. , & Tang, Y. 2013. The social influence of executive hubris. *Management International Review*, 53(1): 83–107.
- Li, M. , & Jones, C. D. 2019. The effects of TMT faultlines and CEO-TMT power disparity on competitive behavior and firm performance. *Group & Organization Management* , 44(5), 874–914.
- Lin, H. C. , & Rababah, N. 2014. CEO—TMT exchange, TMT personality composition, and decision quality: The mediating role of TMT psychological empowerment. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 25(5): 943–957.
- Ling, Y. , Hammond, M. , & Wei, L. 2022. Ethical leadership and ambidexterity in young firms: examining the CEO-TMT Interface. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-020-00695-6>.
- Ling, Y. , Simsek, Z. , Lubatkin, M. H. , & Veiga, J. F. 2008. Transformational leadership's role in promoting corporate entrepreneurship: examining the CEO-TMT interface. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(3): 557–576.
- Ling, Y. , Wei, L. Q. , Klimoski, R. J. , & Wu, L. Z. 2015. Benefiting from CEO's empowerment of TMTs: Does CEO-TMT dissimilarity matter? *The Leadership Quarterly*, 26(6): 1066–1079.
- Lubatkin, M. H. , Simsek, Z. , Ling, Y. , & Veiga, J. F. 2006. Ambidexterity and performance in small-to medium-Sized firms: the pivotal role of top management team behavioral integration. *Journal of Management*, 32(5): 646–672.
- Lyon, D. W. , & Ferrier, W. J. 2002. Enhancing performance with product-market innovation: The influence of the top management team. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 14(4): 452–469.
- Malhotra, S. , Reus, T. H. , Zhu, P. C. , & Roelofsen, E. M. 2018. The acquisitive nature of extraverted CEOs. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 63(3): 370–408.
- Mannor, M. J. , Wowak, A. J. , Bartkus, V. O. , & Gomez-Mejia, L. R. 2016. Heavy lies the crown? How job anxiety affects top executive decision making in gain and loss contexts. *Strategic Management Journal*, 37(9): 1968–1989.
- Milliken, F. J. , & Martins, L. L. 1996. Searching for common threads: Understanding the multiple effects of diversity in organizational groups. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(2): 402–433.
- Nadkarni, S. , & Chen, J. 2014. Bridging yesterday, today, and tomorrow: CEO temporal focus, environmental dynamism, and rate of new product introduction. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(6): 1810–1833.
- Ou, A. Y. , Tsui, A. S. , Kinicki, A. J. , Waldman, D. A. , Xiao, Z. , & Song, L. J. 2014. Humble chief executive officers' connections to top management team integration and middle managers' responses. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 59(1), 34–72.
- Ou, A. Y. , Waldman, D. A. , & Peterson, S. J. 2018. Do humble CEOs matter? An examination of CEO humility and firm outcomes. *Journal of Management*, 44(3): 1147–1173.
- Peterson, R. S. , Smith, D. B. , Martorana, P. V. , & Owens, P. D. 2003. The impact of chief executive officer personality on top management team dynamics: One mechanism by which leadership affects organizational performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5): 795–808.
- Priem, R. L. 1990. Top management team group factors, consensus, and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 11(6): 469–478.
- Quigley, T. J. , & Hambrick, D. C. 2012. When the former CEO stays on as board chair: Effects on successor discretion, strategic change, and performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 33(7): 834–859.
- Simon, M. , & Shrader, R.C. 2012. Entrepreneurial actions and optimistic overconfidence: The role of motivated reasoning in new product introductions. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 27(3): 291–309.
- Simsek, Z. , Heavey, C. , & Fox, B. C. 2018. Interfaces of strategic leaders: A conceptual framework, review, and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 44(1): 280–324.
- Simsek, Z. , Veiga, J. F. , Lubatkin, M. H. , & Dino, R. N. 2005. Modeling the multilevel determinants of top management team behavioral integration. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(1): 69–84.
- Smith, K. G. , Smith, K. A. , Olian, J. D. , Sims Jr., H. P. , O'Bannon, D. P. , Scully, J. A. 1994. Top management team demography and process: the role of social integration and

communication. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 39(3): 412–438.

Souitaris, V. , & Maestro, B. M. M. 2010. Polychronicity in top management teams: The impact on strategic decision processes and performance of new technology ventures. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31(6): 652–678.

Stoker, J. I. , Grutterink, H. , & Kolk, N. J. 2012. Do transformational CEOs always make the difference? The role of TMT feedback seeking behavior. *Leadership Quarterly*, 23(3): 582–592.

Sun, P. Y. T. , & Anderson, M. H. 2012. The combined influence of top and middle management leadership styles on absorptive capacity. *Management Learning*, 43(1): 25–51.

Tang, S. , Nadkarni, S. S. , Wei, L. Q. , & Zhang, S. X. 2021. Balancing the Yin and Yang: TMT gender diversity, psychological safety and firm strategic orientation in Chinese High-tech SMEs. *Academy of Management Journal* . <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2019.0378>.

Waldman, D. A. , Ramirez, G. G. , House, R. J. , & Puranam, P. 2001. Does leadership matter? CEO leadership attributes and profitability under conditions of perceived environmental uncertainty. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(1): 134–143.

Waldman, D. E. , & Yammarino, F. J. 1999. CEO charismatic leadership: Levels-of-management and levels-of-analysis effects. *Academy of Management Review*, 24: 266–285.

Walter, J. , Kellermanns, F. W. , Floyd, S. W. , Veiga, J. F. , & Matherne, C. 2013. Strategic alignment: A missing link in the relationship between strategic consensus and organizational performance. *Strategic Organization*, 11(3): 304–328.

Wei, L. Q. , & Wu, L. 2013. What a diverse top management team means: Testing an integrated model. *Journal of Management Studies*, 50(3): 389–412.

West III, G. P. , & Meyer, G. D. 1998. To agree or not to agree? Consensus and performance in new ventures. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 13(5): 395–422.

Whitler, K. A. , Lee, B. , Krause, R. , & Morgan, N. A. 2021. Upper echelons research in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 49(1): 198–219.

Wiersema, M. F. , & Bantel, K. A. 1992. Top management team demography and corporate strategic change. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35(1): 91–121.

Williams, K. Y. , & O'Reilly, C. A. 1998. Demography and diversity in organizations: A review of 40 years of research. In Staw, B. M. , & Sutton, R. (Eds.), *Research in Organizational Behavior* (Vol. 20: 70–140). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Zaccaro, S. J. , & Klimoski, R. 2002. The interface of leadership and team processes. *Group & Organization Management*, 27: 4–13.

Zhang, H. , Ou, A. Y. , Tsui, A. S. , & Wang, H. 2017. CEO humility, narcissism and firm innovation: A paradox perspective on CEO traits. *Leadership Quarterly*, 28(5), 585–604.

Zhen, T. , Xuan, Y. , & Jing, Z. 2012. Trusting relationships of CTO-CEO and CTO's participation in technology strategy: Based on empirical study of Chinese high-tech firms. *Chinese Management Studies*, 6(1): 137–159.

Zhu, D. H. , & Chen G. 2015. CEO narcissism and the impact of prior board experience on corporate strategy. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 60(1): 31–65.

Creating Dynamic Capabilities for Agile Executive Selection and Succession

Adner, R. , & Helfat, C. E. (2003). Corporate effects and dynamic managerial capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 1011–1025.

Allen, W. D. , Schepker, D. J. , & Chadwick, C. (2022). Firms' responses to changes in frictions in related human capital factor markets. *Strategic Management Journal*, 43, 1347–1373.

American Psychological Association (APA) . (2020). APA guidelines for psychological assessment and evaluation. www.apa.org/about/policy/guidelines-psychological-assessment-evaluation.pdf

Audia, P. G. , Locke, E. A. , & Smith, K. G. (2000). The paradox of success: An archival and a laboratory study of strategic persistence following radical environmental change. *Academy*

of Management journal, 43(5), 837–853.

Barker, V. L. , Patterson Jr, P. W. , & Mueller, G. C. (2001). Organizational causes and strategic consequences of the extent of top management team replacement during turnaround attempts. *Journal of Management Studies*, 38(2), 235–270.

Barney, J. B. , & Wright, P. M. (1998). On becoming a strategic partner: The role of human resources in gaining competitive advantage. *Human Resource Management*, 37, 3–46.

Bauer, T. N. , & Erdogan, B. (2014). Delineating and reviewing the role of newcomer capital in organizational socialization. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1, 439–457.

Bauer, T. N. , Erdogan, B. , Caughlin, D. , Ellis, A. M. , & Kurkoski, J. (2020). Jump-starting the socialization experience: The longitudinal role of Day 1 newcomer resources on adjustment. *Journal of Management*, 47(8), 2226–2261.

Benner, M. J. , & Tripsas, M. (2012). The influence of prior industry affiliation on framing in nascent industries: The evolution of digital cameras. *Strategic Management Journal*, 33(3), 277–302.

Berns, K. V. , & Klarner, P. (2017). A review of the CEO succession literature and a future research program. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 31(2), 83–108.

Biddle, B. J. , & Thomas, E. J. (1966). *Role theory: Concepts and research*. Wiley.

Bidwell, M. (2011). Paying more to get less: The effects of external hiring versus internal mobility. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 56(3), 369–407.

Bidwell, M. , & Briscoe, F. (2010). The dynamics of interorganizational careers. *Organization Science*, 21(5), 1034–1053.

Bidwell, M. , & Keller, J. R. (2014). Within or without? How firms combine internal and external labor markets to fill jobs. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(4), 1035–1055.

Biggerstaff, L. , Cicero, D. C. , & Puckett, A. (2015). Suspect CEOs, unethical culture, and corporate misbehavior. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 117(1), 98–121.

Binning, J. F. , & Barrett, G. V. (1989). Validity of personnel decisions: A conceptual analysis of the inferential and evidential bases. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74(3), 478.

Boivie, S. , Bednar, M. K. , Aguilera, R. V. , & Andrus, J. L. (2016). Are boards designed to fail? The implausibility of effective board monitoring. *Academy of Management Annals*, 10(1), 319–407.

Brett, J. F. , & Atwater, L. E. (2001). 360° feedback: Accuracy, reactions, and perceptions of usefulness. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(5), 930–942.

Campbell, J. P. (1990). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology. In M. D. Dunnette & L. M. Hough (Eds.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 687–732). Consulting Psychologists Press.

Cannella, S. F. B. , Hambrick, D. C. , Finkelstein, S. , & Cannella, A. A. (2009). *Strategic leadership: Theory and research on executives, top management teams, and boards*. Oxford University Press.

Cappelli, P. (2008). *Talent on demand*. Harvard Business School Publishing.

Cappelli, P. , & Keller, J. R. (2014). Talent management: Conceptual approaches and practical challenges. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1, 305–331.

Carpenter, M. A. , Geletkanycz, M. A. , & Sanders, W. G. (2004). Upper echelons research revisited: Antecedents, elements, and consequences of top management team composition. *Journal of Management*, 30(6), 749–778.

Castanias, R. P. , & Helfat, C. E. (2001). The managerial rents model: Theory and empirical analysis. *Journal of Management*, 27(6), 661–678.

CEO Transitions 2019 . (2019). Spencer Stuart. www.spencerstuart.com/research-and-insight/ceo-transitions-2019

Chen, G. , Ployhart, R. E. , Thomas, H. C. , Anderson, N. , & Bliese, P. D. (2011). The power of momentum: A new model of dynamic relationships between job satisfaction change and turnover intentions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 54(1), 159–181.

Cheng, J. Y. J. (2019, July). When to take the leap: The antecedents and consequences of leapfrog CEOs. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2019(1), 16175.

- Christensen, C. M. , McDonald, R. , Altman, E. J. , & Palmer, J. E. (2018). Disruptive innovation: An intellectual history and directions for future research. *Journal of Management Studies*, 55, 1043–1078.
- Ciampa, D. , & Dotlich, D. L. (2015). *Transitions at the top: What organizations must do to make sure new leaders succeed*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Cragun, O. R. , Nyberg, A. J. , & Wright, P. M. (2016). CEO succession: What we know and where to go?. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 3(3), 222–264.
- Day, D. V. , & Dragoni, L. (2015). Leadership development: An outcome-oriented review based on time and levels of analyses. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 2, 133–156.
- Day, D. V. , & Sin, H. P. (2011). Longitudinal tests of an integrative model of leader development: Charting and understanding developmental trajectories. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 22(3), 545–560.
- DeOrtentiis, P. S. , Van Iddekinge, C. H. , Ployhart, R. E. , & Heetderks, T. D. (2018). Build or buy? The individual and unit-level performance of internally versus externally selected managers over time. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 103(8), 916.
- Dierdorff, E. C. , Rubin, R. S. , & Morgeson, F. P. (2009). The milieu of managerial work: An integrative framework linking work context to role requirements. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(4), 972.
- Dierickx, I. , & Cool, K. (1989). Asset stock accumulation and sustainability of competitive advantage. *Management Science*, 35(12), 1504–1511.
- Dow, J. (2013). Boards, CEO entrenchment, and the cost of capital. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 110(3), 680–695.
- Essman, S. M. , Schepker, D. J. , Nyberg, A. J. , & Ray, C. (2021). Signaling a successor? A theoretical and empirical analysis of the executive compensation-chief executive officer succession relationship. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(1), 185–201.
- Ferris, G. R. , & Kacmar, K. M. (1992). Perceptions of organizational politics. *Journal of Management*, 18(1), 93–116.
- Guion, R. M. (1965). *Personnel testing*. McGraw-Hill.
- Gunz, S. , & Thorne, L. (2015). Introduction to the special issue on tone at the top. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 126(1), 1–2.
- Harris, D. , & Helfat, C. (1997). Specificity of CEO human capital and compensation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(11), 895–920.
- Hiller, N. J. , & Peterson, S. J. (2019). Assessment and development first require a deeper understanding of unique categories of senior leaders: A focus on CEOs and C-level executives. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 12, 211–241.
- Hollenbeck, J. R. , Beersma, B. , & Schouten, M. E. (2012). Beyond team types and taxonomies: A dimensional scaling conceptualization for team description. *Academy of Management Review*, 37(1), 82–106.
- Ilgen, D. R. , & Hollenbeck, J. R. (1991). Job design and roles. *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 2, 165–207.
- Jackson, S. E. , Joshi, A. , & Erhardt, N. L. (2003). Recent research on team and organizational diversity: SWOT analysis and implications. *Journal of Management*, 29(6), 801–830.
- Johns, G. (2006). The essential impact of context on organizational behavior. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(2), 386–408.
- Joshi, A. , Hambrick, D. C. , & Kang, J. (2021). The generativity mindsets of chief executive officers: A new perspective on succession outcomes. *Academy of Management Review*, 46(2), 385–405.
- Karaevli, A. (2007). Performance consequences of new CEO ‘Outsiderness’: Moderating effects of pre-and post-succession contexts. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(7), 681–706.
- Keller, S. (2017). *Beyond the ruling class: Strategic elites in modern society*. Routledge.
- Kim, Y. , & Ployhart, R. E. (2014). The effects of staffing and training on firm productivity and profit growth before, during, and after the Great Recession. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 99(3), 361.

- Leonard-Barton, D. (1992). Core capabilities and core rigidities: A paradox in managing new product development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13(S1), 111–125.
- Luciano, M. M. , Nahrgang, J. D. , & Shropshire, C. (2020). Strategic leadership systems: Viewing top management teams and boards of directors from a multiteam systems perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(3), 675–701.
- Marks, M. A. , Mathieu, J. E. , & Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). A temporally based framework and taxonomy of team processes. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(3), 356–376.
- Mathieu, J. E. , Maynard, M. T. , Taylor, S. R. , Gilson, L. L. , & Ruddy, T. M. (2007). An examination of the effects of organizational district and team contexts on team processes and performance: A meso-mediational model. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 28(7), 891–910.
- McCormick, E. J. , Jeanneret, P. R. , & Mecham, R. C. (1969). The development and background of the Position Analysis Questionnaire. Occupational Research Center.
- McGinn, D. (2014, January 20). How an American Express executive drives growth. *Harvard Business Review*. <https://hbr.org/2014/01/how-an-american-express-executive-drives-growth>
- Nixon, R. D. , Hitt, M. A. , Lee, H. U. , & Jeong, E. (2004). Market reactions to announcements of corporate downsizing actions and implementation strategies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(11), 1121–1129.
- Nyberg, A. J. , Cragun, O. R. , & Schepker, D. J. (2021). Chief executive officer succession and board decision making: Review and suggestions for advancing industrial and organizational psychology, human resources management, and organizational behavior research. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 8, 173–198.
- Ployhart, R. E. , & Moliterno, T. P. (2011). Emergence of the human capital resource: A multilevel model. *Academy of Management Review*, 36, 127–150.
- Ployhart, R. E. , Nyberg, A. J. , Reilly, G. , & Maltrich, M. (2014). Human capital is dead; long live human capital resources! *Journal of Management*, 40, 371–398.
- Ployhart, R. E. , Schepker, D. J. , & McFarland, L. A. (2022). A review and theoretical framework for understanding external team contexts. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 107, 1052–1069.
- Ployhart, R. E. , Schmitt, N. , & Tippins, N. T. (2017). Solving the Supreme Problem: 100 years of selection and recruitment at the *Journal of Applied Psychology* . *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 291.
- Ployhart, R. E. , & Schneider, B. (2012). The social and organizational context of personnel selection. In N. Schmitt (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of personnel assessment and selection* (pp. 48–67). Oxford University Press.
- Ployhart, R. E. , & Vandenberg, R. J. (2010). Longitudinal research: The theory, design, and analysis of change. *Journal of Management*, 36, 94–20.
- Ployhart, R. E. , Weekley, J. A. , & Dalzell, J. (2018). *Talent without borders: Global talent acquisition for competitive advantage*. Oxford University Press.
- PWC . (2019). CEO turnover at record high; successors following long serving CEOs struggling according to PwC's strategy & Global Study. PwC. www.pwc.com/gx/en/newsroom/press-releases/2019/ceo-turnover-record-high.html
- Reynolds, D. H. , McCauley, C. D. , & Tsacoumis, S. (2018). A critical evaluation of the state of assessment and development for senior leaders. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 11(4), 630–652.
- Schepker, D. J. , Kim, Y. , Patel, P. C. , Thatcher, S. M. , & Campion, M. C. (2017). CEO succession, strategic change, and post-succession performance: A meta-analysis. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 28(6), 701–720.
- Schepker, D. J. , Nyberg, A. J. , Ulrich, M. D. , & Wright, P. M. (2018). Planning for future leadership: Procedural rationality, formalized succession processes, and CEO influence in CEO succession planning. *Academy of Management Journal*, 61(2), 523–552.
- Schmitt, N. , Chan, D. , & Chan, E. (1998). *Personnel selection: A theoretical approach*. Sage.
- Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology (SIOP) . (2018). Principles for the validation and use of personnel selection procedures (5th ed.). www.apa.org/ed/accreditation/about/policies/personnel-selection-procedures.pdf

Stewart, B. (2011, August 26). For seamless transitions, don't look to Hewlett. *The New York Times*. www.nytimes.com/2011/08/27/business/for-seamless-transitions-at-the-top-dont-consult-hewlett-packard.html

Stoddard, N. , & Wyckoff, C. (2008, December 12). The costs of CEO failure. *Chief Executive*. <https://chiefexecutive.net/the-costs-of-ceo-failure/>

Struck, H. (2011, January 17). Steve Jobs' sick leave could slow down Apple stock. *Forbes*. www.forbes.com/sites/heatherstruck/2011/01/17/steve-jobs-sick-leave-could-slow-down-apple-stock/

Tece, D. J. , Pisano, G. , & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.

Thorndike, R. L. (1949). *Personnel selection; test and measurement techniques*. Wiley.

Westphal, J. D. (1999). Collaboration in the boardroom: Behavioral and performance consequences of CEO-board social ties. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(1), 7–24.

Wood, R. E. (1986). Task complexity: Definition of the construct. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 37, 60–82.

Wowak, A. J. , Gomez-Mejia, L. R. , & Steinbach, A. L. (2017). Inducements and motives at the top: A holistic perspective on the drivers of executive behavior. *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(2), 669–702.

Wright, P. , Nyberg, A. , Schepker, D. J. , Cragon, O. , & Ulrich (2016b). Current practices in CEO Succession: Results of the 2016 HR@Moore Survey of CHROs. Center for Executive Succession.

Wright, P. , Nyberg, A. , Schepker, D. J. , & Ulrich, M. D. (2014). CEO assessment and onboarding: Results of the 2014 HR@Moore survey of chief HR officers. Center for Executive Succession.

Wright, P. , Nyberg, A. , Schepker, D. J. , & Ulrich, M. D. (2016a). Defining success in CEO succession: A board perspective. Center for Executive Succession.

Wright, P. , Schepker, D. J. , Nyberg, A. J. , Call, M. L. , & Ulrich, M. D. (2015). C-suite succession failures: Causes, effects, and prevention. Center for Executive Succession.

Wright, P. , Schepker, D. J. , Nyberg, A. J. , Carrig, K. , & Essman, S. (2019). Building the executive leadership team: Results of the 2019 HR@Moore survey of chief HR officers. Center for Executive Succession.

Wright, P. , Schepker, D. J. , Nyberg, A. , Cragun, O. R. , & Hymer, C. B. (2017). CFO and CHRO Succession: Comparing and contrasting the roles: Results of the 2017 HR@ Moore survey of chief HR officers. Center for Executive Succession.

Zajac, E. J. (1990). CEO selection, succession, compensation and firm performance: A theoretical integration and empirical analysis. *Strategic Management Journal*, 11(3), 217–230.

Zorn, M. L. , Shropshire, C. , Martin, J. A. , Combs, J. G. , & Ketchen Jr, D. J. (2017). Home alone: The effects of lone-insider boards on CEO pay, financial misconduct, and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 38(13), 2623–2646.

Developing the Senior Leadership Team for Dynamic Capabilities

Adler, P. S. , & Kwon, S.-W. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. *Academy of Management Review*, 27, 17–40.

Ashby, R. W. (1956). *An introduction to cybernetics*. Methuen.

Ashford, S. J. (1986). Feedback-seeking in individual adaptation: A resource perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 29, 465–486.

Baird, L. , & Griffin, D. (2006). Adaptability and responsiveness: The case for dynamic learning. *Organizational Dynamics*, 35(4), 372–383.

Baird, L. , Holland, P. , & Deacon, S. (1999). Learning from action: Imbedding more learning into the performance fast enough to make a difference. *Organizational Dynamics*, 27(4), 19–32.

- Bennett, N. , & Lemoine, G. J. (2014). What VUCA really means for you. *Harvard Business Review*, 92, 27.
- Boisot, M. , & McKelvey, B. (2010). Integrating modernist and postmodernist perspectives on organizations: A complexity science bridge. *Academy of Management Review*, 35, 415–433.
- Borgatti, S. P. , Everett, M. G. , & Johnson, J. C. (2013). *Analyzing social networks*. SAGE.
- Borgatti, S. P. , Mehra, A. , Brass, D. J. , & Labianca, G. (2009). Network analysis in the social sciences. *Science*, 323, 892–895.
- Burke, C. S. , Fiore, S. M. , & Salas, E. (2003). The role of shared cognition in enabling shared leadership and team adaptability. In C. L. Pearce & J. A. Conger (Eds.), *Shared leadership: Reframing the hows and whys of leadership* (pp. 103–122). SAGE.
- Burke, C. S. , Stagl, K. C. , Salas, E. , Pierce, L. , & Kemdall, D. (2006). Understanding team adaptation: A conceptual analysis and model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91, 1189–1207.
- Cannon-Bowers, J. A. , Salas, E. , & Converse, S. A. (1990). Cognitive psychology and team training: Training of shared mental models of complex systems. *Human Factors Society Bulletin*, 33, 1–4.
- Carter, D. R. , DeChurch, L. A. , Braun, M. T. , & Contractor, N. S. (2015). Social network approaches to leadership: An integrative conceptual review. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100, 597–622.
- Center for Creative Leadership . (2019). *Feedback that works: How to build and deliver your message* (2nd ed.). Author.
- Clark, A. (2001). *Mindware*. Oxford University Press.
- Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94 (Supplement: Organizations and Institutions: Sociological and Economic Approaches to the Analysis of Social Structure), S95–S120.
- Conger, J. A. , & Benjamin, B. (1999). *Building leaders: How successful organizations build the next generation*. Jossey Bass.
- Cooke, N. J. , Salas, E. , Cannon-Bowers, J. A. , & Stout, R. J. (2000). Measuring team knowledge. *Human Factors*, 42, 151–175.
- Cullen-Lester, K. L. , Maupin, C. K. , & Carter, D. R. (2017). Incorporating social networks into leadership development: A conceptual model and evaluation of research and practice. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 28, 130–152.
- Dalio, R. (2017). *Principles*. Simon & Schuster.
- Day, D. V. (2000). Leadership development: A review in context. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 11, 581–613.
- Day, D. V. (2007). Structuring the organization for leadership development. *Monographs in Leadership and Management*, 4, 13–30.
- Day, D. V. (2010). The difficulties of learning from experience and the need for deliberate practice. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology: Perspectives on Science and Practice*, 3, 41–44.
- Day, D. V. , Bastardo, N. , Bisbey, T. , Reyes, D. , & Salas, E. (2021). Unlocking human potential through leadership training and development initiatives. *Behavioral Science & Policy*, 7, 41–54.
- Day, D. V. , & Dragoni, L. (2015). Leadership development: An outcome-oriented review based on time and levels of analyses. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 2, 133–156.
- Day, D. V. , Gronn, P. , & Salas, E. (2004). Leadership capacity in teams. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 15, 857–880.
- De Smet, A. , Rubenstein, K. , Schrah, G. , Vierow, M. , & Edmondson, A. (2021, 11 February). Psychological safety and the critical role of leadership development. *McKinsey Quarterly*. www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/organization/our-insights/psychological-safety-and-the-critical-role-of-leadership-development
- Edmondson, A. (2019). *The fearless organization: Creating psychological safety in the workplace for learning, innovation, and growth*. Wiley.
- Edmondson, A. C. , & Lei, Z. (2014) Psychological safety: The history, renaissance, and future of an interpersonal construct. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1, 23–43.

- Eigel, K. M. , & Kuhnert, K. W. (2005). Authentic development: Leadership development level and executive effectiveness. In W. L. Gardner , B. J. Avolio , & F. Walumbwa (Eds.), *Authentic leadership theory and practice: Origins, effects, and development* (pp. 357–385). Emerald Group.
- Felin, T. , & Powell, T. C. (2016) *Designing organizations for dynamic capabilities*. *California Management Review*, 58, 78–96.
- Fiore, S. M. , Rosen, M. A. , Smith-Jentsch, K. A. , Salas, E. , Letsky, M. , & Warner, N. (2010). Toward an understanding of macrocognition in teams: Predicting processes in complex collaborative contexts. *Human Factors*, 52(3), 203–224.
- Fiore, S. M. , & Wiltshire, T. J. (2016). Technology as teammate: Examining the role of external cognition in support of team cognitive processes [Hypothesis and Theory]. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1531.
- Fitzsimons, D. (2016, May 12). How shared leadership changes our relationships at work. *Harvard Business Review*. <https://hbr.org/2016/05/how-shared-leadership-changes-our-relationships-at-work>
- Freeman, L. C. (1979). Centrality in social networks: Conceptual clarification. *Social Networks*, 1, 215–239.
- Hannah, S. T. , Lord, R. G. , & Pearce, C. L. (2011). Leadership and collective requisite complexity. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 3, 215–238.
- Heifetz, R. , Grashow, A. , & Linsky, M. (2009). *The practice of adaptive leadership: Tools and tactics for changing your organization and the world*. Harvard Business Press.
- Hinsz, V. B. , Tindale, R. S. , & Vollrath, D. A. (1997). The emerging conceptualization of groups as information processors. *Psychological Bulletin*, 121, 43–64.
- Hoffman, B. G. (2012). *American icon*. Crown Business.
- Hutchins, E. (1995). *Cognition in the wild*. MIT Press.
- Janis, I. L. (1982). *Groupthink*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Kahneman, D. , Sibony, O. , & Sunstein, C. R. (2021). *Noise: A flaw in human judgment*. Penguin Random House.
- Katz, D. , & Kahn, R. L. (1978). *The social psychology of organizations* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
- Katzenbach, J. R. (1997, November–December) The myth of the top management team. *Harvard Business Review*, 75, 82–91.
- Kegan, R. , & Lahey, L. L. (2016). *An everyone culture: Becoming a deliberately developmental organization*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Kirkman, B. L. , Chen, G. , Farh, J.-L. , Chen, Z. X. , & Lowe, K. B. (2009). Individual power distance orientation and follower reactions to transformational leaders: A cross-level, cross-cultural examination. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52, 744–764.
- Kluger, A. N. , & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119, 254–284.
- Kozlowski, S. W. J. , & Klein, K. J. (2000). A multilevel approach to theory and research in organizations: Contextual, temporal, and emerging processes. In K. J. Klein & S. W. J. Kozlowski (Eds.), *Multilevel theory, research and methods in organizations: Foundations, extensions, and new directions* (pp. 3–90). Jossey-Bass.
- Lahey, L. L. , Souvaine, E. , Kegan, R. , Goodman, R. , & Felix, S. (1988). *A guide to the subject-object interview: Its administration and interpretation*. The Subject-Object Research Group.
- Larcker, D. F. , Miles, S. , Tayan, B. , & Gutman M. E. (2013). *2013 executive coaching survey*. Rock Center for Corporate Governance and the Miles Group.
- London, M. , & Sessa, V. I. (2007). The development of group interaction patterns: How groups become adaptive, generative, and transformative learners. *Human Resource Development Review*, 6, 353–376.
- Lord, R. G. , Hannah, S. T. , & Jennings, P. L. (2011). A framework for understanding leadership and individual requisite complexity. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 1, 104–127.
- McCauley, C. D. , Van Velsor, E. , & Ruderman, M. N. (2010). Introduction: Our view of leadership development. In E. Van Velsor , C. D. McCauley , & M. N. Ruderman (Eds.), *The Center for Creative Leadership handbook of leadership development* (3rd ed., pp. 1–26).

Jossey-Bass.

- Pearce, C. L. , & Conger, J.A. (2003) *Shared leadership: Reframing the hows and whys of leadership*. SAGE.
- Pearce, C. L. , & Manz, C. C. (2014) The leadership disease . . . and its potential cures. *Business Horizons*, 57, 215–224.
- Pearce, C. L. , & Sims, H. P. Jr. (2002) Vertical versus shared leadership as predictors of change management teams: An examination of aversive, directive, transactional, transformational, and empowering leadership behaviors. *Group Dynamics*, 6, 172–197.
- Ployhart, R. E. , & Vandenberg, R. J. (2010). Longitudinal research: The theory, design, and analysis of change. *Journal of Management*, 36, 94–120.
- Rigby, D. , Elk, S. , & Berez, S. (2020, May–June). The agile C-suite: A new approach to leadership for the team at the top. *Harvard Business Review*, 98, 64–73.
- Rittel, H. , & Weber, M. (1973). Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences*, 4, 155–169.
- Rogers, C. R. , & Farson, R. E. (1957). Active listening. Industrial Relations Center, The University of Chicago.
- Salancik, G. R. , Calder, B. J. , Rowland, K. M. , Leblebici, H. , & Conway, M. (1975). Leadership as an outcome of social structure and process. In J. G. Hunt & L. L. Larson (Eds.), *Leadership frontiers* (pp. 81–101). Kent State University.
- Sasovova, Z. , Mehra, A. , Borgatti, S. P. , & Schippers, M. C. (2010). Network churn: The effects of self-monitoring personality on brokerage dynamics. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 55, 639–668.
- Schoemaker, P. J. H. , Heaton, H. , & Teece, D. (2018) Innovation, dynamic capabilities, and leadership. *California Management Review*, 61, 15–42.
- Simons, T. , & Peterson, R. S. (2006, June). When to let them duke it out. *Harvard Business Review*, 84, 23–24.
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 1319–1350.
- Teece, D. J. , Pisano, G. P. , & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18, 509–533.
- Tesluk, P. E. , & Jacobs, R. R. (1998). Toward an integrated model of work experience. *Personnel Psychology*, 51, 321–355.
- Tröster, C. , Parker, A. , Van Knippenberg, D. , & Sahlmüller, B. (2019). The coevolution of social networks and thoughts of quitting. *Academy of Management Journal*, 62, 22–43.
- Uhl-Bien, M. , Marion, R. , & McKelvey, B. (2007). Complexity leadership theory: Shifting leadership from the industrial age to the knowledge era. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18, 298–318.
- Weick, K. E. (1979). *The social psychology of organizing* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Weick, K. E. (1993). The collapse of sensemaking in organizations: The Mann Gulch disaster. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 38, 628–652.
- Whyte, G. (1989). Groupthink reconsidered. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 40–56.
- Wuchty, S. , Jones, B. F. , & Uzzi, B. (2007). The increasing dominance of teams in the production of knowledge. *Science*, 316, 1036–1038.

Executive Compensation

- Abdulsalam, D. , Maltarich, M. A. , Nyberg, A. J. , Reilly, G. , & Martin, M. (2021). Individualized pay-for-performance arrangements: Peer reactions and consequences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(8), 1202.
- Adler, P. S. , Goldoftas, B. , & Levine, D. I. (1999). Flexibility versus efficiency? A case study of model changeovers in the Toyota production system. *Organization Science*, 10(1), 43–68.
- Aggarwal, R. K. , & Samwick, A. A. (1999). Executive compensation, strategic competition, and relative performance evaluation: Theory and evidence. *The Journal of Finance*, 54(6), 1999–2043.

- Aime, F. , Hill, A. D. , & Ridge, J. W. (2020). Looking for respect? How prior TMT social comparisons affect executives' new TMT engagements. *Strategic Management Journal*, 41(12), 2185–2199.
- Beersma, B. , Hollenbeck, J. R. , Conlon, D. E. , Humphrey, S. E. , Moon, H. , & Ilgen, D. R. (2009). Cutthroat cooperation: The effects of team role decisions on adaptation to alternative reward structures. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 108(1), 131–142.
- Berle, A. , & Means, G. (1932) *The modern corporation and private property*. Harcourt, Brace and World.
- Bidwell, M. (2011). Paying more to get less: The effects of external hiring versus internal mobility. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 56(3), 369–407.
- Bliese, P. D. , Schepker, D. J. , Essman, S. M. , & Ployhart, R. E. (2020). Bridging methodological divides between macro-and microresearch: Endogeneity and methods for panel data. *Journal of Management*, 46(1), 70–99.
- Brown, M. , Nyberg, A. J. , Weller, I. , & Strizver, S. D. (2022). Pay information disclosure: Review and recommendations for research spanning the pay secrecy—pay transparency continuum. *Journal of Management*, 48(6), 1661–1694.
- Carpenter, M. A. , & Sanders, W. G. (2002). Top management team compensation: The missing link between CEO pay and firm performance?. *Strategic Management Journal*, 23(4), 367–375.
- Carpenter, M. A. , & Sanders, W. G. (2004). The effects of top management team pay and firm internationalization on MNC performance. *Journal of Management*, 30(4), 509–528.
- Certo, S. T. , Daily, C. M. , Cannella Jr, A. A. , & Dalton, D. R. (2003). Giving money to get money: How CEO stock options and CEO equity enhance IPO valuations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 46(5), 643–653.
- Charan, R. , & Tichy, N. (1989). “Speed, simplicity, self confidence: an interview with Jack Welch”. *Harvard Business Review*, 67(5), 112–120.
- Collings, D. G. , McMackin, J. , Nyberg, A. J. , & Wright, P. M. (2021a). Strategic human resource management and COVID-19: Emerging challenges and research opportunities. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(5), 1378–1382.
- Collings, D. G. , Nyberg, A. J. , Wright, P. M. , & McMackin, J. (2021b). Leading through paradox in a COVID-19 world: Human resources comes of age. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 31(4), 819–833.
- Connelly, B. L. , Tihanyi, L. , Crook, T. R. , & Gangloff, K. A. (2014). Tournament theory: Thirty years of contests and competitions. *Journal of Management*, 40(1), 16–47.
- Conyon, M. J. (2006). Executive compensation and incentives. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 20(1), 25–44.
- Conyon, M. J. , & Peck, S. I. (1998). Board control, remuneration committees, and top management compensation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(2), 146–157.
- Conyon, M. J. , Peck, S. I. , & Sadler, G. V. (2001). Corporate tournaments and executive compensation: Evidence from the UK. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(8), 805–815.
- Core, J. E. , Guay, W. R. , & Thomas, R. S. (2005). Is US CEO compensation inefficient pay without performance? *Michigan Law Review*, 103(6), 1142–1185.
- Cyert, R. M. , & March, J. G. (1963). *A behavioral theory of the firm*. Prentice Hall.
- Daily, C. M. , Johnson, J. L. , Ellstrand, A. E. , & Dalton, D. R. (1998). Compensation committee composition as a determinant of CEO compensation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(2), 209–220.
- Dalton, D. R. , Hitt, M. A. , Certo, S. T. , & Dalton, C. M. (2007). The fundamental agency problem and its mitigation. *Academy of Management Annals*, 1(1), 1–64.
- Devers, C. E. , Cannella Jr, A. A. , Reilly, G. P. , & Yoder, M. E. (2007). Executive compensation: A multidisciplinary review of recent developments. *Journal of Management*, 33(6), 1016–1072.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Agency theory: An assessment and review. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 57–74.
- Eriksson, T. (1999). Executive compensation and tournament theory: Empirical tests on Danish data. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 17(2), 262–280.

- Essman, S. M. , Schepker, D. J. , Nyberg, A. J. , & Ray, C. (2021). Signaling a successor? A theoretical and empirical analysis of the executive compensation-chief executive officer succession relationship. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(1), 185–201.
- Fama, E. F. , & Jensen, M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 26(2), 301–325.
- Finkelstein, S. (1992). Power in top management teams: Dimensions, measurement, and validation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35(3), 505–538.
- Finkelstein, S. , Hambrick, D. C. , & Cannella, A. A. (2009). Strategic leadership: Theory and research on executives, top management teams, and boards. *Strategic Management*.
- Flammer, C. , Hong, B. , & Minor, D. (2019). Corporate governance and the rise of integrating corporate social responsibility criteria in executive compensation: Effectiveness and implications for firm outcomes. *Strategic Management Journal*, 40(7), 1097–1122.
- Gerhart, B. A. , & Feng, J. (2021). The resource-based view of the firm, human resources, and human capital: Progress and prospects. *Journal of Management*, 47(7), 1796–1819.
- Gerhart, B. A. , & Newman, J. M. (2020). Compensation. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Gerhart, B. A. , & Rynes, S. L. (2003). Compensation: Theory, evidence, and strategic implications. Sage.
- Gerhart, B. A. , Rynes, S. L. , & Fulmer, I. S. (2009). Pay and performance: individuals, groups, and executives. *Academy of Management Annals*, 3(1), 251–315.
- Gerhart, B. A. , & Trevor, C. O. (1996). Employment variability under different managerial compensation systems. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(6), 1692–1712.
- Gillan, S. L. , Koch, A. , & Starks, L. T. (2021). Firms and social responsibility: A review of ESG and CSR research in corporate finance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 66, 101889.
- Gomez-Mejia, L. , & Wiseman, R. M. (1997). Reframing executive compensation: An assessment and outlook. *Journal of Management*, 23(3), 291–374.
- Hall, B. J. , & Liebman, J. B. (1998). Are CEOs really paid like bureaucrats?. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 113(3), 653–691.
- Henderson, A. D. , & Fredrickson, J. W. (2001). Top management team coordination needs and the CEO pay gap: A competitive test of economic and behavioral views. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(1), 96–117.
- Hitt, M. A. , Keats, B. W. , & DeMarie, S. M. (1998). Navigating in the new competitive landscape: Building strategic flexibility and competitive advantage in the 21st century. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 12(4), 22–42.
- Jensen, M. C. , & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360.
- Jensen, M. C. , & Murphy, K. J. (1990). Performance pay and top-management incentives. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(2), 225–264.
- Judge, W. Q. , & Miller, A. (1991). Antecedents and outcomes of decision speed in different environmental context. *Academy of Management Journal*, 34(2), 449–463.
- Kolev, K. D. , Wangrow, D. B. , Barker III, V. L. , & Schepker, D. J. (2019). Board committees in corporate governance: a cross-disciplinary review and agenda for the future. *Journal of Management Studies*, 56(6), 1138–1193.
- Krause, R. , Roh, J. , & Whitley, K. A. (2022). The top management team: Conceptualization, operationalization, and a roadmap for scholarship. *Journal of Management*, 48(6), 1548–1601.
- Lazear, E. P. , & Rosen, S. (1981). Rank-order tournaments as optimum labor contracts. *Journal of Political Economy*, 89(5), 841–864.
- Lewin, D. , & Teece, D. J. (2019). Human resource management strategy and practice: From individual motivation to dynamic capabilities. In *Handbook of research on strategic human capital resources*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Maltarich, M. A. , Nyberg, A. J. , Reilly, G. , Abdulsalam, D. D. , & Martin, M. (2017). Pay-for-performance, sometimes: An interdisciplinary approach to integrating economic rationality with psychological emotion to predict individual performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 60(6), 2155–2174.
- Messersmith, J. G. , Guthrie, J. P. , Ji, Y. Y. , & Lee, J. Y. (2011). Executive turnover: The influence of dispersion and other pay system characteristics. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(3), 457.

- Milgrom, P. , & Robert, J. (1992). *Economics, organization and management*. Prentice Hall.
- Milkovich, G. , & Milkovich, C. (1992). Strengthening the pay-performance relationship: The research. *Compensation & Benefits Review*, 24(6), 53–62.
- Murphy, K. J. (1999). Executive compensation. *Handbook of Labor Economics*, 3, 2485–2563.
- Nyberg, A. J. , Fulmer, I. S. , Gerhart, B. , & Carpenter, M. A. (2010). Agency theory revisited: CEO return and shareholder interest alignment. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(5), 1029–1049.
- Nyberg, A. J. , Maltarich, M. A. , Abdulsalam, D. D. , Essman, S. M. , & Cragun, O. (2018). Collective pay for performance: A cross-disciplinary review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Management*, 44(6), 2433–2472.
- Nyberg, A. J. , Pieper, J. R. , & Trevor, C. O. (2016). Pay-for-performance's effect on future employee performance: Integrating psychological and economic principles toward a contingency perspective. *Journal of Management*, 42(7), 1753–1783.
- Nyberg, A. J. , Ployhart, R. E. , & Moliterno, T. P. (2019). Human capital resources: A convergence of questions but divergence of answers. In *Handbook of research on strategic human capital resources*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Nyberg, A. J. , & Reilly, G. (2019). A pay system model for turning human capital resources into action. In *Handbook of research on strategic human capital resources*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Nyberg, A. J. , Shaw, J. D. , & Zhu, J. (2021). The people still make the (remote work-) place: lessons from a pandemic. *Journal of Management*, 47(8), 1967–1976.
- Obloj, T. , & Zenger, T. (2019). (Unavoidable) dynamics in incentive design. In *Handbook of Research on Strategic Human Capital Resources*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Park, S. , & Sturman, M. C. (2022). When perception is reality, there is more than one reality: The formation and effects of pay-for-performance perceptions. *Personnel Psychology*, 75(3), 529–555.
- Ployhart, R. E. , Schepker, D. J. , & McFarland, L. A. (2022). A review and theoretical framework for understanding external team contexts. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 107(7), 1052–1069.
- Pollock, T. G. , Fischer, H. M. , & Wade, J. B. (2002). The role of power and politics in the repricing of executive options. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(6), 1172–1182.
- Ridge, J. W. , Aime, F. , & White, M. A. (2015). When much more of a difference makes a difference: Social comparison and tournaments in the CEO's top team. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(4), 618–636.
- Ridge, J. W. , Hill, A. D. , & Aime, F. (2017). Implications of multiple concurrent pay comparisons for top-team turnover. *Journal of Management*, 43(3), 671–690.
- Schepker, D. J. , Nyberg, A. J. , Ulrich, M. D. , & Wright, P. M. (2018). Planning for future leadership: Procedural rationality, formalized succession processes, and CEO influence in CEO succession planning. *Academy of Management Journal*, 61(2), 523–552.
- Smith, A. (2021, July 12). More companies use DE&I as executive compensation metric. SHRM. www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/legal-and-compliance/employment-law/pages/dei-as-executive-compensation-metric.aspx [https://perma.cc/LB99-S49X]
- Tece, D. J. (2014). A dynamic capabilities-based entrepreneurial theory of the multinational enterprise. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 45(1), 8–37.
- Tharp, C. G. (1985). Linking annual incentive awards to individual performance. *Compensation Review*, 17(5), 38–43.
- Trevor, C. O. , Reilly, G. , & Gerhart, B. (2012). Reconsidering pay dispersion's effect on the performance of interdependent work: Reconciling sorting and pay inequality. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(3), 585–610.
- Wowak, A. J. , Gomez-Mejia, L. R. , & Steinbach, A. L. (2017). Inducements and motives at the top: A holistic perspective on the drivers of executive behavior. *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(2), 669–702.

Agility, Strategies, Dynamic Capabilities, Stakeholders, and Strategic Leadership in the New Normal Environment

- Ahlstrom, D. Arregle, J.-L. Hitt, M. A. , Qian, G. , Ma, X. , & Faems, D. (2020). Managing technological, sociopolitical, and institutional change in the new normal. *Journal of Management Studies*, 57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12569>.
- Amel-Zadeh, A. , & Serafeim, G. (2018). Why and how investors use ESG information: Evidence from a global survey. *Financial Analysts Journal*, 74(3): 87–103.
- Antonakis, J. , Bendahan, S. , Jacquart, P. , & Lalive, R. (2010). On making causal claims: A review and recommendation. *Leadership Quarterly*, 21: 1086–1120.
- Barney, J. B. (2018). Why resource-based theory's model of profit appropriation must incorporate a stakeholder perspective. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39: 3305–3325.
- Barney, J. B. , & Mackey, A. (2021). What would the strategic management field look like if it took stakeholder theory seriously? In Duhaime, I. M. Hitt, M. A. , & Lyles, M. A. (Eds.), *Strategic management: State of the field and its future*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 663–678.
- Barrick, M. R. , Thurgood, G. R. , Smith, T. A. , & Courtright, S. W. (2015). Collective organizational engagement linking motivational antecedents, strategic implementation and firm performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58: 111–135.
- Bebchuk, L. A. , & Tallarita, R. (2021, August 18). “Stakeholder” talk proves empty again. *Wall Street Journal*: A17. Retrieved from www.wsj.com/articles/stakeholder-capital-ism-esg-business-roundtable-diversity-and-inclusion-green-washing-11629313759 (retrieved November 2, 2022).
- Belo, F. , Gala, V. , Salomao, J. , & Vitorino, M. A. (2021). Decomposing firm value. *Journal of Financial Economics* , 143: 619–639.
- Bhandari, A. , & McGrattan, E. R. (2021). Sweat equity in U.S. private business. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 136(2): 727–781.
- Blair, M. M. , & Stout, L. A. (1999). A team production theory of corporate law. *Virginia Law Review*, 85: 247–328.
- Bliese, P. D. , Schepker, D. J. , Essman, S. M. , & Ployhart, R. E. (2020). Bridging methodological divides between macro- and microresearch: Endogeneity and methods for panel data. *Journal of Management*, 46: 70–99.
- Boyd, B. K. , Gove, S. , & Hitt, M. A. (2005). Construct measurement in strategic management research: Illusion or reality? *Strategic Management Journal*, 26: 239–257.
- Brock, D. , & Hitt, M. A. (2022). Making sense of dynamic capabilities in international firms: Review, synthesis, and future directions. Paper presented at the Academy of Management, Seattle, WA.
- Bryson, J. M. , & Bromiley, P. (1993). Critical factors affecting the planning and implementation of major projects. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(5): 319–337.
- Busenbark, J. R. , Yoon, H. , Gamache, D. L. , & Withers, M. C. (2022). Omitted variable bias: Examining management research with the impact threshold of a confounding variable (ITCV). *Journal of Management*, 48: 17–48.
- Business Roundtable . (2019, August 19). Statement on the purpose of a corporation. Retrieved from <https://opportunity.businessroundtable.org/ourcommitment> (retrieved November 2, 2022).
- Chen, V. Z. , Durand, D. , Sauerwald, S. Hitt, M. A., & van Essen, M. (2024). Multi-stakeholder agency: Stakeholder benefit alignment and national institutional contexts, *Journal of Management*, 49: 839–865.
- Collis, D. J. (1994). How valuable are organizational capabilities? *Strategic Management Journal*, 15: 143–152. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250150910>.
- Crook, T. R. , Todd, S. Y. , Combs, J. G. , Woehr, D. J. , & Ketchen, D. J. (2011). Does human capital matter? A meta-analysis of the relationship between human capital and firm performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96: 443–456.

- Day, D. V. , Conger, J. A. , & Dannhäuser, L. (2024). Developing the senior leadership team for dynamic capabilities. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization*. London, UK: Routledge—Taylor & Francis Group.
- Eggers, J. P. , & Kaplan, S. (2013). Cognition and capabilities: A multi-level perspective. *Academy of Management Annals*, 7(1): 295–340.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (2021). Strategy in nascent markets as entrepreneurial settings. In Duhaime, I. M. , Hitt, M. A. , & Lyles, M. A. (Eds.), *Strategic management: State of the field and its future*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 169–186.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. , & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21: 1105–1121.
- Essman, S. M. , & Nyberg, A. J. (2024). Executive compensation: How organizations use pay mix to maximize top management team effectiveness and agility. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization*. London, UK: Routledge—Taylor & Francis Group.
- Ewens, M. , Peters, R. H. , & Wang, S. (2019). Acquisition prices and the measurement of intangible capital. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. w25960, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3406478>.
- E&Y (2020). Beyond COVID-19: Will you define the new normal or watch it unfold? *Ernst & Young Quarterly*. Retrieved from www.ey.com/en_us/covid-19/will-you-define-the-new-normal-or-watch-it-unfold (retrieved January 21, 2021).
- Felin, T. , Foss, N. J. , & Ployhart, R. E. (2015). The microfoundations movement in strategy and organization theory. *Academy of Management Annals*, 9: 575–632.
- Finkelstein, S. , Hambrick, D. C. , & Cannella, A. (2009). *Strategic leadership: Theory and research on executives, top management teams, and boards*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Foss, N. (2021). Microfoundations in strategy: Content, current status and future prospects. In Duhaime, I. M. Hitt, M. A. , & Lyles, M. A. (Eds.), *Strategic Management: State of the field and its future*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 559–578.
- Govindarajan, V. (1989). Implementing competitive strategies at the business unit level: Implications of matching managers to strategies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 10(3): 251–269.
- Greer, C. R. , Lusch, R. F. , & Hitt, M. A. (2017). A service perspective for human capital resources: A critical base for strategy implementation. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 31(2): 137–158.
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Cannella, A. A. (1989). Strategy implementation as substance and selling. *The Academy of Management Executive*, 3(4): 278–285.
- Hambrick, D. C. , & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9, 193–206.
- Harrison, D. A. , & Klein, K. J. (2007). What's the difference? Diversity constructs as separation, variety, or disparity in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(4): 1199–1228.
- Heavey, C. , & Simsek, Z. (2024). Dynamic capabilities and the nature of organizational agility: Towards managerial theory. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization*. London, UK: Routledge—Taylor & Francis Group.
- Helfat, C. E. , & Martin, J. A. (2015). Dynamic managerial capabilities: Review and assessment of managerial impact on strategic change. *Journal of Management*, 41(5): 1281–1312.
- Helfat, C. E. , & Peteraf, M. A. (2015). Managerial cognitive capabilities and the micro-foundations of dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(6): 831–850.
- Hiller, N. J. , & Ozgen, S. (2024). Organizational agility and organizational effectiveness. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization*. London, UK: Routledge—Taylor & Francis Group.
- Hitt, M. A. , Arregle, J.-L. , & Holmes, R. M. (2021). Strategic management theory in a post-pandemic and non-ergodic world. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(1): 259–264.

- Hitt, M. A. , Beamish, P. W. , Jackson, S. E. , & Mathieu, J. E. (2007). Building theoretical and empirical bridges across levels: Multilevel research in management. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50: 1385–1399.
- Hitt, M. A. , Bierman, L. , Shimizu, K. , & Kochhar, R. (2001). Direct and moderating effects of human capital on strategy and performance in professional service firms: A resource-based perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(1): 13–28.
- Hitt, M. A. , Holmes, R. M. , & Arregle, J.-L. (2021). The (Covid-19) pandemic and the new world (dis)order. *Journal of World Business*, 56(4): 101210.
- Hitt, M. A. , Hoskisson, R. E. , & Kim, H. (1997). International diversification: Effects on innovation and firm performance in product-diversified firms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 40: 767–798.
- Hitt, M. A. , & Ireland, D. (2002). The essence of strategic leadership: Managing human and social capital. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 9(1): 3–14.
- Hitt, M. A. , Li, H. , & Worthington, W. J. (2005). Emerging markets as learning laboratories: Learning behaviors of local firms and foreign entrants in different institutional contexts. *Management and Organization Review*, 1: 353–380.
- Hitt, M. A. , Lim, S. , Sirmon, D. G. , & Xu, K. (2019). The catalytic effect of capabilities derived from acquisitions: A configurational extension to resource orchestration theory. Paper presented at the Strategic Management Society, Minneapolis, MN.
- Hitt, M. A. , Sirmon, D. G. , Li, Y. , Ghobadian, A. , Arregle, J.-L. , & Xu, K. (2021). Institutions, industries, and entrepreneurial versus advantage-based strategies: How complex, nested environments affect strategic choice. *Journal of Management & Governance*, 25: 147–188.
- Hitt, M. A. , & Tyler, B. B. (1991). Strategic decision models: Integrating different perspectives. *Strategic Management Journal*, 12: 327–351.
- Hochwarter, W. A. (2022). Helping society deal with the PTSD pandemic: How scholars and leaders can help make sense in a world where little exists. Keynote delivered at 10th Kearney, Shemla, van Knippenberg, & Scholz, 2019. *Asian Management Research and Case Conference* (October 4).
- Holmes, R. M. , Hitt, M. A. , Perrewe, P. L. , Palmer, J. C. , & Molina-Sieira, G. (2021). Building cross-level bridges in leadership: Integrating top executive personality and leadership theory and research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 32: 101490.
- Holmes, R. M. , Waldman, D. A. , Siegel, D. S. , & Pepe, J. A. (2022). Declining trust in capitalism: Managerial, research, and public policy implications. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 36: 984–1006.
- Hoskisson, R. E. , & Hitt, M. A. (1990). Antecedents and performance outcomes of diversification: A review and critique of theoretical perspectives. *Journal of Management*, 16: 461–509.
- Hoskisson, R. E. , Hitt, M. A. , Johnson, R. A. , & Grossman, W. (2002). Conflicting voices: The effects of institutional ownership heterogeneity and internal governance on corporate innovation strategies. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45: 697–716.
- Hrebiniak, L. G. (2006). Obstacles to effective strategy implementation. *Organizational Dynamics*, 35(1): 12–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2005.12.001>
- Huy, Q. N. (2011). How middle managers' group-focus emotions and social identities influence strategy implementation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 32(13): 1387–1410.
- Huy, Q. N. , Corley, K. G. , & Kraatz, M. S. (2014). From support to mutiny: Shifting legitimacy judgments and emotional reactions impacting the implementation of radical change. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(6): 1650–1680.
- Jones, G. R. , & Hill, C. W. L. (1988). Transaction cost analysis of strategy-structure choice. *Strategic Management Journal*, 9: 153–172.
- Jones, T. M. , Harrison, J. S. , & Felps, W. (2018). How applying instrumental stakeholder theory can provide sustainable competitive advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 43: 371–391.
- Kearney, E. , Shemla, M. , van Knippenberg, D. , & Scholz, F. (2019). A paradox perspective on the interactive effects of visionary and empowering leadership. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 155: 20–30.

- King, A. A. , & Pucker, K. P. (2021). The dangerous allure of win-win strategies. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, 19: 35–39.
- Klein, P. G. , Mahoney, J. T. , McGahan, A. M. , & Pitelis, C. N. (2019). Organizational governance: Who is in, who is out, and who gets what. *Academy of Management Review*, 44: 6–27.
- Knight, D. , Pearce, C. L. , Smith, K. G. , Olian, J. D. , Sims, H. P. , Smith, K. A. , & Flood, P. (1999). Top management team diversity, group process, and strategic consensus. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20: 445–465.
- Krause, R. , & Miller, T. (2020). From strategic leaders to societal leader: On the expanding social role of executives and boards. *Journal of Management*, 46: 1315–1321.
- Lane, S. , & Clewes, D. (2000). The implementation of marketing planning: a case study in gaining commitment at 3M (UK) Abrasives. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 8(3): 225–239.
- Lin, H. C. , & Rababah, N. (2014). CEO-TMT exchange, TMT personality composition, and decision quality: The mediating role of TMT psychological empowerment. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 25: 943–957.
- Ling, Y & Wei, L. (2024). Senior leadership team composition, CEO/TMT interfaces, and the potential for dynamic capabilities. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization*. London, UK: Routledge—Taylor & Francis Group.
- Lu, J. W. , & Beamish, P. W. (2004). International diversification and firm performance: The S-curve hypothesis. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47: 598–609.
- Margolis, J. D. , & Walsh, J. P. (2003). Misery loves companies: Rethinking social initiatives by business. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48: 268–305.
- Marquis, C. , & Lee, M. (2013). Who is governing whom? Executives, governance, and the structure of generosity in large U. S. firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 34: 483–497.
- McGahan, A. M. (2020). Where does an organization's responsibility end? Identifying the boundaries on stakeholder claims. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 6: 8–11.
- Miller, C. C. , Burke, L. M. , & Glick, W. H. (1998). Cognitive diversity among upper-echelon executives: Implications for strategic decision processes. *Strategic Management Journal*, 19: 39–58.
- Miller, T. , & Triana, M. D. (2009). Demographic diversity in the boardroom: Mediators of the board diversity-firm performance relationship. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46: 755–786.
- Milliken, F. J. (1987). Three types of perceived uncertainty about the environment: state, effect, and response uncertainty. *Academy of Management Review*, 12: 133–143.
- Miron-Spektor, E. , Ingram, A. , Keller, J. , Smith, W. , & Lewis, M. W. (2018). Microfoundations of organizational paradox: The problem is how we think about the problem. *Academy of Management Journal*, 61: 26–45.
- Mistry, S. Kirkman, B. L. , Hitt, M. A. , & Barrick, M. R. (2024). Take it from the Top: How intensity of TMT joint problem solving and levels of interdependence influence quality of strategy implementation coordination and firm performance. *Journal of Management Studies*, 60: 400–423.
- Mistry, S. , Kirkman, B. L. , Moore, O. A. , Hanna, A. A. , & Rapp, T. L. (2022). Too many teams? Examining the impact of multiple team memberships and permanent team identification on employees' identity strain, cognitive depletion, and turnover. *Personnel Psychology*. <http://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12515>.
- Montano, D. , Reeske, A. , Franke, F. , & Hüffmeier, J. (2017). Leadership, followers' mental health and job performance in organizations: A comprehensive meta-analysis from an occupational health perspective. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 38: 327–350.
- Morris, M. , Schindehutte, M. , & Allen, J. (2005). The entrepreneur's business model: Toward a unified perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 58: 726–735.
- Murray, A. , Rhymer, J. , & Sirmon, D. G. (2021). Humans and technology: Forms of conjoined agency in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 46: 552–571.
- Ndofor, H. A. , Sirmon, D. G. , & He, X. (2015). Utilizing the firm's resources: How TMT heterogeneity and resulting faultlines affect TMT tasks. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36: 1656–1674.
- Neely, B. H. , Lovelace, J. B. , Cowen, A. P. , & Hiller, N. J. (2020). Metacritiques of upper echelons theory: Verdicts and recommendations for future research. *Journal of Management*,

46: 1029–1062.

- Noble, C. H. (1999). Building the strategy implementation network. *Business Horizons*, 42(6): 19–28.
- North, D. C. (1999). Dealing with a non-ergodic world: Institutional economics, property rights and the global environment. *Duke Environmental Law and Policy Forum*, 10: 1–12.
- Nutt, P. C. (1986). Tactics of implementation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 29(2): 230–261.
- O'Toole, J. , & Vogel, D. (2011). Two and a half cheers for conscious capitalism. *California Management Review*, 53(3): 60–76.
- Olson, E. M. , Slater, S. F. , Hult, G. T. M. , & Olson, K. M. (2018). The application of human resource management policies within the marketing organization: The impact on business and marketing strategy implementation. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 69, 62–73.
- Palmer, J. C. , Holmes, R. M. , & Perrewé, P. L. (2020). The cascading effect of CEO dark triad personality on subordinate behavior and firm performance: A multilevel theoretical model. *Group & Organization Management*, 45: 143–180.
- Ployhart, R. E. , Nyberg, A. J. , Reilly, G. , & Maltarich, M. A. (2014). Human capital is dead; long live human capital resources!. *Journal of Management*, 40(2): 371–398.
- Ployhart, R. E. , Schepker, D. J. , Wright, P. M. , & Strizver, S. D. (2024). Creating dynamic capabilities for agile executive selection and succession. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization*. London, UK: Routledge—Taylor & Francis Group.
- Porter, M. E. , & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1–2): 62–77.
- Pryor, C. , Holmes, R. M. , Webb, J. W. , & Liguori, E. W. (2019). Top executive goal orientations' effects on environmental scanning and performance: Differences between founders and nonfounders. *Journal of Management*, 45: 1958–1986.
- Putnam, L. L. , Fairhurst, G. T. , & Banghart, S. (2016). Contradictions, dialectics, and paradoxes in organizations: A constitutive approach. *Academy of Management Annals*, 65–171.
- Raes, A. M. , Heijltjes, M. G. , Glunk, U. , & Roe, R. A. (2011). The interface of the top management team and middle managers: A process model. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(1): 102–126.
- Rasoolimanesh, S. M. , Jaafar, M. , Badarulzaman, N. , & Ramayah, T. (2015). Investigating a framework to facilitate the implementation of city development strategy using balanced scorecard. *Habitat International*, 46, 156–165.
- Rindova, V. P. , & Courtney, (2020). Shape or adapt: Knowledge problems, epistemologies and strategic postures under Knightian uncertainty. *Academy of Management Review*, 45: 787–807.
- Samimi, M. , Cortes, A. F. , Anderson, M. H. , & Herrmann, P. (2022). What is strategic leadership? Developing a framework for future research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 33(3): 101353.
- Shao, Y. , Nijstad, B. A. , & Täuber, S. (2019). Creativity under workload pressure and integrative complexity: The double-edged sword of paradoxical leadership. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 155: 7–19.
- Shepherd, D. A. , & Williams, T. A. (2020). Entrepreneurship responding to adversity: Equilibrating adverse events and disequilibrating persistent adversity. *Organization Theory* , 1(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2631787720967678>
- Singh, D. T. (1998). Incorporating cognitive aids into decision support systems: the case of the strategy execution process. *Decision Support Systems*, 24(2): 145–163.
- Sirmon, D. G. , Hitt, M. A. , & Ireland, R. D. (2007). Managing firm resources in dynamic environments to create value: Looking inside the black box. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(1): 273–292.
- Sirmon, D. G. , Hitt, M. A. , Arregle, J.-L. , & Campbell, J. T. (2010). The dynamic interplay of capability strengths and weaknesses: investigating the bases of temporary competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31: 1386–1409.
- Sirmon, D. G. , Hitt, M. A. , Ireland, R. D. , & Gilbert, B. A. (2011). Resource orchestration to create competitive advantage: Breadth, depth, and life cycle effects. *Journal of Management*,

37(5): 1390–1412.

Smith, W. K. , & Lewis, M. W. (2011). Toward a theory of paradox: A dynamic equilibrium model of organizing. *Academy of Management Review*, 36: 381–403.

Stoverink, A. C. , Kirkman, B. L. , Mistry, S. , & Rosen, B. (2020). Bouncing back together: Toward a theoretical model of work team resilience. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(2): 395–422.

Sull, D. N. (2007). Closing the gap between strategy and execution. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 48(4): 30.

Sully De Luque, M. , Washburn, N. T. , Waldman, D. A. , & House, R. J. (2008). Unrequited profit: How stakeholder and economic values relate to subordinates' perceptions of leadership and firm performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 53(4): 626–654.

Swigart, K. , Anantharaman, A. , Williamson, J. A. , & Grandey, A. A. (2020). Working while liberal/conservative: A review of political ideology in organizations. *Journal of Management*, 46:1063–1091.

Tawse, A. , & Tabesh, P. (2021). Strategy implementation: A review and an introductory framework. *European Management Journal*, 39(1): 22–33.

Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28: 1319–1350.

Teece, D. J. (2012). Dynamic capabilities: Routines versus entrepreneurial action. *Journal of Management Studies*, 49: 1395–1401.

Teece, D. J. (2014). The foundations of enterprise performance: Dynamic and ordinary capabilities in an (economic) theory of firms. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 28(4): 328–352.

The Economist . (2022). The disunited states of America (September 3).

<https://www.economist.com/weeklyedition/2022-09-03>

Vera, D. , Bonardi, J.-P. , Hitt, M. A. , & Withers, M. C. (2022). Extending the boundaries of strategic leadership research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 33: 101617.

Waldman, D. A. , Putnam, L. L. , Miron-Spektor, E. , & Siegel, D. (2019). The role of paradox theory in decision making and management research. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 155: 1–6.

Waldman, D. A. , & Sparr, J. L. (2022). Rethinking diversity strategies: An application of paradox and positive organization behavior theories. *Academy of Management Perspectives* . <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2021.0183>

Wang, C. L. , & Ahmed, P. K. (2007). Dynamic capabilities: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1): 31–51.

Williamson, O. E. (1985). *The economic institutions of capitalism*. New York: The Free Press.

Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (2024). Senior leadership teams and the agile organization: Introduction. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization*. London, UK: Routledge–Taylor & Francis Group.

Zaccaro, S. J. , Zhou, S. , & Resick, C. (2024). CEO characteristics and organizational agility. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization*. London, UK: Routledge—Taylor & Francis Group.

Zhang, Y. , & Han, Y. L. 2019. Paradoxical leader behavior in long-term corporate development: Antecedents and consequences. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 155: 42–54.

Zhou, S. , & Klimoski, R. J. (2024). Creating the interpersonal context for enabling organizational agility: the underappreciated role of the chief of staff. In Zaccaro, S. J. , Hiller, N. J. , & Klimoski, R. J. (Eds.), *Senior leadership teams and the agile organization*. London, UK: Routledge—Taylor & Francis Group.

Zott, C. , & Amit, R. (2010). Business model design: An activity system perspective. *Long Range Planning*, 43: 216–226.

